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Forward

Rich, dynastic elitist families sent their children to Stanford University, Yale and other related social programming institutions. At these schools they were steered into fraternities and sororities that practiced hazing based on homosexual and abusive paradigms. Their education pushed the concepts of Machiavellianism and Nietzsche’s elitist moral philosophy. These philosophies promote the ideas of some people being “supermen” and the rest of the people being tools. (Hitler loved Nietzsche) The Tech Cartel see themselves as the ‘Supermen’ that are better than others. Stanford kids are programmed to think they are superior because they are richer and they can get away with things because their “superiority” gives them the right to not follow the rules of “normal” society. They were steered to join exclusionary “Key Clubs”, “Guardsmen” groups, “Skull and Bones” pledge cults, “The Masons” and other organizations designed to keep business opportunities, politics and sexual activities within their “elite” groups. Stanford regents cover-up their Brock rapes to keep their rich daddy’s paying for Rodin statues in the garden.

These brats never left the Stanford frat house mentality, nor the neighborhood. Half of them moved right up the street from the Stanford campus to Sand Hill Road in a sheep-like cluster of “venture capital” offices. Here they swindle pension funds out of money based on hyped up pitches of fantastic new technologies. They collude together to rig markets and black-list anyone who tries to compete with them.

To these men, women are nothing more than “baby ovens” and “trophy wives” to show off to other men and must be “upgraded” every 4 years. They have organized their own sex trafficking network which flies hookers and male prostitutes to them from anywhere on Earth; pressures interns and secretaries into sex-for-jobs; run private oxytocin, scopolamine and cocaine date-rape drug distribution operations; sources up armies of Ghislaine Maxwell-like procurers for underage sex; promotes “One Touch”, NXVIUM, “Mission Control”, “Power Exchange”, “Blue Door”, etc. private sex clubs for themselves; and maintains a group of “beard” pretend wives to hide their gay lifestyles.

A carefully crafted network of crooked law firms, CPA’s, lobbyists and operatives protects their schemes. Massive bribes to the White House, Senators and law enforcement bosses keep them protected. They steal any technology they want and lobby the Patent office to change the laws to help them do it. 1/3 of them are radically involved in open-relationship homosexuality and seek underage participants.

Why hasn’t the FBI, or other law enforcement arrested them? These mobsters violate Anti-Trust laws, RICO Racketeering laws, tax evasion laws, money laundering laws, sex trafficking laws, political manipulation and bribery laws, and other laws EVERY DAY! They have more money and power than the FBI or any other law enforcement group! They truly believe they are “untouchable” and the lack of prosecution of their members reinforces that belief.
The Architecture Of A Crime Empire

To operate a crime scheme you need to have an operational infrastructure that protects and feeds the beast. Here is how the Silicon Valley Mafia has set theirs up.

At the end of February in 2021, Mobster Peter Gotti, the one-time Gambino crime boss and successor to brother John “Dapper Don” Gotti, died in North Carolina where he was serving time in federal prison. Gotti, had been sentenced to 25 years in jail after being convicted in 2003 on racketeering and other charges. Peter Gotti served as the acting boss of the Gambinos from 1999 to 2002 after his younger brother, John, was sent to prison for murder and racketeering. Peter Gotti spent an estimated $70,000 on failed attempts to hunt down Salvatore “Sammy the Bull” Gravano after the turncoat ratted out his brother to the feds, court papers say. Gravano helped authorities bring John Gotti down in exchange for a 1991 plea deal.

The arrest of each Gotti followed a standard police organized crime surveillance and capture program discussed in every law enforcement training manual. The process of arresting organized crime participants is well known and follows very standard procedures.

Those procedures, though, have an administrative brick wall in front of them when it comes to David Rubin, Elon Musk, Eric Schmidt, Larry Page, Reid Hoffman, Steve Westly and the rest of the Silicon Valley Cartel. Why do the Silicon Valley mobster oligarchs get a pass when Al Capone, the Gambino’s and the Gotti’s do not?

Stanford University has a class for that.

The “How To Get Away With Organized Crime” curriculum is a favorite of rich Chinese, East Indian, Middle Eastern, Russian and white bread oligarch families to send their kids to. They bribe Stanford with “Donations” to get their kids some good paper. John Doerr and Lori Loughlin were caught paying straight-up bribes. Hundreds of snoot box families have yet to be caught paying those bribes. Stanford University is a PAY-TO-PLAY college!

The Silicon Valley Cartel loves to organize a good coup. The coup d'état has been practiced in America often. The Smedley Butler “Business Plot” case is the most notorious version. In the words of U.S. Supreme Court Justice Hugo Black, delivered at New York University in 1960 concerning the protections of the U.S. Bill of Rights:

I cannot agree with those who think of the Bill of Rights as an 18th century straitjacket, unsuited for this age.. The evils it guards against are not only old, they are with us now, they exist today..
Experience all over the world has demonstrated, I fear, that the distance between stable, orderly government and one that has been taken over by force is not so great as we have assumed.
Indeed, the plot that Butler exposed -- if what MacGuire claimed was true – is a sobering reminder to
Americans. We were not immune to the sentiments that gave rise to totalitarian governments throughout the world in the 1930s. **We make a serious mistake when we assume, "It can’t happen here!"**

The term “Coup” has also been used in a corporate context more specifically as **boardroom coup**. CEOs that have been sacked by behind-the-scenes maneuvering include Robert Stempel of General Motors (1992)[17][18] and John Akers of IBM (1993).[19][20]

Steve Jobs attempted management coups twice at Apple, Inc.; first in 1985 when he unsuccessfully tried to oust John Sculley and then again in 1997, which successfully forced Gil Amelio to resign.[21][22].

The Silicon Valley Cartel is dead-set on operating another social coup in America!

Who are these guys? They are sex abusers like the Kleiner Perkins frat boy staff who were sued by Ellen Pao for the misogyny culture of sexual deviancy and abuse that they promote as their corporate culture. They are tax evaders like Ray Lane. They are hooker-hiring sex traffickers like Google's Forrest Hayes who died from an excess of drugs and sex. They are cheaters like Google's David Drummond who destroyed his family by his addiction to infidelity. They are sex addicts who own sex penthouses and cavort with the notorious Wendy Deng like Eric Schmidt. Amid the World's Largest Corporate Sex Scandals Google CEO Eric Schmidt will now leave Alphabet’s board. Schmidt is a known womanizer despite being married for 37 years to Wendy Schmidt, who said in 2012 they started living separate lives because she felt like “a piece of luggage” following him around the world.

News outlets have been sniffing around Schmidt’s former flames looking for a Harvey Weinstein-like bombshell, a source close to Schmidt told The Post. They are mobster-class justice evasion lawyers like Wilson Sonsini and political bribe conduit lawyers like Perkins Coie. They are charged rapists and psychological sex manipulators like Joe Lonsdale.

When Kleiner Perkins offices were broken into by covert law enforcement operatives much was revealed. This was followed by the hack of all of John Doerr’s emails’ and the email of his top staff.

This was followed by the revelation that Doerr bribes Stanford University for special favors for his kids and for certain ‘relationships’. Now Doerr must walk on pins and needles because he has been put on notice that even the slightest bribe, political manipulation or mobster-like tech black-listing will not go unnoticed.

A female employee called Tesla’s factory a ‘predator zone’ at a meeting where workers described the constant sexual harassment at Tesla.

DNC chairman Eric Bauman, the head of the California Democratic Party, and the Los Angeles County Democratic Party, has is former assistant say he was first assaulted after falling asleep in a hotel and waking up to his boss performing oral sex on him, and that there were two more instances where he was forced to submit to Bauman.

Bay area party guests and Rothschild-linked Bronfman sisters donated millions to the sex cult whose leaders, Allison Mack and Keith Raniere, have been charged with child sex trafficking.

Goddard, a director, producer and theme park designer, has faced many sex abuse allegations. Eight former members of a Santa Barbara youth theater group in the 1970s alleged that Goddard molested or attempted to molest them. A ninth was said to have told others before he died that Goddard sexually
assaulted him as a child. Goddard denied their allegations.

The Silicon Valley Mafia is the Sandhill Road Venture Capital frat boy company bosses in Palo Alto, their National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) partners and the tech companies (Google, Tesla, Facebook, Amazon, Twitter, Linkedin, etc.) they control. They are sometimes referred to as "The Deep State". They have purchased California, New York and Washington, DC politicians (mostly Senators) who they also control.

They hire rogue ex-intelligence agents to operate Fusion GPS, Gawker/Gizmodo, Black Cube, ShareBlue, New America, In-Q-Tel, Podesta Group, Media Matters, etc. massive media attack programs against competitors, reporters and outsiders. They collude on black-lists, valuation controls, election manipulation, search engine rigging, domestic spying for political manipulation, stock rigging, insider trading, executive prostitute clubs, trophy wife assignments, the bribery of politicians and worse. They are felons who pay politicians to halt investigations and interdiction efforts.

They are widely covered in news media articles as: 'sex abusers, cult enthusiasts, elitists, rapists, woman beaters, probiosis abusers, sexual work extortion operators, extremists, arrogant clones of each other, tone deaf, echo-chamber reinforcing, misogynist, racist, manipulative, insecure, covertly gay, corrupt, thieves' and other anti-social revelations. They are not limited to California and also operate out of New York and Washington DC.

They use their monopolistic control of the internet to massively and exclusively scale services that only they control and use to abuse the public's privacy, human rights, invention rights and information. They run their cartel like the old Italian Mafia once did.

The Department of Justice conducted a series of raids across California tech and media circles and arrested 238 people in connection with a Hollywood pedophilia network, but this story went totally ignored by almost all media outlets. According to police, the arrests included some entertainers, community leaders, white-collar professionals, a monk, and other high-ranking clergy members. The raids were conducted by the Regional Internet Crimes Against Children task force, working directly with the Justice Department. Codenamed “Operation Broken Heart III”, the sweeping raids targeted offenders wanted for the sexual exploitation of children, child prostitution, sex tourism and possessing and distributing child pornography, said Deputy Chief Matt Blake. Silicon Valley oligarchs use these intermediate level people to source up sex victims to be delivered to their Woodside and Atherton mansions.

Michael Goguen, who had worked for a firm that funded Google and Paypal, “sexually and physically” abused Amber Laurel Baptiste over more than 13 years after picking her up at a Texas strip club, her lawsuit alleges. Baptiste, who claims she was brought to the United States from Canada by human traffickers at 15, said she endured “countless hours of forced sodomy” from the tech titan. The former stripper said Goguen made her endure demeaning sexual acts, sodomized her for “six hours at a time,” and forced her to call him “king” and “emperor.” Filed in San Mateo County, Calif., her suit details a life of torture and servitude as she was jetting around the globe to serve as the millionaire’s plaything.

She claims Goguen had promised to save her from human trafficking but instead became an even worse predator himself. The Silicon Valley Mafia Cartel is the largest provider of dark money bribes to West Coast politicians including Feinstein, Pelosi, Harris, Brown, Reid, Boxer, Lee, et al,. Those politicians and their families also covertly own the stock of the California tech companies and social media companies like Tesla, Solyndra, Abound, Google, Facebook, Netflix, etc. Larry Wallace, a senior staffer for Sen. Kamala Harris (D-CA) resigned over the discovery he was involved in a sexual harassment.
lawsuit and $400,000 payout to the sexually abused victim while working for then California Attorney General Kamala Harris. In related headlines we read: "ATTORNEY GENERAL THAT COLLUDED WITH KAMALA HARRIS EXPOSED AS SEX PERVERT!!!"; "TOP DNC SEX PSYCHO MAY BE ALIGNED WITH SEX CULTS!"; "WHY IS EVERY DNC BIG SHOT TURNING OUT TO BE A SCREWED UP SEXUAL MESS!"

The Bay Area headlines reek of perversion: "ANOTHER Democrat Sex Cult Exposed: 'sexual servitude' at San Francisco-based 'orgasmic meditation' company: One Taste"; "NXIUM, ONE TASTE, EMO, THE WOODSIDE CLUB: WHY DO THE DEMOCRATS GET INTO SUCH KINK?"; "UNDERCOVER CAMERA'S IN SAN RAFAEL, CALIFORNIA AT 4TH STREET "DANCE/YOGA" BUILDING AND MILL VALLEY CITY BUILDING CATCH SEX-FOR-SALE ESCORTS AND KINK"; "THEY USE "MEET-UP" (THE DNC'S NETWORKING SITE) TO HUNT FOR VICTIMS TO SEDUCE"; ""HOT LITTLE RED HEAD" TURNS OUT TO BE THE TOP ESCORT IN MARIN COUNTY"!

In the latest case of Hollywood's and Silicon Valley's spiralling sexual abuse scandal, Variety reports that a veteran 58-year-old Disney executive has been charged with three felony counts of child sexual abuse. Jon Heely, the longtime director of music publishing at Disney, was arrested nearly a month ago and charged with three counts of lewd and lascivious acts on a child. One of the reported victims was 15. The other was abused for four years starting at the age of 11, according to the charges. Heely, who oversees the licensing of music from Disney films faces up to nine years and three months in prison according to The Daily News.

It is now time to sound the alarm bells on the economic prospects for the Millennial Generation in the Western world, but more importantly, at Stanford University. This generation of citizens aged 18 to 36, is the first in modern developed economies on course to have a lower standard of living than their parents. Housing affordability and a decaying job environment are some of the most pressing issues affecting Stanford Millennials. The future is bleak for this avocado and toast generation, as Western world economies have likely plateaued regarding economic growth. Surging debt and rising government bond yields are producing an environment that could lead to more hardships for this lost generation.

Tech oligarchs have taken full advantage of broke Millennials by offering “adult arrangements” for a roof over their heads. Yes, you heard this correctly, Millennials are trading sex for a place to sleep and sex for tuition at Stanford University. Sugar Babies now comprise a large portion of Stanford students. Kleiner Perkins and Greylock VC’s hire them for sex. Google and Facebook executives use them like disposable sex toys. The student debt crisis in the US has gotten so bad, there's a growing group of young women — and some men — who are taking an unconventional approach to paying for college. Through dating websites like SeekingArrangement.com, and match.com, Sugar Babies, as they're called, partner up with wealthy, often older, men who want to spend money on them.

This trend was created by the Sand Hill Road tech oligarchs.

Some 2.5 million Sugar Babies identified as students in 2016 on SeekingArrangement.com. Many of these Sugar Babies turned to the site to find someone who will pay for their education so they can graduate debt, and worry, free. In exchange, Sugar Babies go to dinners, attend events, or accompany their Sugar Daddy, or daddies, on trips. In some cases, they provide companionship or foster a mentor-mentee relationship. In other situations, the terms of the agreement include physical intimacy.
Jermaine Gagnon, 28, told DailyMailTV how he narrowly escaped death when DNC financier Edward Buck injected him with crystal meth at his sex-toy filled apartment. Gagnon said Buck, 63, paid to fly him from Minnesota to Los Angeles, drugged him with a substance dissolved in Gatorade then injected him with crystal meth. The 28-year-old shared photos of Buck, wearing white long Johns, crouched over him on a mattress during one of their nights together last year. Gagnon shared images of sex toys Buck had for their encounter, saying: 'He had this red and black toolbox with all types of fetish toys, like c**k rings, sex toys'. He added: 'He gave me some Tommy Hilfiger tighty whities, a muscle t-shirt and some long Johns. White knee-high socks'. Gagnon said during one encounter Buck offered him a drink he suspected had been spiked, feeling woozy and weak shortly after drinking it. He said: 'He took my phone. I was so scared. I felt death walked into my soul. I called my mother. I said, 'I feel like he's going to kill me, I think I'm going to die'. Buck is now under investigation over the deaths of two black men, including one man who died of an apparent overdose at his apartment on Monday.

According to the testimony of a federal agent, Harold “H.L.” Moody, a former Democratic Party chairman, streamed live videos of children being raped, distributed child pornography to other pedophiles, and regularly smoked methamphetamine out of a glass pipe while seated at his desk during work hours. Our tax dollars at work, folks. Jason Bennett, a special agent for Homeland Security Investigations, said an undercover agent took “screen shots” of Moody sitting at his desk and chatting with pedophiles in a secret chat room online on “more than one occasion” between Aug. 29 and Oct. 12.

Bennett described Moody as a “high-volume user” who logged in and out of a chat room solely devoted to child pornography several times a day, including 26 times in a two-day span. He was also a host of the private chat room, which Bennett explains indicates that Moody did something to receive such recognition.

This is the world of the tech oligarchs that get White House “Advisor” positions.

Tech magnate Elon Musk reportedly admits in an upcoming book that he once attended a somewhat well-known Silicon Valley “sex party,”. Musk and his bro-friend: Steve Jurvetson, have been documented in a number of notorious sex scandals. Even worse is the headline that reveals: "Elon Musk Says Pedophile Accusation Against British Man Was Protected Speech But Ignores Fact That Musks Father Had Sex With His Own Daughter"

Dov Katz, head of the computer vision and machine learning group at Facebook-owned Oculus, has been charged in King County for attempted commercial sexual abuse of a minor. The 38-year-old tech exec allegedly attempted to contact what he believed to be was a 15-year-old girl for sex. The fictitious 15-year-old was actually an undercover police officer with the Tukwila Police Department, a city just south of Seattle. The news was first reported by KING 5, which said that Katz was arrested by Tukwila Police. An Israeli citizen who resides in California, Katz is forbidden to have contact with any minors, except in the presence of a responsible adult, according to charging documents. Katz allegedly responded to an online advertisement that offered sex in exchange for money, an ad which was posted by undercover police officer posing as a 15-year-old girl. Katz allegedly arranged a meeting at the Embassy Suites in Tukwila, offering to pay $350 to have sex without a condom. When Katz arrived at the hotel with $600 in cash, he was arrested by police.

Former Democrat CDC director Dr. Tom Frieden was arrested on sexual pervert allegations. Frieden was charged with forcible touching, sex abuse and harassment, according to police.

California gubernatorial candidate Gavin Newsom's past sexual misconduct disqualifies him from holding higher office, rival Democrat Amanda Renteria said Thursday, and he should resign from his
position as lieutenant governor. "If he was in the Legislature right now, he'd be called out, and like what we've seen, being forced out," Renteria said in an interview with The Sacramento Bee. "We've got to be sending the message that the more power you have, the more responsibility you have to protect others."

As mayor of San Francisco more than a decade ago, Newsom had an affair with one of his city hall aides, who was also married to his campaign manager at the time.

Google employees have accused Google boss Rubin of sexual misconduct. A woman, with whom Mr. Rubin had been having an extramarital relationship, said he coerced her into performing oral sex in a hotel room in 2013, according to two company executives with knowledge of the episode. Google investigated and concluded her claim was credible, said the people, who spoke on the condition that they not be named, citing confidentiality agreements. Mr. Rubin was notified, they said, and Mr. Page asked for his resignation. Google could have fired Mr. Rubin and paid him little to nothing on the way out. Instead, the company handed him a $90 million exit package, paid in installments of about $2 million a month for four years, said two people with knowledge of the terms. The last payment is scheduled for next month. Mr. Rubin was one of three executives that Google protected over the past decade after they were accused of sexual misconduct. In two instances, it ousted senior executives, but softened the blow by paying them millions of dollars as they departed, even though it had no legal obligation to do so. In a third, the executive remained in a highly compensated post at the company.

Each time Google stayed silent about the accusations against the men. Google executives have been caught having sex slaves.

The Rosewood Hotel is brimming with tech guys, some loudly talking about money. The college student at our table recommends the ribs—she’s been here before, on “dates” with her “daddies.” “There are a lot of tech guys,” she says. “They want the girlfriend experience, without having to deal with an actual girlfriend.” “The girlfriend experience” is the term women in the sex trade use for a service involving more than just sex. “They want the perfect girlfriend—in their eyes,” says Miranda, the young woman at our table.* “She’s well groomed, cultured, classy, able to converse about anything—but not bringing into it any of her real-world problems or feelings. Her adventures in “sugaring” started three years ago when she got hit on by an older guy and rebuffed him, saying, “Look, I’m not interested, so unless you’re offering to pay my student loans,” and he said, “Well . . . ?” After that, “he paid for stuff. He gave me money to help out with my living expenses.” This is how many of the skinny co-eds at Stanford University pay the bills and Stanford bosses look the other way.

In Silicon Valley, There Are Over 100 Services That Deliver Young Men And Girls To Rich Google And Facebook Executives For Dirty Sex. Most of the victims come from local colleges, universities, art schools and the Mission District, Haight Street and Noe Valley districts in San Francisco. They use Facade organizations like "Power Exchange", "Mission Exchange", "The Arena", "Orgasmic Meditation", "NXVIIUM", "The Spinsters", "The Guardsmen", One Taste, etc. act as fronts for sexual transactions. The Rosewood Hotel has sex nights for the sale of women using sly hand signals. Almost every hotel on El Camino Real in Palo Alto has a prostitution activity going on around the clock.

Russian and Italian prostitutes fly in and out of San Jose and San Francisco airports so often that they are known as the "pus*y ports". Young, impossibly attractive, girls with tight mini skirts and a roller bag in the airport alone are now under constant surveillance by police.

One report recalls: "...At the hotel, Hillary Clinton Mega donor and John Podesta partner Wyss assaulted Long physically and sexually, according to her police complaint. “Me screaming, 'No stop it,'” she wrote in her own handwriting to police. “He grabbed my teeth with his left hand and ripped my
mouth open. He inserted a vibrator into my vagina and almost suffocated me by putting his fist into my mouth. I struggled and pushed. I thought for sure he was going to kill me,” she wrote. “I left that hotel room sick and in pain,” she told police in the statement. She returned to Boulder and “stayed in bed for weeks. The physical sickness of this event was devastating,” she told police. Wyss also allegedly threatened to stop his financial support for her daughter if she broke up with him, according to Long. “She’s probably one of the first who took on powerful men over sexual misconduct,” recalled Police Chief Tom Koby in an interview with The DCNF. He personally knew the Boulder resident and counseled her about her relationship with Wyss. “After the attack, I had breakfast with Jackie at the Hotel Boulderado. She broke down during breakfast. This thing had happened and it was terrible. She was quite shaken and Jackie doesn’t shake too easily,” Koby said. “Hans had her in a bind in terms of helping Jackie heal her daughter, who had some serious health addiction issues,” Kolby added. “That was what was keeping Jackie in the relationship and she didn’t have a lot of money. She was still clinging to the hope she could save her daughter. And that she had these things she wanted to do with these organizations working on detention homes and shelters in Boulder and in Denver,” Koby recalled..." Amid allegations of sexual harassment, embattled freshman Democratic Rep. Ruben Kihuen vowed he will not resign from his post, despite calls from Democratic leaders that he step aside. Instead, Kihuen is digging in with a shocking allegation of his own, taking aim at the leaders of his own party. In an interview with ABC News, Kihuen, D-Nev., said party leaders knew last year about a former campaign staffer’s allegations of misconduct but stood by his campaign nonetheless. Kihuen questioned why they are calling for his resignation now, more than a year later. “I do find it interesting that the DCCC, Leader [Nancy] Pelosi and Chairman Ben Ray Lujan -- they knew about these allegations last year,” Kihuen said. “They looked into them. They didn't find anything, and they continued investing millions of dollars in my campaign. They went out there and campaigned for me.” A married senior official in President Obama’s Department of Education was convicted of sex crimes and resigned for following women on the DC Metro and taking pictures up their skirts, DailyMail.com can exclusively reveal. William Mendoza, 42, the former executive director of the White House Initiative on American Indian and Alaska Native Education, stepped down after he was arrested and charged with attempted voyeurism in November, 2016, for the vile acts. Mendoza, who earned $140,000 a year as a policy advisor in Obama’s White House, tried to take photos and videos up women's skirts at least four times on his government-issued iPhones in July 2016 without their consent. He was also caught looking at footage, apparently filmed in secret, of a woman in her underwear getting changed in a dressing room. It is not known if he recorded the video himself. When he took the indecent photos, he was supposed to be at work and was using a travel card funded by the taxpayer, according to documents obtained by DailyMail.com through a Freedom of Information Act request. After California’s then-Attorney General Kamala D. Harris announced felony pimping charges last year against the two owners of Backpage.com — a classified-ad website that is a hub for sex trafficking and prostitution, one of the men cut a $10,000 check to House Minority Leader Nancy Pelosi’s super PAC. Mrs. Pelosi’s political action committee, House Majority PAC, has resisted giving the money back, and an aide to Mrs. Pelosi said the California Democrat knows nothing about the contribution. The uproar over sexual harassment that began with the Harvey Weinstein scandal has intensified the scrutiny of political contributions linked to Backpage, which law enforcement officials say is the chief platform for activities far worse than harassment, including sexual slavery and child prostitution. Mrs. Pelosi isn’t the only Democrat struggling to deal with the piles of cash that Backpage’s owners spread around to
candidates and state Democratic parties over the years. Even Ms. Harris, a California Democrat who is now a U.S. senator, ducked the issue. Her office wouldn’t respond to repeated emails about Backpage money going to House Majority PAC and other Democratic organizations. Since 2010, the owners and their wives have shoveled about $99,000 to candidates and about $95,000 to Democratic parties in Arizona, Colorado and New Mexico, according to federal campaign finance data collected by the Center for Responsive Politics.

Prominent Democrat donor Terry Bean was indicted earlier this month on two counts of sodomy and one count of sex abuse for allegedly having sex with a teenage boy. Bean was arraigned on the charges, TV station KGW8 reported. He pleaded not guilty and was taken into custody at County jail. The body of investigative journalist Jen Moore was found in her Washington D.C. hotel room on Monday after her investigation into an alleged sexual assault by President William J. Clinton. “In fact, just four weeks before her death, Moore filed details of the alleged victim’s claims with the Department of Homeland Security, detailing the allegations against Clinton. Moore contacted Homeland Security beginning on July 6th through July 9th, records show. A week later, she contacted the FBI with identical details about the victim and the shocking allegations against Clinton,”

A leading liberal think tank run by a longtime adviser to former presidential candidate Hillary Clinton is facing allegations of serious sexual harassment which went undealt with by management, a new report claims. The Centre for American Progress (CAP), headed by Clinton associate Neera Tanden, has released four policy proposal papers on dealing with sexual harassment in the workplace, but former staffers have come forward with stories of repeated harassment at the think tank, BuzzFeed reports.

Defy Ventures brings the gospel of entrepreneurship to an unlikely place: prisons. The nonprofit company founded by Catherine Hoke says it is dedicated to helping formerly incarcerated people start their own businesses and stay out of prison. “Transform the hustle,” the company’s tagline encourages.

Defy has received grants from Google. Facebook COO Sheryl Sandberg wrote a foreword to Hoke’s new memoir. Former U.S. Attorney Preet Bharara called Hoke’s work “incredibly inspiring” on his podcast. But while Defy woo Silicon Valley and Washington, D.C., scandal has rocked the company’s leadership. Last month, Defy fired its president after he blew the whistle on allegations of sexual harassment by Hoke and fraudulent statistics exaggerating the program’s successes.

Henry T. Nicholas III, the Silicon Valley billionaire founder of chip-maker Broadcom, has been arrested by police on drug trafficking charges. According to the Associated Press, Nicholas was arrested on suspicion of trafficking heroin, cocaine, meth and ecstasy. Security was called when Nicholas couldn’t get into his sex fuelled room at the Encore, a Las Vegas casino hotel. When security arrived, they reportedly found Nicholas with Ashley Fargo, the ex-wife of an heir to the Wells Fargo banking fortune. Fargo was passed out with a semi-deflated balloon in her mouth, but was revived by paramedics. According to reports, security subsequently found canisters of nitrous oxide inside the room, and a subsequent search by cops turned up more drugs inside a suitcase. Nicholas’s attorney, David Chesnoff, told the Associated Press that they will “deal with the facts in court.”

Multiple famous Silicon Valley lawyers have been found dead, hanged by their own hand while attempting to masturbate while choking themselves because they thought that choking would add to the sensation. A formerly powerful Democrat, Attorney Mark Benavides, has been found guilty on six individual counts of human trafficking in a grotesque and sex-filled criminal conspiracy. Mark Benavides, a well-known Democrat who ran for the 186th District Court in 2014, was accused by federal law enforcement of trading his legal services as an attorney for sex from his clients and then
recording those manipulative sex-romps, according to local ABC affiliate KSAT. Benevides would then promise the women that if they allowed him to engage in erotic sex that sometimes included physical torture, that he would offer them a legitimate defense in the courtroom.

Hundreds of leaked text messages between Kevin Tsujihara, actress Charlotte Kirk and partners Brett Ratner and James Packer show the powerful executive, under pressure, said repeatedly he would push for auditions as accusations of "extortion" and a proposed settlement agreement followed.

These tales of perversion and depravity could go on for HUNDREDS OF PAGES.

All of these people knew, or were financed by THE SILICON VALLEY CARTEL.

Are you beginning to see a trend here?
The Department Of Energy Is Their Favorite Smash-And-Grab Candy Store

A group of Silicon Valley investors received some documents from some “friends”, either from Russian “business men or the CIA, or both,” stating that there was “A trillion dollars of lithium in Afghanistan”. These documents, possibly acquired from the Russians, were passed around by Goldman Sachs & Silicon Valley VC’s. This group thought they could exploit Afghanistan, and other country’s, mining contracts on a commodity monopolization scheme fronting the use of the raw materials by “Green-washing” it to be used for “electric car and solar programs”, under the guise of, “it’s ‘CleanTech’ the voters should love it”, in order to try to make it pass Congressional oversight faster. Recent press disclosures confirm vast campaign funding, by mining companies, through back-door avenues, ie: the Guistra matter and others. The plotters estimated that they could personally acquire many trillions of dollars in profits, mostly at taxpayer expense, and control of government policy, upon successful execution of their scheme.

These investors were deeply affiliated with Goldman Sachs. Goldman Sachs was involved in almost every aspect, stock manipulation, application “winner”, profit funnel and deployment aspect of the case. Goldman created the computerized stock market “spoofing” algorithm process known as the “Flash Boy Pump” stock spoofing. This used Department of Energy and TARP cash award announcements to synthetically inflate stock values long enough to “skim” profits off those stock holdings. Goldman is now under many investigations for this kind of “Commodity rigging”.

These investors used their campaign donations, lobbyists, stock payola and PAC’s to acquire the services of a number of U.S. Senators and foreign diplomats via bribes. They primarily paid the Senators in stock options for those Senators, their families and business associates. The FEC and FTC have Congressional insider trading investigations under-way, over these very actions, which have been stone-walled by the very Senators who engaged in the insider trading.

So much money was moving that a scheme was created to pass the money through the U.S. Department of Energy and a new program, called TARP, so that the transactions appeared to be federal programs.

The characters involved in the scandal have now been uncovered as highly unethical, disreputable, willing to break laws and moral codes and are held in low regard by the public. They formed a cartel now known as The Silicon Valley Cartel as a subset of The National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) with guidance from rogue CIA/In-Q-Tel associates James Breyer and Gilman Louie. One of the largest participants is Google, Inc. and it’s investors. The Cartel was recently exposed in the Silicon Valley “ANGELGATE” and “NO POACHING” collusion scandals and lawsuits.

The best friend’s of the Silicon Valley Cartel were Steven Chu and Eric Holder. The cartel arranged, via the legal firm connected to both: Covington & Burling, to get them both nominated and appointed to the two federal agencies that control the money pass-through. Both of these individuals have been proven to have had previous business and personal relationships with the Cartel. Steven Chu sabotaged any technologies or companies that competed with his, and his friends, stock investments. Both have been forced to quit.
The Cartel used consulting Company McKinsey for insider trading deal support and to author papers and reports which were distributed throughout Washington to say that certain very specific products and technologies were “trending in the new CleanTech industry”. In fact, every “trending company and technology” that McKinsey raved over was already owned and monopolized by the Cartel. At least one major McKinsey executive has gone to federal prison. A number of others are under surveillance and investigation.

As Secretary of Energy, it was noticed that Steven Chu gave quite a lot of U.S. tax dollars to Russian owned or controlled companies. It was subsequently discovered that the Silicon Valley Cartel had deep Russian connections and business relations with USSR businessmen, to assist in the mining of Afghan, and other Middle Eastern countries, for the personal gain of the Cartel. Steven Chu sabotaged over 200 applicants, for the federal programs, simply because they competed with the Cartel and were not part of the Cartel.

John Doerr ran Kleiner Perkins, one of the lead coordinators of the Scheme and the Cartel. He is called: “The Godfather of Silicon Valley”.

Elon Musk was a behind the scenes Google and Kleiner Perkins partner, Cartel organizer and cash conduiting agent. THIS LINK has many confirming data points . Elon Musk, a billionaire, has now received billions of free taxpayer dollars in out-right cash hand-outs, tax waivers, free or low-cost resources, stock pumps and federal NASA contracts. Musk’s companies would not exist today if not for taxpayer hand-out cash. No other living person has received this much taxpayer money from the Obama administration. No other living person has given so much money to the Obama administration through his companies, investors and partners, like Google. No other living person has had the Obama administration sabotage, terminate or rule against so many of his competitors. Federal records demonstrate this to be one of the most overt examples of a political campaign kick-back scheme in this decade. In Musk’s carefully orchestrated, self-aggrandizing, media campaigns, all mention of his true financial connections, extensive fraud lawsuits, and employee distrust is carefully expunged. Musk’s companies exploited the key minerals from the mining deals and both Tesla & Solyndra, who sit next door to each other, were kickback lobbied by Dianne Feinstein, whose family profited on both deals.

Vinod Khosla was a key organizer in the Cartel and connected to Raj Gupta, now imprisoned. He has been involved in a notorious public lawsuit for taking over a famous California coastal beach.

Senators Feinstein, Reid, Pelosi and Boxer received the largest amount of stock, insider trading deals and campaign kick-backs from the Cartel. Feinstein’s family and associates received massive resources from the Tesla and Solyndra deals. They were, essentially, paid program facilitators. These Senators leveraged state tax officials, including Bill Lockyer of California, to exclusively, and extraordinarily, give tens of millions of dollars of additional taxpayer money, at a state level, to Cartel billionaire-owned companies: Tesla & Solyndra. Which sit on the same plot of land and have supplier contracts with the Senators families. These Senators took active steps to sabotage applicants who competed with Tesla, Solyndra & the Cartel, such as Gary D. Conley of SolFocus; who may have been murdered.

Eric Schmidt was the core lobbyist and director of a large part of the Cartel. He spent more time in the White House, directing policy, than most members of Congress. He used Google to put character assassination hit-jobs on competitors, reporters and politicians.
When whistle-blowers saw through the scheme, Cartel leaders Doerr and Schmidt would organize character assassination hit-jobs against those adversaries, sabotage their business and career databases with negative flags, create whisper campaigns and black list them via the National Venture Capital Association, which was controlled by a large number of Cartel members. The Cartel used it’s resources, concurrently, at Google and Gawker Media, to put media hit-jobs on Santorum, ALICE, Romney, whistle-blowers, and other adversaries by locking devastating hatchet jobs onto massive numbers of search engine pages controlled by each.

Recently disclosed documents and videos prove that the Cartel spent more time in the White House, from 2007 forward, than all of the members of Congress COMBINED. Additionally, the disclosures of financial connections between the Cartel members, The White House, campaign financing and federal policy decisions demonstrates the operation of a felony-level corruption activity.

The mining chemicals that the cartel sought to monopolize were “dumped” on the Cartel by the Russians via, possibly, doctored documents which overstated the volume and value of the materials and who knew that the materials were toxic, explosive and had no long-term economic viability. The Cartel did not investigate the materials usage and rushed to profiteer, driven By Goldman Sachs, who didn’t care about the long-term, they just wanted to “skim” their fees and “stock pumps” off the top.

The American GAO, Judicial Watch & Transparency Center have discovered that U.S. taxpayers lost additional billions of dollars as the result of tax waivers, credits and reductions given exclusively to Cartel companies as gifts in exchange for election services. In the FBI-raided Solyndra solar panel company alone, U.S. taxpayers lost over $600M while Silicon Valley plotters profited by over $180M in stock market skims and favorable tax write-offs.

Google executives and investors used Google, Inc. as a “central command” for lobbying, leverage, media stock manipulation, spying on competitors, media hit jobs and revenue profiteering. The upside was that it provided for the largest media, stock and information manipulation system ever devised. The downside is that it focused public, agency and law enforcement scrutiny on this central point until the voluminous indiscretions, finally, became highly visible.

The key White House staff who assisted in this scheme were: Rahm Emanuel, David Plouffe, Robert Gibbs, David Axelrod, Steve Rattner, Jay Carney and Bill Daily. When confronted about their involvement, historical records show that they all quit their White House jobs at the most desired positions in America, in a bad economy, to take far lower positions, thus implicating themselves, along with their emails, in culpability. These staffers worked harder than any other administration to cut-off the press, attack whistle-blowers and increase NSA domestic surveillance in order to delay the revelation of these crimes.

A key aspect of the scam was the “Pump & Go” stock swindle combined with pulling cash off-the-top by Sachs and the Cartel. In the following evidence set, you will notice a record setting number of huge cash intakes and sudden bankruptcies immediately thereafter. That part of the scheme seems to have worked like this: 1.) Technically “ideal” companies were set-up, 2.) huge cash amounts were wired in from the U.S. Treasury. 3.) Huge “fees” were paid to VC’s, executives and Sachs, right when the money arrived at the tech company, while Sachs & the VC’s “pumped” the stock market valuations of those companies using the sudden “free money” from the Dept. of Energy to falsify valuation marketing. This gave short term, sudden, stock profits that they also grabbed, 4.) the companies were
managerially abandoned and then 5.) filed bankruptcy. 6.) The VC’s and Sachs then filed tax loss credits, on the failures, in order to get a double profit upside. Investigators called this “unjust rewards”. This volume of award/failure scenarios has never occurred in the history of America, particularly with a series of same-type companies who had just received massive federal funding windfalls. It seems obvious that these sequential incidents were planned and coordinated. Investigators believe Kleiner Perkins and Goldman Sachs were the key organizers of this tactic. Time-tracking of financial details in the HSBC “Swiss Leaks” seems to confirm federal award/personal gain sequences.

Everybody who got DOE cash was a campaign financier.

Everybody who got denied, and then sabotaged, was a competitor to those exact same campaign financiers who did not pay any political bribes.

Solyndra was exploiting Indium, and a large number of other key chemicals, from Afghanistan that directly paid into the “DOE insider profit pool”.

Abound was exploiting key chemicals from Afghanistan that directly paid into the “DOE insider profit pool”.

Nissan’s Smyrna plant, Tesla, A123, Ener1, Fisker and Ford were exploiting Lithium from Afghanistan that directly paid into the “DOE insider profit pool”. Other “failed DOE winners” had the same connected materials supply routes.

The USAID federal agency passed around a marketing book, that we have a copy of, offering different opportunities to plunder Afghanistan mining corruption….but only if you help Obama!

In this Watergate-type scandal (Should we call it Lithium-Gate, instead?) Secretary of Energy Steven Chu appears to have been told to let no applicant through unless they were in the “DOE insider profit pool”. The pool consisted of Deloitte staff, Goldman Sachs staff, DOE staff, Silicon Valley venture capitalists and, possibly, at least two White House staff. Rahm Emanuel is known to have had an intimate connection with the Argonne labs lithium ion program research funding while in the White House and after he returned to Chicago. Dianne Feinstein personally arranged for, and opened, the NUMMI plant for Tesla. Her husband’s company CBRE were the real estate hooks for the Tesla, and next door, Solyndra property deals. Her staff and lobby people work there now. Her husband goes to Mongolia all the time for “special meetings” according to Sunshine. So one wonders if Feinstein got on the intelligence committee so she could see if she was about to get caught or if a savvy NSA section chief “helped” her get there on the premise of “keep your friends close and your enemies closer” so the NSA could watch her in intimate detail. The recent hack of her files by intelligence officers seems to be indicative.

Many high level politicians made a grab for their piece of the trillion dollars so investigations keep getting squashed by them. Steven Chu killed off the non-toxic fuel cell, wind and natural gas programs while at DOE to give a clear runway to his “DOE insider profit pool”, in which he participated.
The Russian mining connections run throughout the above group but now that the U.S. is back in a Cold War with Russia, Russian insiders are delighted to spill the beans, on the whole deal, to reporters. One is even out taking competitive bids for exclusive whistleblower interviews.

The insider profit pool consisted of the same investors in the above efforts, who also happen to be the same people who nominated and lobbied for the appointment of Steven Chu, according to his nomination docket. All of these people had a personal profit side deal in the same profit pool through stock holdings, trusts, revolving doors and repercussive gains. All of the above are connected in a very tiny business circle. The odds of that circle of 30, or so, people, out of 318 million Americans, being the only people to have access to these profits and federal funds, without rigging the program, is calculated on a book-makers metric at 12 billion to 1. Even now, one can easily see the disparity between the NHTSA wave-through of the coddled White House campaign-backer funded Tesla (hushed up by Tesla silent owner: Google) and the bone crunching hearings and fines that GM and Toyota have had to endure, at the same time, for less dangerous defects.

The Senate Ethics Committee members have hundreds of thousands of background documents on this matter but they have been stone-walled. The FBI has nearly a million documents on this matter and reporters are eager to see the anticipated indictments from years of surveillance of the key parties of interest. NSA, DIA and CIA have tens of millions of documents on this matter from nearly a decade of surveillance of parties of interest, but they are stalled up with inter-party politics. The press has vast amounts of this evidence. The Russians are shopping vast new repositories of disclosure. Within the year, expectations are high for a tsunami of enforcement activity. The recent record-breaking number of White House and Congressional “retirement announcements” may be the first wave of the storm. As Richard Byrne Reilly found out, the “no comment” responses are piling up. That is always a good sign that the “We just got caught” Freak-Out Factor Frenzy has begun among the Politicos.

While party mouth-foamers are trying to make the Afghani-scam scandal not about their party, with their constant drone of “Nothing’ s been proven…” they are now realizing that it is not a Democrat Vs. Republican issue. It is about being for, or against, Organized Crime. It is about being part of, or not part of, Organized Crime. It is about losing their federal pensions because of crimes they may have knowingly supported.

When investigative reporters found that Goldman Sachs and Silicon Valley VC’s were involved in almost every single one of the DOE money deals, they had to ask why? How much did they make in, around and through the whole thing? Who was connected to them and decision makers? Would they really rig a whole market (and a war?) just to get a few TRILLION dollars worth of lithium cornered? Looks like they did...

Richard Byrne Reilly of VENTUREBEAT reports that: “ The future of Silicon Valley’s technological prowess may well lie in the war-scarred mountains and salt flats of Western Afghanistan. United States Geological Survey teams discovered one of the world’s largest untapped reserves of lithium there six years ago. (Editorial Team Note: Right about the time the DOE started rounding up the key ATVM and LG program insiders) The USGS was scouting the volatile country at the behest of
the U.S. Department of Defense’s Task Force for Business and Stability Operations. Lithium is a soft metal used to make the lithium-ion and lithium-polymer batteries essential for powering desktop computers, laptops, smartphones, and tablets. And increasingly, electric cars like Tesla’s.

The vast discovery could very well propel Afghanistan — a war-ravaged land with a population of 31 million largely uneducated Pashtuns and Tajiks, and whose primary exports today are opium, hashish, and marijuana — into becoming the world’s next “Saudi Arabia of lithium,” according to an internal Pentagon memo cited by the *New York Times*.

The USGS survey report on Afghanistan that detailed the findings also noted that, in addition to lithium, the country also contains huge deposits of iron ore, gold, cobalt, copper, and potash, among many other valuable minerals.

“The mineral wealth there is astonishing,” said professor Michel Chossudovsky of the Montreal-based Center for Research and Globalization, who has written extensively on Afghanistan.

A conservative estimate of the riches is $1 trillion. In some circles, it’s as high as $5 trillion.

Reasons for considering Elon Musk to be compromised in this whole DOE scam:
- All of his efforts involved the exploitation of lithium mining deals which were controlled by DOE insider-connected awardees. Tesla cars used lithium batteries, Nevada factory was to manufacture lithium batteries, Solar City was to store energy in lithium, Space X space systems used lithium systems
- Tesla and FBI-raided Solyndra sat on the same land, had the same conflicts of interest in management and operations and were funding-promoted by the same people
- Musk went to Russia to coordinate deals with many of the same people that are conflicted with many of the other parties herein and have ties to related illicit mining deals
- Musk lied about who ordered the NHTSA safety investigation into the Tesla car fires. It was the NHTSA, and not Musk, who wanted the safety investigation started. The investigation was never completed because Musk & Tesla paid cash to get it halted in exchange for mounting a cosmetic PR-mitigation ballistic explosion shield on the bottom of the car.
- Senators Dianne Feinstein and Harry Reid had stock ownership, staffing provision services relationships, construction company ownership, leasing company ownership, insider trading arrangements, public policy ownership, supplier ownership, property ownership, tax haven options and many other conflicts of interest between themselves and Musk
- Musk has stated, on record, that Tesla’s have had “no recalls”, in fact they have had many recalls, at least two for fires and explosions.
- Multiple main-stream, and independent news journals have published analysis of Musk’s financial
- Musk’s battery partner: Panasonic, has been publicly charged, by state agencies, with corruption, racketeering, dumping, employee deaths and other illicit activities
- Elon Musk did not create Tesla Motors, he took it over, in a hostile takeover action, from the actual founders, some of whom sued him for fraud and publicly called him a scam artist.
- The tens of billions of dollars that Musk has received from U.S. taxpayers do not equate to actual costs of operations. Everything that Musk has shown, or sold, could have been produced for much, much less. Non-manipulated forensic accounting seems to make it clear that some of that money is being used for other purposes.
- A number of Tesla’s have suddenly veered over cliffs, killing the drivers, oncoming drivers, pedestrian and bicyclists. Tesla has sought to cover this up, yet homicide lawsuits have now been filed against Tesla for this. Tesla has denied that the car is faulty, yet hundreds of internet user postings, detail numerous defects with the car. Additionally, 60 Minutes and many other news broadcasts have aired demonstrations of how easy it is to hack into, and take over. Tesla is said to be the most hackable car. In fact, around the time, that the Tesla China deal started to fall apart, a Chinese University student team demonstrated a full Tesla takeover by hacking remote control.

- Musk staff were caught sending out email notices, to potential buyers, to put deposits down, before then end of the federal reporting period, so that Musk could book the deposits as “fully paid car purchases” in order to falsify sales volumes so that he could cover that fact that he had sold less cars, after a bigger cash loss, than any car company in history. Tesla investors were asked to buy as many Tesla’s as they could, in order to inflate volumes.

- Musk has “cooked the books” in reporting profits in his federal filings by stating tax credits and taxpayer cash as profits and upside in an effort to manipulate stock valuations.

- Over a five year test, the results of all internet-news media covering Elon Musk related stories was analyzed. Whenever negative news appeared about Musk activities, all Google and Kliener Perkins founded web assets would down-rank, or delete, the negative news while immediately pumping PR and hype news about Musk and his projects to hide the negative news. Musk has a financial, political, economic and personal relationship with Kliener Perkins and Google owners. This manipulation of stock valuation perceptions for public stock buyers, based on the use of monopolistic assets in a covert manner is a violation of securities laws and ethics.

- In the Department of Energy original LGP and ATVM filing application documents, which Lachlan Seward thought he had destroyed, the proposed metrics from Tesla Motors, compared with the known history as of today, demonstrate that Tesla missed almost every single milestone, by magnitudes, yet, not only did Steven Chu not cut off their funding, he increased it.

- The Section 136 law says that it was illegal for DOE to fund a car company on the verge of bankruptcy, or whose survival depends on the DOE money. Elon Musk has now been recorded saying that Tesla Motors was on the verge of bankruptcy, preparing massive severance packages for key staff and had no hope for survival without the DOE cash. Federal records demonstrate that Tesla used a temporary Detroit stock swap, arranged by DOE staff and Silicon Valley investors, to temporarily manipulate the numbers in order to create the surface appearance of a momentary positive debt-ratio. In fact, Tesla, of all applicants, had the worst debt ratio of any applicant. Per the 136 law, Tesla should never have been funded. At the time of application, they had no factory building. Their cars were a hundred thousand dollars over budget, per car. They had no engineered design for production. They were facing staff revolts and they already had written warnings about the dangers of their battery systems.

- Musk has ordered his staff and engineers to never discuss or acknowledge the federal documents disclosing that his car batteries, and battery factory, emit cancer-causing, brain-damaging, liver and fetus damaging vapors when they out-gas during afire, explosion or thermal event, threatening firemen, occupants and bystanders.

- Multiple news media have reported that Musk sends spy emails to his employees, under false names, in order to find out who is whistle-blowing on his illicit actions. This has angered many former employees, some of whom have sued Musk. Many of those are willing to testify about Musk illicit actions.
- Internet IP, DNS, bot and dat-pack tracking has confirmed that Elon Musk related groups use automated shill and blogger farms to place large amounts of self-promotion, about Musk on Musk-partner held sites such as Think Progress, Motley Fool, Media Matters, Green Car Reports, Plugin-America, Reddit, and related covert ownerships as well as on all public social media in order to manipulate stock market valuation perceptions.

- Numerous failed marriages, and relationships, have left a trail of unhappy women who have testified, or relayed information to their friends, who have testified, about illicit activities by Musk

60 Minutes: Tesla and SpaceX: Elon Musk's industrial empire


Apparently it's fast and smoke-free. **(EXCEPT FOR THE TOXIC CANCER CAUSING SMOKE THE CAR EMITS WHEN ITS POORLY DESIGNED BATTERY PACK SPONTANEOUSLY BURSTS INTO FLAMES)** The Tesla Model S is powered by 7,000 battery cells (**EACH ONE ABLE TO EXPLODE AND SET THE BATTERIES NEXT TO IT OFF ON A CHAIN REACTION OF DEADLY EXPLOSIONS**) linked to an electric motor. No engine, no transmission, no tailpipe. As this company video shows, the dash is dominated by a computer that's constantly connected to the Internet. **(THIS ALLOWS ELON MUSK, HIS INVESTORS, THE NSA AND CHINESE HACKERS TO SPY ON YOU AT ANY TIME AND HACK YOUR TESLA AND FORCE IT TO DRIVE OVER A CLIFF AND KILL YOU AND YOUR FAMILY. MULIPLE TESLA'S HAVE SUDDENLY SWERVED OVER CLIFFS AND KILLED THEIR OCCUPANTS AND THOSE NEARBY)** It has a fanatical (**SOME MIGHT SAY THE TESLA FANBOYS ARE INSANE, OTHER SAY THEY ARE ALL JUST ELON MUSKS MEAT PUPPETS AND MALAYSIAN CLICK FARM FAKE**) following. There's a waiting list (**FALSIFIED BY ELON MUSK**) that Elon Musk is trying to shorten building 600 Model S’s a week in this high-tech plant (**MADE FOR HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF CARS PER WEEK AND ACTING AS A FACADE BUILDING BECAUSE OF IT'S MASSIVE AMOUNT OF DEAD SPACE**) in Northern California.

Questions For Government Mooch Elon Musk:

Recently; Numerous ex-Tesla staff and contractors, former suppliers, federal investigators, investigative reporters, investors and ex-partners of Tesla Motors have provided facts about Tesla Motors which are disturbing to the public, Congress, and the market. Please provide clarification of the following charges and concerns in order to resolve outstanding issues between the public and Tesla Motors:

- Are Tesla and Google, essentially, the same entity by virtue of the same investors, agenda and stock market manipulations?

- When damaging news about Tesla emerges, do Tesla and Google investors place multiple stock-buy orders from a multi-billion dollar slush fund in order to make it appear that individual outside investors are excited by Tesla when, in fact, it is only a small internal group of investors “pumping the market”? Does Google “bury” any negative stories about Tesla in their searches on orders from Tesla/Google
investors? Is that a violation of securities laws?

- It is said that the Tesla Model S has the most surveillance devices to watch, track, listen-to and broadcast the activities of the occupants, built into it than any other car in the world. Elon Musk has personally told reporters that his cars constantly watch the driver and occupants. Why is that? Why are Tesla and Google obsessed with spying on the public?

- In multiple recorded interviews, Elon Musk is on public record telling the news media that, after careful research, the NUMMI plant in Fremont California would be a very bad choice for Tesla? Why did that suddenly change? What participation did Senator Dianne Feinstein and her husband’s real estate Company CBRE have on that decision and the award of the loan to Tesla? Why do Senator Feinsteins staff now work for Tesla? Why did Glenn Greenwald call Feinstein’s actions “suspicious”? What political support or funding did Tesla or Google provide to any related political officials?

- From 2008 to 2010 numerous competing electric car companies have charged that Tesla Motors placed Tesla Motors “moles” inside of their companies to intelligence-gather and cause disruption. Is that true?

- Google and Tesla motors share the same venture capital investor groups and alliances. Numerous expert at Tech-Crunch, Valleywag and other industry journals have published articles about the fact that those VC’s actively collude with each other to lock competing technologies and companies out of the market and create business monopolies. Did Tesla investors and associates participate in such activities? If so, would that not be a violation of SEC laws?

- Did Tesla and/or Google reward certain politicians with campaign funding, web promotion, revolving door jobs for staff, and other incentives, in exchange for “hot-tracking” State and Federal taxpayer money for Tesla Motors?

- While other companies built consumer priced electric cars before and during Tesla’s existence, why did Tesla choose to build an unaffordable car and position the marketing of it to “the 1%” when the money for that car came from the 99% taxpayers?

- Numerous cities were told that they would have the Tesla car factory and then they stated, or sued Tesla, saying Tesla acted “in bad faith” and “used false and misleading information” to induce them to provide assurances which were used to pump the stock market. Why did Tesla lead so many cities on?

- The factory that builds your batteries and the U.S. Government states, in their formal technical documents, that when Tesla batteries are on fire they emit lethal, brain-damaging, carcinogenic, liver, lung and DNA damaging fumes and smoke. Why do you not inform the public of this danger in your literature?

- Lithium ion production kills and terminally sickens workers overseas. Multiple Tesla workers have been burned alive at your factory. OSHA has launched an investigation. What have you done to prevent the accidental and long term injuries to your staff and contractors?

- Bernard Tse, and other main Tesla Engineering staff including: electric engineer Doug Bourn, electrical engineer Andrew Ingram, Brian M. Finn, senior manager of interactive electronics and George Blankenship; while investigating battery purchases for Tesla Motors, received numerous whitepapers and technical documents from LG Chem, Panasonic and the U.S. D.O.E and vast numbers of
other battery-makers which specifically stated that lithium-ion batteries would be “stressed” and “likely to combust” when used in the configuration which Tesla intended. They stated that the batteries were “not intended for use in cars”. Why then, did Tesla still use them? What effect did the monopolistic ownership of lithium ion mining and manufacturing resources by Tesla and Google investors have on the decision to use lithium ion?

- Is it not a fact that a majority of your cars have been sold to your own investors or associates who act as “fluffers” against any bad PR?

- In multiple recorded interviews, Elon Musk is on public record stating that, at the time of application for the DOE loan Tesla was on the verge of bankruptcy. The DOE loan was mandated under a Federal law known as Section 136. This law stated that no car company could receive money if it was on the verge of bankruptcy. Past accountants of Tesla have stated that Tesla was on the verge of bankruptcy at the time of the loan application. Numerous Tesla staff and contractors have verified this. Did Tesla commit a felony and acquire “unfair advantage” per the GAO?

- Relative to the number of cars sold, why has Tesla had so many Tesla drunk driver related crashes, deaths and homicides. Why are Tesla drivers killing innocent pedestrians simply because the Tesla’s “smell bad”?

- Psychographic, demographic and marketing studies have been published showing that Tesla drivers have a higher-than-average inclination towards drugs, strange sexual behavior and risk. Could this account for the number of Tesla crashes and deaths?

- Is it true that safety testing was done on Tesla cars without fully charged batteries and in a moisture-locked environment because Tesla staff knew that fully charged batteries and moisture in a crash WOULD cause a fiery explosion, as they already have?

- Is it not a fact that Google deletes, or hides any bad PR about Tesla on it’s network? Would this be considered stock market manipulation in violation of SEC laws?

- Numerous periodicals, including Valleywag, document the fact that Elon Musk sends spy emails to his employees, each with a few words changed in order to track them and see who might reveal anything damaging to regulators?

- Who are all of the property holders and lease-owners of the Tesla and Solyndra, past and current, real-estate?

- According to DOE staff, who were at DOE when the Tesla application was submitted, nothing that Tesla submitted was ever built by Tesla. In fact, these staff state that the vehicle that Tesla eventually sold was not even designed or engineered when Tesla was approved for the loan money, contrary to the Section 136 law requirement. They say that Tesla took the money and THEN hired people to figure out what they were going to do with it. As shown in the DOE files, the engineering of the shipping Tesla cars has no element that was submitted to DOE. Is that true?

- Elon Musk’s wives and founders have filed lawsuits and made public statements that he is a fraud and coerced them into participation. Is it proper fiduciary practice to allow Mr. Musk to continue with the company?
- Why did Tesla not have to pay the cash participation fee that the Section 136 law said everybody had to pay? Tesla staff stated, at numerous documented Silicon Valley open meetings that they got a “special applicant participation waiver”. Why did Tesla get that waiver?

- Did Tesla Motors provide falsified information in order to acquire it’s Federal funding?

- Did Tesla Motors provide falsified information in order to acquire it’s Federal waivers, tax deferrals, credits and discounts?  

- Kleiner Perkins and certain silicon valley VC’s, all investors in a number of DOE “winners” (including Tesla, Fisker, Solyndra, A123 and others), organized meetings with a Dmitry Medvedev and other men known by the State Department, CIA, FBI and federal investigators to be involved in Russian mobsterism and then Ener1, Severstal and A123, dark-money funded and run by billionaires (many of whom own lithium mining interests) known by the State Department, the CIA and federal investigators to be involved in Russian mobsterism, were awarded taxpayer funds by Steven Chu, who was nominated by Kleiner Perkins. Was any of this a conflict of interest?

- Why is the only company to receive California State real estate exclusives, exclusive tax waivers, credits and stock enhancers and free rides on taxpayer backs also the same company who’s investors were the largest funders of certain State officials?

- Federal communications monitoring of text, voice and email communications of certain highly placed Senators, lobbyists and venture capitalists from 2005 to today should be made available to ALL federal criminal investigators. Have they been? Are one or more Senators blocking this effort because they are compromised?

- Why have so many fraud lawsuits been filed against Elon Musk and Tesla Motors?

- The head of the NHTSA (Strickland) Tesla investigation quit because of increased scrutiny. The facts, such as these, demonstrate that Tesla and Fisker never should have passed any NHTSA safety review. How can the public be assured that ongoing NHTSA investigations regarding Tesla will not be rigged?

- Did Tesla Motors provide falsified information in order to acquire it’s State funding? Did Tesla Motors provide falsified information in order to acquire it’s State waivers, tax deferrals, credits and discounts? Did Tesla investors bribe, or influence Congress people?

- Did Tesla report tax credits as revenue/sales in stock reports in order to trick investors into thinking Tesla was making profit that it was actually not making?

- Did Tesla staff or owners investors bribe, or influence Congress people? Did Tesla staff, owners or investors provider misleading information to investors? Was there a conflict of interest between Department of Energy staff and Tesla owners, investors or staff? Was there a conflict of interest between White House staff and Tesla owners, investors or staff? Was there a conflict of interest between Senate staff and Tesla owners, investors or staff? Did Tesla Motors use taxpayer money to hire off-shore staff?

- Marketing sales staff from the ad agencies for 60 Minutes, Consumer Reports, GQ, Fortune and other mainstream periodicals have stated that Elon Musk purchased “puff piece” stories about himself in those broadcasts and magazines and that none of those stories were internally generated. Is it good
fiduciary practice for an executive to use corporate resources for personal glorification?

- Did Tesla Motors use taxpayer money to purchase supplies offshore that could have been purchased in the United States? Did Tesla Motors participate in a market rigging scam to rig lithium ion purchasing for its investors? Did Tesla Motors participate in a market rigging scam to rig the electric car market for its investors? Did Tesla Motors staff, or owners, sabotage competitors?

- Did Tesla Motors staff, owners or investors exchange campaign funding quid pro quo for business financing?

- Did Silicon Valley companies, owned by Tesla investors and campaign financiers, use internet technology to falsify information to the public in order to manipulate stock market perceptions in violation of SEC, RICO, and various other laws?

- Did Tesla Motors lie about the safety metrics of its lithium ion battery system?

- Was Tesla Motors holding safety metric data in its files which differed fully from the safety metrics data it provided to investors and NHTSA?

- Did Tesla Motors violate securities law by using false information to acquire a federal loan which it then used to falsify its stock metrics in order to “pump” it’s stock?

- Did Deloitte accounting firm conspire with Tesla to manipulate market metrics in violation of RICO Statutes and did that firm manipulate Department of Energy review data on Tesla’s behalf?

- A U.S. Senator officiated at the opening of the Tesla NUMMI plant and lobbied for Tesla’s tax waivers, credits, acquisition of NUMMI and discounts and then that U.S. Senator had their staff work in Tesla’s offices. Is it a conflict of interest that this senator received campaign funding and this senator’s family received real estate deals from the Tesla and, (next door to Tesla), Solyndra real estate deals?

- Did Welles Fargo conspire with Tesla to manipulate market metrics in violation of RICO Statutes?

- Did Goldman Sachs conspire with Tesla to manipulate market metrics in violation of RICO Statutes?

- Were Department of Energy staff manipulating Tesla funding data in order to favor Tesla and it’s campaign funding investors in violation of RICO Statutes?

- Were Department of Energy staff manipulating Tesla funding data in order to disfavor Tesla competitors and competitors to it’s campaign funding investors in violation of RICO Statutes?

- Did Tesla owners, staff or investors attempt to delay federal investigations and indictments by asserting influence in violation of RICO Statutes and numerous other laws?

- Documents show there have been more Tesla fires that actually occurred than Tesla has reported in the media. How many actual Tesla factory fires, test car fires and Tesla battery fires have actually occurred?

- Please provide an identification matrix showing campaign backers who were lithium ion investors who had had their contacts exert influence over NHTSA decisions regarding Tesla?
- The Secretary of energy was friends with all of your investors. We have been unable to find the name of even one person from OPM and Congressional nomination file wrapper for Steven Chu’s nomination, who Chu did not later give DOE $$ or perks to. Why is that?

- The U.S. Post Office, The TSA, The DOE, The GAO, Panasonic and over 100 of the leading technical companies in the world say lithium ion batteries can spontaneously explode. Why do you not disclose this to your buyers in your literature?

- The Tesla can blow-up from it’s charger and/or it’s batteries. Are there other dangers that have also not been disclosed?

- Is Tesla operating in violation of the patents of any other company?

- By Elon Musk’s own admission, at the time of the DOE loan application all of the car designs were $100,000.00, PER CAR, over budget and they had no final design for a factory production run DFM. How could Tesla have gotten the loan with the worst debt ratio, the least engineering, the greatest financial risk and the least collateral of any applicant?

- Tesla lobbyists worked with Rahm Emanuel in the White House, to arrange their loan deal. Rahm Emanuel’s Senior Finance Aide was recently arrested for bribes, kickbacks, corruption and money Laundering. Steve Rattner, The West Wing “Car Czar”, who Tesla investors worked with to secure the Tesla loan, was also indicted for corruption. Almost everyone at DOE involved in your loan was forced to quit or fired. Does this call your dealings into question?

- Rolls-Royce Holdings said the U.K.’s Serious Fraud Office has opened a formal investigation into bribery and corruption of government officials for cars for the 1%. Does this worry you?

- The NHTSA said that Elon Musk lied about Fire Safety Review approval and who made the request for recall and investigation. Should we trust Elon Musk?

- Tesla got another $34 MILLION of our tax money in exclusive campaign finance kickbacks for billionaires from the State of California. Why did Tesla billionaires need a few million of our tax money in a recession when people have no jobs?

- The German Tesla “Safety Review” was exposed as “Sham” in that they conducted no safety review and were just told to “pass the car” by your bankers at Deutche Bank! Why did you not disclose that the German’s conducted no testing of your battery system of any nature?

- In Tesla’s own filed patent applications you state that your batteries WILL explode spontaneously and kill and injure people and burn down their homes. Why did you not disclose this in buyers documentation?

- Tesla is MORE likely to catch on fire than gasoline car”per Bloomberg & MIT. Why did you not disclose this?

- Lithium Ion is “nearly impossible to extinguish, and “acts like solid rocket fuel” say firefighters. Tesla never supplied required battery company CO2 fire extinguishers to car owners. Why not?

- Federal Investigators say you are using “exploding flashlight batteries that were never intended to be used in cars, in an improperly shielded box” to power the car instead of commercial energy storage
technology. They say your car has “85% less lithium crash protection on the Tesla than ANY OTHER ELECTRIC CAR”. All other companies had to recall EXCEPT Tesla. Why not? What special leverage did you have?

- Over 150 defects and problems per model about the Tesla have been documented on user-forums and in the press including: “Doors lock you in and out. Bad if car on fire!”; “George Clooney Rips Tesla: ‘Why Am I Always Stuck On The Side Of The F*cking Road?’”; “Tesla “Satisfaction” survey authored by it’s own investors/fanboys”; “Tesla seat vibration causes Anal Itching!”; “VAMPIRE POWER DEFECT slams entire Tesla Model S fleet!”; “Numerous defects documented by owners online.” When the NUMMI factory was in use by GM and Toyota only 5 defects per model car produced there occurred. Even after you bought brand new robots, why did the Tesla cars get worse in a pre-configured factory with billions of dollars of past car preparation?
How The Crony Capitalism Promoted By Silicon Valley Is Destroying America

- Big Tech Crony Capitalism - The Real Cause Of Society's Problems

- In the 2021 COVID “Stimulus Bill”, Silicon Valley wants $100M to pack people on an underground train tunnel that will CAUSE COVID exposure but Pelosi wants that cash for her Silicon Valley crony buddies

Submitted by Tyler Durden per Richard Ebeling via EpicTimes.com.

Since the economic downturn of 2008, the critics of capitalism have redoubled their efforts to persuade the American people and many others around the world that the system of individual freedom and free enterprise has failed.

These critics have insisted that it is unbridled capitalism, set loose on the world, which is the source of all of our personal and society misfortunes. We hear and read this not only in the popular news media and out of the mouths of the political pundits. We see it also in the election of a radical socialist to the leadership of the British Labor party, and a self-proclaimed “democratic socialist” riding high in the public opinion polls for the Democratic Party’s nomination to the U.S. presidency.

The first observation to make is that many if not most of the social and economic misfortunes that are most frequently talked about are not the product of a “failed” free enterprise. The reason for this is that a consistently practiced free enterprise system no longer exists in the United States.

The Heavy Hand of Regulation

What we live under is a heavily regulated, managed and controlled interventionist-welfare state. The over 80,000 pages of the Federal Register, the volume that specifies and enumerates all the Federal regulations that are imposed on and to which all American businesses are expected to comply, is just one manifestation of the extent to which government has weaved a spider’s web of commands over the business community.

The Small Business Administration has estimated that compliance costs imposed on American enterprise by this mountain of regulations maybe upwards of $2 trillion a year.

At the same time, the tangled web of corrupt government-private sector relationships is also reflected in the size and cost of special interest lobbying activities connected with the Federal government.

According to the non-partisan Center for Responsive Government, in 2014 there were almost 12,000 registered lobbyists working in Washington, D.C. Their job is to influence the writing of legislation that serve special interest groups attempting to obtain sectorial tax breaks, anti-competitive regulations or market restrictions, redistributions of wealth, or taxpayer funded subsidies and protections from the realities of free market competition and trade, or to advance various ideologically motivated “causes.”
Spending Big Money to Plunder Others

The Center for Responsive Government, which tracks who lobbies and for what purposes and causes through the targeting of specific holders of or contenders for Federal elected office, including the Presidency and both Houses of the U.S. Congress, estimated that in 2014 lobbyists spent nearly $3.25 billion in the pursuit of privileges for some at the expense of others in society.

Just alone in 2013-2014, over $500 million dollars was spent on lobbying activity by the financial, insurance, and real estate sectors. Ideological and single-issue groups spent more than $352 million. Lawyers and lobbyists spent $151.5 million; health industry companies spent $142 million; and labor unions “invested” $140.6 million on lobbying.

Communications and electronic companies spent $116 million; energy and natural resource sector, $115 million; agribusiness, $77 million; construction companies, $67.7 million; transportation firms, $61 million, and defense companies, $25.4 million.

Based on the Senate Office of Public Records, the Center for Responsive Government calculates that lobbyists spent close to $41 billion on lobbying activities over the last 15 years, since the beginning of the twenty-first century.

These billions of special interest-serving dollars have influenced and affected the spending of trillions of dollars of Federal government expenditures over the same decade and a half. The lobbyists work with and use those who hold high political office so the special interest and ideological groups who employ them can plunder many others in American society; they can be viewed as among the most successful enterprisers in the country.

The Best Politicians Money Can Buy

But the symbiotic relationship between politicians and special interest groups of all types does not begin or end with the formal lobbying for legislative, regulatory and fiscal privileges and favors in the halls of Congress and the White House in Washington, D.C.
It goes on all year round all over the country in the form of campaign and electioneering contributions to get those elected or reelected who can be depended upon to direct the powers of government in ways that interest groups and ideological activists desire and from which they hope to benefit.

Again according to the Center for Responsive Politics, in 2013-2014, individuals and PACS donated over $1.6 billion to 1,671 candidates of both major political parties running for office in the Senate and the House of Representatives. Democratic Party candidates received $736 million, while Republican Party candidates received $901.5 million.

While it may seem unseemly to suggest such a thing, these amounts for legislative lobbying and campaign funding, of course, do not include more millions of dollars that grease the palms of those in political power or who want to be in those lofty positions that represent funding that are outside the official channels in the form of “gifts,” travel junkets, off-the-books expense accounts, and out-and-out bribes of one type or another.

The real world of corrupted and corrupting crony capitalism includes more than lobbying expenditures and campaign contributions to have ringside seats in the halls of political plunderland.

The media has been in a frenzy with the revelations that the Volkswagen automobile company manipulated information about emission standards on its diesel vehicles to deceive environmental regulators in both the United States and Europe. This is being portrayed by many in the media as another example and “proof” of the consequences of unbridled capitalism, when left outside of sufficiently tight and demanding government regulation and intense oversight.

**Government Partnerships and the Volkswagen Scandal**

However, a closer look shows that this is, instead, another example of the result arising from government, business and labor union “partnerships.” In Germany, labor union representatives sit on the executive boards of large companies and corporations that work closely with various levels of the German government to attain political and “social” goals and objectives very different and separate
from what a truly free market company does in pursuing peaceful and honest profits in the service of consumer demand on open, competitive markets.

On September 25, 2015, The New York Times quoted a former Volkswagen executive who said:

“There’s no other company where the owners and the unions are working so closely together as Volkswagen. [Volkswagen] guarantees jobs for over half the supervisory board. What management, the government and the unions all want is full employment, and the more jobs, the better. Volkswagen is seen as having a national mission to provide employment to the German people. That’s behind the push to be No. 1 in the world. They’ll look the other way about anything.”

In such a politicized market economy, working for and serving “national” and “social” interests become the guiding principle of business decision-making. Not only does it lead to wasteful and inefficient economic business operations having less or sometimes nothing to do with cost-effective management and allocation of labor and resources to make better, newer and less expensive products, it also corrupts the individuals participating in these activities.

Breaking one or more regulatory standards imposed by government on these enterprises is merely one way of “doing business” to advance other political goals such as “jobs” and “full employment” that are expected as part of the “partnerships” with local and national-level politicians and labor union leaders.

The only thing expected from the business enterprises in these intricate political webs is: Don’t get caught. If you do, then your political partners become like Captain Renault, the prefect of police in the 1942 movie “Casablanca.” When Renault orders the closing of Rick’s Café, the owner asks him on what grounds. Renault declares that he is “shocked, shocked” to discover that there is gambling going on in the café. At which point the roulette coupé appears with a stack of franc banknotes in his hand and says to Renault, “Your winnings, Sir.”

Volkswagen got caught, and will pay handsomely in financial and other penalties that will, no doubt, be imposed by the U.S. and European governments. And all the time, Volkswagen’s political partners, especially in Germany, who fostered and worked with the company to play its part in the “game” of government interventionism that has nothing to do with market-oriented enterprise, will sanctimoniously condemn the greedy and “selfish” conduct of profit-hungry businessmen.

What all these examples and facts about lobbying activities, campaign funding and government-business partnerships highlight is the pervasive extent to which “capitalism” as it now exists in the United States or Europe – or in fact all other parts of the world – has nothing to do with free market, laissez-faire capitalism.
The Austrian economist, Ludwig von Mises, described this twisted, corrupted, and politicized capitalism over 80 years ago, in 1932, in an essay on “The Myth of the Failure of Capitalism,” published shortly before the coming of Hitler and the Nazi movement to power:

“*In the interventionist state it is no longer of crucial importance for the success of an enterprise that the business should be managed in a way that it satisfies the demands of consumers in the best and least costly manner.*

“It is far more important that one has ‘good relationships’ with the political authorities so that the interventions work to the advantage and not the disadvantage of the enterprise. A few marks’ more tariff protection for the products of the enterprise and a few marks’ less tariff for the raw materials used in the manufacturing process can be of far more benefit to the enterprise than the greatest care in managing the business.

“No matter how well an enterprise may be managed, it will fail if it does not know how to protect its interests in the drawing up of the custom rates, in the negotiations before the arbitration boards, and with the cartel authorities. To have ‘connections’ becomes more important that to produce well and cheaply.

“So the leadership positions within the enterprises are no longer achieved by men who understand how to organize companies and to direct production in the way the market situation demands, but by men who are well thought of ‘above’ and ‘below,’ men who understand how to get along well with the press and all the political parties, especially with the radicals, so that they and their company give no offense. It is that class of general directors that negotiate far more often with state functionaries and party leaders than with those from whom they buy or to whom they sell.

“Since it is a question of obtaining political favors for these enterprises, their directors must repay the politicians with favors. In recent years, there have been relatively few large
enterprises that have not had to spend very considerable sums for various undertakings in spite of it being clear from the start that they would yield no profit. But in spite of the expected loss it had to be done for political reasons. Let us not even mention contributions for purposes unrelated to business – for campaign funds, public welfare organizations, and the like.

“Forces are becoming more and more generally accepted that aim at making the direction of large banks, industrial concerns, and stock corporations independent of the shareholders . . . The directors of large enterprises nowadays no longer think they need to give consideration to the interests of the shareholders, since they feel themselves thoroughly supported by the state and that they have interventionist public opinion behind them.

“In those countries in which statism has most fully gained control . . . they manage the affairs of their corporations with about as little concern for the firm’s profitability as do the directors of public enterprises. The result is ruin.

“The theory that has been cobbled together says that these enterprises are too big to allow them to be managed simply in terms of their profitability. This is an extraordinarily convenient idea, considering that renouncing profitability in the management of the company leads to the enterprise’s insolvency. It is fortunate for those involved that the same theory then demands state intervention and support for those enterprises that are viewed as being too big to be allowed to go under . . .

“The crisis from which the world is suffering today is the crisis of interventionism and of national and municipal socialism; in short, it is the crisis of anti-capitalist policies.”

How different is today, in its essential qualities, from Mises’ description of the interventionist state and government-business “partnerships” during those years between the two World Wars?

**Real Free Markets Mean Privileges for None**

If what we have today is what is widely referred to as “crony capitalism,” then how might we define and explain what a truly free market capitalism would be like? Let me suggest that the following seven points capture the essence of a real free economy:

- All means of production (land, resources, capital) are privately owned;
- The use of the means of production is under the control of private owners who may be individuals or corporate entities;
- Consumer demands determine how the means of production will be used;
- Competitive forces of supply and demand determine the prices of consumer goods and the various factors of production including wages of workers;
- The success or failure of individual and corporate enterprises is determined by the profits and losses these enterprises earn in free competition with their rivals in the market place;
- The free market is not confined to domestic transactions, and includes freedom of international trade;
Government is limited in its activities to the enforcement and protection of life, liberty, and honestly acquired property against, violence and fraud.

In a real free market, there is no place for politicians to offer privileges and favors, because there are none to sell. There is no motive or gain for special interest groups to spend huge sums of money in campaign contributions or lobbying expenses, because political benefits for some at others’ expense cannot be bought.

Wasteful and corrupting “partnerships” between government and business enterprises cannot occur because political authority is restrained from any task other than the securing of each individual’s right to his life, liberty, and peacefully acquired property.

The Silicon Valley Oligarchs had a crony lobbyist team manipulate every government funding program since Obama took office!

**Google and the Obama White House, sitting in a tree? The search giant’s relationship with the president stretches back to 2007 and is cozy as can be**

- Google employees donated $1.6 million to President Barack Obama’s two White House bids
- The company told Daily Mail Online that it has spoken with the Federal Trade Commission about antitrust concerns; it was investigated in 2011 but later let off the hook
- In the 2012 election, the company’s search algorithm customized results for Obama but sabotaged and down-ranked all results for Republican Mitt Romney
- Google execs who have left to work in the White House include Obama’s chief technology officer
- Hillary Clinton also poached her new tech chief from Google this week

*By David Martosko, Us Political Editor For Dailymail.com*

When President Barack Obama dined with a dozen technology business leaders in California four years ago, it was Google executive chairman Eric Schmidt who sat at the head of the table. Obama sat on one side, between the late Apple co-founder Steve Jobs and Facebook pioneer Mark Zuckerberg, but the place of honor was no accident.

Google executives and employees donated more than $1.6 million to Obama’s two White House campaigns, and the online search giant parachuted top talent into both.

One result has been a coziness with the U.S. government’s executive branch that few other companies can match – marked by access for lobbyists, mentions in nearly half of Obama’s State of the Union addresses, and a personnel feeder trough serving the White House with new senior hires.

BEGINNING: In 2007 Barack Obama had an on-stage cameo with Google’s then-CEO Eric Schmidt as part of a presidential candidate series
INFLUENCE: Schmidt (far left) shared the head of the table with the spouse of a venture capitalist whose firm owned 20 per cent of Google, as President Obama dined with tech leaders in 2011
There have even been allegations that Google’s up-close-and-personal relationship with the West Wing earned it a reprieve from what would have been an earth-shaking Federal Trade Commission antitrust lawsuit.

Google has insisted it never received special treatment in that case, punctuating its denials with an animated GIF of a laughing baby as a jab at the news outlet that leveled the charge.

White House visitor logs suggest a different kind of story for the company whose motto is ‘Don’t be evil.’

Employees of the Silicon Valley behemoth have been in the White House more than 230 times since Obama took office – approximately once per week. At least 190 of those meetings were with senior officials.

More than 60 featured Google lobbyist Johanna Shelton.

Overall, according to *The Wall Street Journal*, Google spent $16.8 million on lobbying last year. That’s four times the amount spent by Apple, whose market capitalization is twice as big.

It needed that muscle in 2011, after the FTC found Google allegedly manipulated search results in ways that favored its own for-profit products. Amazon and eBay were among the companies who complained that Google was engaging in practices that violated antitrust laws.

The Journal reviewed emails showing that Google co-founder Larry Page met directly with FTC officials in late 2012 to discuss a settlement. About the same time, White House visitor logs show, Google chairman Eric Schmidt met with Obama senior adviser Pete Rouse.

REVOLVING DOOR? Google vice president Megan Smith left her job to become Obama’s chief technology officer.

Lobbyist Joanna Shelton and General Counsel Kent Walker met with Jason Furman, then the newly minted chair of the president’s Council of Economic Advisers. Furman followed up with a meeting with FTC chairman Jon Leibowitz.

The government closed its investigation two months after Obama won re-election.

READY FOR HILLARY: Stephanie Hannon, director of product management, civic innovation and social impact at Google, has become the Hillary Clinton campaign’s top technology guru.

Google agreed to change its business practices but dodged a potential 10-figure fine – and saved the cost of litigating a years-long case that could have resulted in breaking up the company into smaller, more market-competitive units.

Both Google and the FTC said at the time that there was no undue influence.

Google pilloried the Journal, which first suggested a link between the company’s access and the investigation’s outcome, saying the meetings its executives held with administration officials involved discussions about ‘everything but’ the antitrust investigation.
In a blog post, the company cited ‘patent reform, STEM education, self-driving cars, mental health, advertising, Internet censorship, smart contact lenses, civic innovation, R&D, cloud computing, trade and investment, cyber security, energy efficiency and our workplace benefit policies.’

The written defense Google published took specific aim at Journal publisher Rupert Murdoch, and included an animated GIF of a laughing baby in a high chair.

But a Google spokesperson confirmed Thursday on background that company executives has talked with the FTC about unspecified antitrust concerns in the past. The spokesperson declined to be quoted or named.

Schmidt is a one-man case study of the kinds of influence a multibillionare tech titan can wield in Washington when the stars align.

LEFT-HAND MAN: Schmidt (right) got a prime seat at the table when newly minted President Obama met with a group of CEOs in February 2009

‘EVANGELIST’: Online pioneer Vint Cerf is Google’s top ‘Internet evangelist’ and also a member of Obama’s National Science Board

His ascent in Obama’s world began in 2007 when the future president visited Google’s California headquarters for a one-on-one interview, staged for the benefit of the company’s employees, then 16,000 strong.

Schmidt asked him about Iran, Pakistan, and Guantanamo Bay.

‘Close down Guantanamo,’ Obama replied, ‘restore Habeas Corpus, say no to Renditions, no to warrantless wiretaps.’

The exposure was vital: Obama ended up lapping the field in fundraising in the high-tech sector.

Obama offered Schmidt his choice of cabinet slots after the 2012 election – either Treasury or Commerce – and was even prepared to create a new ‘Secretary of Business’ position for him.

He declined all three but accepted a post on the President’s Council of Advisers on Science and Technology. He continues to evangelize about both his company and the president’s agenda, including ‘net neutrality’ regulations that have big Internet service providers up in arms.

Schmidt’s roots with Obama run deep. When the future president visited his campaign headquarters on Election Day in 2012, Schmidt was there overseeing final efforts to leverage ‘Big Data’ to nudge last-minute voters to the polls.

He was by then already a donor to Obama’s White House bids, contributing the maximum amount allowed by law both times.

Schmidt’s specialized software gave then-Senator Obama access to high-tech voter targeting algorithms that Republican John McCain couldn’t match.

HIGH LIFE: Google Ideas director Jared Cohen co-wrote a book with Princess Beatrice of York in 2013, three years after he left the Obama State Department to work for the search giant

ELECTION KINGS: Michael Slaby (left) and Justin Vincent (right) were key architects of Obama’s data-mining and voter-targeting software efforts, and both were emigres from Google
And Michael Slaby, the chief integration and innovation officer at Obama For America, the president’s campaign organization came over from a job as technology strategist for Tomorrow Ventures – Schmidt’s venture capital fund.

OFA also boasted former Google software engineer Justin Vincent as its senior codewriter, and former YouTube/Google lead Web developer Angus Durocher as its lead online software engineer.

Google itself was accused publicly of favoring Obama’s 2012 re-election campaign in a more visible way.

Customized search results would appear when users typed ‘Obama’ into a search window, but entering ‘Romney’ yielded no such help.

Google claimed at the time that it was the result of a computer algorithm, not human intervention.

This week the president’s new initiative linking global warming with public health includes a specific buy-in from Google.

The company, the White House says, will ‘donate ten million hours of high-performance computing’ and help scientists to create ‘early warning capabilities’ for climate change-related disasters and related risks for diseases.

Some of that work could conceivably be performed by former White House staff who have joined Google, just as the president counts several former Google executives among his advisers.

Former secretary of state Hillary Clinton this week hired away a top tech talent from Google for her presidential campaign-in-waiting.

Stephanie Hannon, formerly Google’s top dog on product management for ‘civic engagement,’ will help her navigate the digital waters in 2016.

Poaching seasoned Google veterans is a maneuver the Obama White House has perfected.

Megan Smith, a former vice president at the company, is now the administration’s chief technology officer.

Angus Durocher, a computer engineer who left Google to work for the Obama campaign, tweeted this photo of himself and Vice President Joe Biden when he was at work in the campaign’s New Hampshire nerve center.

The deputy CTO position was held for Obama’s first two years by another Google emigre, Andrew McLaughlin. He left the administration in 2011 after a reprimand for sharing details of government policy matters with his former coworkers via a personal email address.

Shortly after Obama moved into 1600 Pennsylvania Avenue, former Google project manager Katie Stanton became his director of citizen participation. Sonal Shah, a former Google global development chief, moved to Washington the same month to lead the White House Office of Social Innovation.

And Vint Cerf, a widely acknowledged ‘father of the Internet,’ serves on Obama’s National Science Board.

Cerf has worked for Google since 2005 as a vice president and its ‘chief Internet evangelist.’
Enabling Corruption By Building Sicko Frat Boys

The lives and upbringing of the Silicon Valley Rape-Culture boys

What kind of family structure, school life and social programming could cause such deviant, and warped, behavior by this small group of “privileged” elitist white males from dynastic families?

There are now millions of articles detailing the hookers, rapes, intern coercion, avoidance of hiring women who are not secretaries, avoidances and racism of blacks, massive numbers of misogynistic statements and actions, elitism, white male frat house attitudes, abusive marriages, political bribes, collusion, HR wage fixing schemes and more, that the Silicon Valley billionaires have pervasively engaged in.

One-Percent Jokes and Plutocrats in Drag: What I Saw When I Crashed a Secret Society
The secret party that no outsider was ever supposed to see was a clone version of the West Coast Bohemian Club events for the same bunch of sociopath “elites”
Recently, our nation’s financial chieftains have been feeling a little unloved. Venture capitalists are comparing the persecution of the rich to the plight of Jews at Kristallnacht. Wall Street titans are saying that they’re sick of being beaten up, and this week, a billionaire investor, Wilbur Ross, proclaimed that “the 1 percent is being picked on for political reasons.”

Ross’s statement seemed particularly odd, because two years ago, I met Ross at an event that might single-handedly explain why the rest of the country still hates financial tycoons – the annual black-tie induction ceremony of a secret Wall Street fraternity called Kappa Beta Phi.

Adapted from Kevin Roose’s book Young Money, published today by Grand Central Publishing.

“Good evening, Exalted High Council, former Grand Swipes, Grand Swipes-in-waiting, fellow Wall Street Kappas, Kappas from the Spring Street and Montgomery Street chapters, and worthless neophytes!”

It was January 2012, and Ross, wearing a tuxedo and purple velvet moccasins embroidered with the fraternity’s Greek letters, was standing at the dais of the St. Regis Hotel ballroom, welcoming a crowd of two hundred wealthy and famous Wall Street figures to the Kappa Beta Phi dinner. Ross, the leader (or “Grand Swipe”) of the fraternity, was preparing to invite 21 new members — “neophytes,” as the group called them — to join its exclusive ranks.

Looking up at him from an elegant dinner of rack of lamb and foie gras were many of the most famous investors in the world, including executives from nearly every too-big-to-fail bank, private equity megafirm, and major hedge fund. AIG CEO Bob Benmosche was there, as were Wall Street superlawyer Marty Lipton and Alan “Ace” Greenberg, the former chairman of Bear Stearns. And those were just the returning members. Among the neophytes were hedge fund billionaire and major Obama donor Marc Lasry and Joe Reece, a high-ranking dealmaker at Credit Suisse. [To see the full Kappa Beta Phi member list, click here.] All told, enough wealth and power was concentrated in the St. Regis that night that if you had dropped a bomb on the roof, global finance as we know it might have ceased to exist.

During his introductory remarks, Ross spoke for several minutes about the legend of Kappa Beta Phi – how it had been started in 1929 by “four C+ William and Mary students”; how its crest, depicting a “macho right hand in a proper Savile Row suit and a Turnbull and Asser shirtsleeve,” was superior to that of its namesake Phi Beta Kappa (Ross called Phi Beta Kappa’s ruffled-sleeve logo a “tacit confession of homosexuality”); and how the fraternity’s motto, “Dum vivamus edimus et biberimus,” was Latin for “While we live, we eat and drink.”

On cue, the financiers shouted out in a thundering bellow: “DUM VIVAMUS EDIMUS ET BIBERIMUS.”

The only person not saying the chant along with Ross was me — a journalist who had sneaked into the event, and who was hiding out at a table in the back corner in a rented tuxedo.
Several Kappas at the table next to me, presumably discussing the coming plutocracy.
I’d heard whisperings about the existence of Kappa Beta Phi, whose members included both incredibly successful financiers (New York City’s Mayor Michael Bloomberg, former Goldman Sachs chairman John Whitehead, hedge-fund billionaire Paul Tudor Jones) and incredibly unsuccessful ones (Lehman Brothers CEO Dick Fuld, Bear Stearns CEO Jimmy Cayne, former New Jersey governor and MF Global flameout Jon Corzine). It was a secret fraternity, founded at the beginning of the Great Depression, that functioned as a sort of one-percenter’s Friars Club. Each year, the group’s dinner features comedy skits, musical acts in drag, and off-color jokes, and its group’s privacy mantra is “What happens at the St. Regis stays at the St. Regis.” For eight decades, it worked. No outsider in living memory had witnessed the entire proceedings firsthand.
A typical Kappa neophyte in drag (left) chats up a vet. This explains much about why the Biden and Silicon Valley Administration's love the whole “transgender” thing.
I wanted to break the streak for several reasons. As part of my research for my book, *Young Money*, I’d been investigating the lives of young Wall Street bankers – the 22-year-olds toiling at the bottom of the financial sector’s food chain. I knew what made those people tick. But in my career as a financial journalist, one question that proved stubbornly elusive was what happened to Wall Streeters as they climbed the ladder to adulthood. Whenever I’d interviewed CEOs and chairmen at big Wall Street firms, they were always too guarded, too on-message and wrapped in media-relations armor to reveal anything interesting about the psychology of the ultra-wealthy. But if I could somehow see these barons in their natural environment, with their defenses down, I might be able to understand the world my young subjects were stepping into.

So when I learned when and where Kappa Beta Phi’s annual dinner was being held, I knew I needed to try to go.

Getting in was shockingly easy — a brisk walk past the sign-in desk, and I was inside cocktail hour. Immediately, I saw faces I recognized from the papers. I picked up an event program and saw that there were other boldface names on the Kappa Beta Phi membership roll — among them, then-Citigroup CEO Vikram Pandit, BlackRock CEO Larry Fink, Home Depot billionaire Ken Langone, Morgan Stanley bigwig Greg Fleming, and JPMorgan Chase vice chairman Jimmy Lee. Any way you count, this was one of the most powerful groups of business executives in the world. (Since I was a good 20 years younger than any other attendee, I suspect that anyone taking note of my presence assumed I was a waiter.)

I hadn’t counted on getting in to the Kappa Beta Phi dinner, and now that I had gotten past security, I wasn’t sure quite what to do. I wanted to avoid rousing suspicion, and I knew that talking to people would get me outed in short order. So I did the next best thing — slouched against a far wall of the room, and pretended to tap out emails on my phone.
The Kappa Beta Phi neophyte class of cross-dressing bilionaires.
After cocktail hour, the new inductees – all of whom were required to dress in leotards and gold-sequined skirts, with costume wigs – began their variety-show acts. Among the night’s lowlights:

• **Paul Queally**, a private-equity executive with Welsh, Carson, Anderson, & Stowe, told off-color jokes to **Ted Virtue**, another private-equity bigwig with MidOcean Partners. The jokes ranged from unfunny and sexist (Q: “What’s the biggest difference between Hillary Clinton and a catfish?” A: “One has whiskers and stinks, and the other is a fish”) to unfunny and homophobic (Q: “What’s the biggest difference between Barney Frank and a Fenway Frank?” A: “Barney Frank comes in different-size buns”).

• **Bill Mulrow**, a top executive at the Blackstone Group (who was later appointed chairman of the New York State Housing Finance Agency), and **Emil Henry**, a hedge fund manager with Tiger Infrastructure Partners and former assistant secretary of the Treasury, performed a bizarre two-man comedy skit. Mulrow was dressed in raggedy, tie-dye clothes to play the part of a liberal radical, and Henry was playing the part of a wealthy baron. They exchanged lines as if staging a debate between the 99 percent and the 1 percent. (“Bill, look at you! You’re pathetic, you liberal! You need a bath!” Henry shouted. “My God, you callow, insensitive Republican! Don’t you know what we need to do? We need to create jobs,” Mulrow shot back.)

• **David Moore, Marc Lasry, and Keith Meister** — respectively, a holding company CEO, a billionaire hedge-fund manager, and an activist investor — sang a few seconds of a finance-themed parody of “YMCA” before getting the hook.

• **Warren Stephens**, an investment banking CEO, took the stage in a Confederate flag hat and sang a song about the financial crisis, set to the tune of “Dixie.” (“In Wall Street land we’ll take our stand, said Morgan and Goldman. But first we better get some loans, so quick, get to the Fed, man.”)
WELCOME TO SILICON VALLEY

SEX... POWER... TOO MUCH MONEY...
WHAT DO YOU GET A "PRINCE"

HOME OF SEX ABUSE, RAPE, IDEA THEFT, WHITE ELITISTS, HOOKERS, CORRUPTION, BRIBERY, ASSHOLES, WHITE HOUSE FINANCING, PRIVACY RAPE, GOOGLE & FACEBOOK!
A few more acts followed, during which the veteran Kappas continued to gorge themselves on racks of lamb, throw petits fours at the stage, and laugh uproariously. Michael Novogratz, a former Army helicopter pilot with a shaved head and a stocky build whose firm, Fortress Investment Group, had made him a billionaire, was sitting next to me, drinking liberally and annotating each performance with jokes and insults.

“Can you fuckin’ believe Lasry up there?” Novogratz asked me. I nodded. He added, “He just gave me a ride in his jet a month ago.”

The neophytes – who had changed from their drag outfits into Mormon missionary costumes — broke into their musical finale: a parody version of “I Believe,” the hit ballad from The Book of Mormon, with customized lyrics like “I believe that God has a plan for all of us. I believe my plan involves a seven-figure bonus.” Amused, I pulled out my phone, and began recording the proceedings on video. Wrong move.
The grand finale, a parody of “I Believe” from The Book of Mormon
“Who the hell are you?” Novogratz demanded.

I felt my pulse spike. I was tempted to make a run for it, but – due to the ethics code of the New York Times, my then-employer – I had no choice but to out myself.

“I’m a reporter,” I said.

Novogratz stood up from the table.

“You’re not allowed to be here,” he said.

I, too, stood, and tried to excuse myself, but he grabbed my arm and wouldn’t let go.

“Give me that or I’ll fucking break it!” Novogratz yelled, grabbing for my phone, which was filled with damning evidence. His eyes were bloodshot, and his neck veins were bulging. The song onstage was now over, and a number of prominent Kappas had rushed over to our table. Before the situation could escalate dangerously, a bond investor and former Grand Swipe named Alexandra Lebenthal stepped in between us. Wilbur Ross quickly followed, and the two of them led me out into the lobby, past a throng of Wall Street tycoons, some of whom seemed to be hyperventilating.

Once we made it to the lobby, Ross and Lebenthal reassured me that what I’d just seen wasn’t really a group of wealthy and powerful financiers making homophobic jokes, making light of the financial crisis, and bragging about their business conquests at Main Street’s expense. No, it was just a group of friends who came together to roast each other in a benign and self-deprecating manner. Nothing to see here.

But the extent of their worry wasn’t made clear until Ross offered himself up as a source for future stories in exchange for my cooperation.

“I’ll pick up the phone anytime, get you any help you need,” he said.

“Yeah, the people in this group could be very helpful,” Lebenthal chimed in. “If you could just keep their privacy in mind.”

I wasn’t going to be bribed off my story, but I understood their panic. Here, after all, was a group that included many of the executives whose firms had collectively wrecked the global economy in 2008 and 2009. And they were laughing off the entire disaster in private, as if it were a long-forgotten lark. (Or worse, sing about it — one of the last skits of the night was a self-congratulatory parody of ABBA’s “Dancing Queen,” called “Bailout King.”) These were activities that amounted to a gigantic middle finger to Main Street and that, if made public, could end careers and damage very public reputations.

After several more minutes spent trying to do damage control, Ross and Lebenthal escorted me out of the St. Regis.

As I walked through the streets of midtown in my ill-fitting tuxedo, I thought about the implications of what I’d just seen.
The first and most obvious conclusion was that the upper ranks of finance are composed of people who have completely divorced themselves from reality. No self-aware and socially conscious Wall Street executive would have agreed to be part of a group whose tacit mission is to make light of the financial sector’s foibles. Not when those foibles had resulted in real harm to millions of people in the form of foreclosures, wrecked 401(k)s, and a devastating unemployment crisis.

The second thing I realized was that Kappa Beta Phi was, in large part, a fear-based organization. Here were executives who had strong ideas about politics, society, and the work of their colleagues, but who would never have the courage to voice those opinions in a public setting. Their cowardice had reduced them to sniping at their perceived enemies in the form of satirical songs and sketches, among only those people who had been handpicked to share their view of the world. And the idea of a reporter making those views public had caused them to throw a mass temper tantrum.

The last thought I had, and the saddest, was that many of these self-righteous Kappa Beta Phi members had surely been first-year bankers once. And in the 20, 30, or 40 years since, something fundamental about them had changed. Their pursuit of money and power had removed them from the larger world to the sad extent that, now, in the primes of their careers, the only people with whom they could be truly themselves were a handful of other prominent financiers.

Perhaps, I realized, this social isolation is why despite extraordinary evidence to the contrary, one-percenters like Ross keep saying how badly persecuted they are. When you’re a member of the fraternity of money, it can be hard to see past the foie gras to the real world.

*Copyright by Kevin Roose. All rights reserved.*
(Above) This is billionaire Jacque Littlefield, also connected to interesting mineral mining deals, standing next to the fully operational armored division he kept in his garage in Woodside, California in Silicon Valley. He was the friend and neighbor of Elon Musk, John Doerr, Eric Schmidt and the other suspects. He had an around-the-clock maintenance crew who kept his tanks and military equipment fully functional, at all times. His functioning armored division was larger, and better maintained, than that of many South American dictatorships. When asked why he had all of that in his massive, custom built garage, he replied: “just in case”.
Why did the key investors of Tesla meet with persons and groups from the Russian government and business sectors who State Department has identified as having mob connections? Could it have to do with all of the trillions of dollars

The Psychology of The Silicon Valley Billionaire: Why So Many Of Them Are Sociopaths

Why do billionaires have islands for sex orgy's, jet planes for pedophiles, pedophile child murder clubs, hire a hooker-a-day, put hit-jobs on competitors, hire character assassination teams, cheat on their taxes even though they can afford to pay them, ignore the law, abuse people in public, treat women like cattle, fire people on a whim, abuse waiters, go through wives like butter, manipulate Congress and have the life-style of Sodom and Gomorrah?

Because they can or because they are too mentally disturbed to know better?

Why do Mark Zuckerberg, Elon Musk, John Doerr and Eric Schmidt feel like it is their job to take over the U.S. Congress and mold government into their personal sociopathic vision?
What Silicon Valley Thinks of Women

BY NINA BURLEIGH / JANUARY 28, 2015 5:41 PM EST

As the World Deflates / The End of Childhood

What Silicon Valley Thinks of Women
Why can't they just buy an insanely large McMansion in Atherton, California and the world's most expensive fishing pole and just go fishing all over the world?

Why does Vinod Kohsla have to get in this giant lawsuit with the whole state of California so he can shut down part of California's pristine Pacific Ocean park system for his personal Frat Boy club?

Why did London's super-money and political elite round up young boys from the poor side of town, sexually abuse them, then kill them and think this was an Ok organization to have around?

Why are Gary D. Conley, Wall Street Reporter David Bird and a large number of people, who whistle-blew on billionaires, dead or missing?

As everyone has seen, these are the daily stories in the news. These people actually do these things on a regular basis. They only hang out with other billionaires, who also do the same crimes, infidelities and extremes so they all think they can get away with these kinds of crimes. This does not just affect the billionaires. There actions harm millions of innocent victims. Let's take a look at the problem:

There are about 50 American creative inventors that have gotten shafted by Kleiner Perkins, Eric Schmidt, Steve Jurvetson, and the Silicon Valley Cartel, via an organized system of theft, and arrogance, that is quite audacious. Each, and every, time these domestic creators invented a major thing, which had never existed before, the U.S. Government, the industry and potential customers; said that these inventors were the first ones to invent that thing. Not a single one of these VC's had ever worked on, been involved in, or developed anything like it before.

Then, one of a handful of, closely associated, Silicon Valley VC's, asks one of the inventor's to show them their new invention under the guise of "maybe investing in it".

Then the VC copies it, while running media troll attacks on the inventor's effort, in order to clear the market.

The VC's then market a 100% copy of the invention, via a Kleiner, or Draper, associated "spin-off" and never pay the inventor's a dime.

The VC's set up a legal structure designed to cost the inventor's millions of dollars to sue those VC's for the rip-offs. On top of that, the VC's already have most of the law firms working for them, and threaten the firms, with revenue cut-offs, if they help any of the inventors. In addition to their “No Poaching Conspiracy” , the VC’s also have a “No Sue Conspiracy”, going on at the same time. The VC's also bribe federal elected officials to keep them protected.

The FBI, The SEC, The FTC, and attorney generals, are supposed to protect citizens from such crimes, and abuse, but the bosses of the regulatory agencies have a great fear of upsetting campaign financiers; so not much gets done. This is one of the reason’s the public has no faith in Congress any more. This VC scam is part of a process called "The Slip" and it is a grifter's scam to steal things from unsuspecting members of the public, in this case American Inventors. The Slip has been
commercialized, organized, and computerized by Silicon Valley billionaires in a very systematic program of intellectual theft.

Why would these VC’s risk legal battles and a lifetime of hatred from these inventors? It is called “Arrogance”

The media, public and sociology has clearly analysed Silicon Valley culture and confirmed, in tens of thousands of news reports and studies that Silicon Valley is run by:
“A private male frat-house insider-club, from Yale and Stanford, who revel in their misogyny, racism, and mine-is-bigger-than-yours hubris, and use more prostitutes than any other American region in the nation.”

These “privileged” white males do not EVER want anyone to know that they didn’t create many of the things that they made their billions off of. Many of those things, the VC’s just stole. They made Silicon Valley into a Kinko’s copy center for other people’s ideas.

Inventor Mike Chieky, digital media creator Micheal Arrington, and vast numbers of other creators didn’t have the symmetrical facial structure of the frat boys, they didn’t have the family connections of the “approved” elites and they didn’t hang out at the Woodside community center or hire the $2000.00 per hour hookers that some of the VC’s did. They just “didn’t fit in”. They didn’t have “the look”. They “didn’t play the game”. They didn’t get invited in.

The VC’s say: “In Silicon Valley you are either a tool or you are The Machine”.

Many of Google’s products were created by other’s, before Google was even a real company, and simply stolen by Google.

The same for Apple.

Guess who just funded the massive lobbying effort, in Washington DC, to make inventors “illegal” and remove any legal protections for inventors? The investors of Google, Apple and the Kleiner Perkins Cartel!

State and federal officials have been assisting the Silicon Valley Cartel in terminating American innovation because the Cartel bribes them, with campaign funding, to do so.

The Cartel went very big, on their game-plan, recently. As one Silicon Valley insider described some recent, epic, VC scams: “If you type “Silicon Valley Cartel No Poaching” into www.duckduckgo.com you will see quite a lot of news stories about how Kleiner and the Boys rigged the Valley so that no Paki’s (Indian H1-B workers) could get hired by other VC holdings, so that they would get booted back to India before they could make IP ownership claims. This followed right on the heels of the “AngelGate Scandal” where the VC’s were caught red-handed colluding to rig the VC industry against founders…”

VC insiders Ravi Kumra and Forrest Hayes were murdered by their hookers. $2000.00 bucks an hour doesn’t buy the kind of gal it used to.
Kleiner VC: Vinohd Khosla, has gotten himself sued and hated by California for taking the States favourite beach away, just for his friends. As the lawyer suing Khosla says: “it is about his sheer Arrogance”.

Kleiner VC, and Founder: Tom Perkins, was in the news recently for called poor people: “Nazi’s” for not appreciating how wonderful the VC’s are.

VC Joe Lonsdale is facing rape and abuse charges. Cartel boss, and Kleiner head, John Doerr just got, famously, sued by his own partner for sex and misogyny abuse. Kleiner associate: Ray Lane was nailed for massive tax fraud.

As if the arrogance could not get more outlandish, VC Tim Draper just ran a failed ballot initiative to try to make Silicon Valley it’s own state so that they could build a border-line, away from those filthy “poor’s” in East Palo Alto. These VC’s appear to have some major issues.

This gang of white, yuppie, elitists created the National Venture Capital Association and their private CIA hit-squad: In-Q-Tel, who funded the spy portions of Google, Facebook, Linkedin and the other privacy abuse ops. They never miss an opportunity for a good collusion.

The problem is, these boys created a glass bubble that was so air-tight, they never saw what was coming from the outside: What came was Mike Judge’s “Silicon Valley” TV show expose; public disdain of their arrogance; hundreds of Snowdens, created by their abuse of their own workers; Ellen Pao; The fall of the main-stream media, that they thought they owned; the realization that frat houses housed “rape factories” and men who were socially programmed to abuse; and the general trend towards really not liking arrogant pricks all that much.

Will law enforcement and regulatory agencies ever do their jobs and take action against these abusers? Will any legal recourse ever exist to halt the abuse of America’s innovation treasure: It’s creative inventors?

Thanks to leakers, hackers, whistle-blowers and investigations, the insider rigging of industries is now out on the table and it is a dirty, dirty scene. The shiny towers of Sandhill Road hide a cesspool of corruption and Mafioso-like double-dealing.

It is time to take a look at a Valley that has turned into a Pit!
Meet John, One Of The Godfathers Of The Mob

John Doerr’s Kleiner Perkins, and other “Venture Capital” groups run a cartel to try to control energy, auto, internet and other industries.

Why are almost all of the venture capitalist males from one of a handful of schools who all attended the same fraternities and were in the same clubs and who predominantly only fund others from that group, or companies with others in controlling positions, in those companies?

These VC’s have all overtly aligned with the following organizations: ACA, WBAA, NASVF, NACO, beAngels, Angel Associated, HBAN and the Bilderberg protocols.

They have meetings where they agree on what groups of company types to fund and which disruptive technologies to agree, together, to shun, in order to protect their current investments. Isn’t that a monopolistic practice? Shouldn’t the justice department be suing and regulating them?

You can read about this story:
“Bullivant, House & Bailey law firm represented high profile investors from around the U.S. and Canada against a well-known venture capitalist and a former Big 5 accounting firm. Claims of conversion, breach of fiduciary duty and breach of contract were brought against the venture capitalist. Claims of fraud, accounting malpractice, breach of contract, conspiracy, and aiding and abetting were brought against the accounting firm. The jury awarded more than $60 million in compensatory and punitive damages in favor of our clients. The case showed that VC’s operate on an organized crime modus operando.” In http://www.growvc.com/blog/2010/09/venture-capital-conspiracy-theory-in-the-free-world/

You can also read about this story which exposes Silicon Valley VC collusion: “Wow! Really? No Way! Wow!….this was my reaction to the ‘revelation’ of a post by Michael Arrington’s “So a Blogger Walks Into A Bar…”

These true accounts of what happens when Tech Crunch’s Mike Arrington walks into a Silicon Valley bar has all the trappings of a gangster movie. For starters, the bar, a group of powerful tech investors meeting, colluding, plotting against any competition, an agenda on how to control the industry and monopolize and this is NOT a movie! Here is an extract of Mike’s account: “I’ve never seen a more guilty looking group of people. But that alone isn’t that big of a deal. Lively conversations often die quickly when I arrive, and I’ve learned not to take it personally. But I did sniff around a little afterwards, and have spoken to three people who were at that meeting. And that’s where things got interesting.”

This group of investors, which together account for nearly 100% of early stage startup deals in Silicon Valley, have been meeting regularly to compare notes. Early on it was mostly to complain about a variety of things. But the conversation has evolved to the point where these super angels are actually colluding (and I don’t use that word lightly) to solve a number of problems, say multiple sources who are part of the group and were at the dinner.
Is there room for price fixing, total control and a greed based structure in the free market world? Is this really best for startups? For entrepreneurs? For Silicon Valley which is a renowned culture known for promoting innovation and talent in startups? This entire scenario is wrong on so many different levels but knowing this is what can happen within closed doors here are some changes critical to a culture which reflects the values of a entrepreneurial community and a better future for startups:

1. We need transparency. This is very evident from what we’ve just witnessed.

2. Do things in the open and on the record. Why can’t investors, entrepreneurs and other stakeholders work in a transparent environment online where there is automatic accountability and governance through open interactions and a community that can see what’s going on?

3. The system has to benefit all parties involved. Entrepreneurs, investors and others. It needs to be fair and favor innovation. Bring up the best. Mystique, lack of transparency, complex rules are not benefit of anything but greed

4. There is no room for protectionism in a free market. We don’t need early stage capital markets to be exclusive to a select few who control everything. We need to make it more inclusive and involve as many as possible. The more support, the more investors, more and better companies will be born.

“*At Bucks Restaurant in Woodside, California every morning a group of venture capitalists meet for breakfast strategy sessions. Alas, with the age of the iphone, many of those meetings have been recorded by equally hungry entrepreneurs and now posted on YouTube. Before some of them were removed, it was stunning to see the audacious and mercenary mindsets of these people in their search-and-destroy mentality regarding competing interests...*”

The now famous Kleiner Perkins sex abuse law suit has revealed some juicy inside information about the reduction of women and competitors in the industry to “targets and termination goals”...There are hundreds of tales like this that can be easily researched. So the question is: Was part of the DOE energy funding failure because a group of venture capitalists, including Goldman Sachs, rigged the system? Did these VC’s contribute campaign finances in exchange for control of certain industries? Who met with these VC’s during the staging period, while they still worked for the government, that then left well paying government jobs to go work in the private sector?

A Dear John Letter – Silicon Valley Version:
Dear John Doerr:
Mr. Doerr, Federal law enforcement agents, U.S. Senators, intelligence agency officers, well known journalists, private investigators, your ex-employees, lawyers and others tell us that you:

- Ripped-off many people’s intellectual property by having your people ask to look at entrepreneur’s technologies, under the guise of “considering an investment”, when all you wanted to do was spy out things you could steal.
- You then rolled out 100% copies of entrepreneur’s technologies under, other names, funded by your operation.
- YOU organized the Silicon Valley “No-Poaching Conspiracy” and screwed over quite a large number of workers.
- You put plants into entrepreneurs companies. These were “moles” who actually worked for you, and you sent them in to damage and disrupt many small start-up companies because their technology was beating your competing copycat attempts.
- You ran a mining commodity scam, with your Russian “business partners” and some crooked senators, to try to take over the lithium and silicon mineral markets working with Elon Musk and the Solyndra crowd.
- When you got caught doing that, you put a hit job on the people you didn’t like, using the contract character assassination groups: “Media Matters”, “Think Progress”, New America Foundation, “In-Q-Tel”, “Gawker Media” and people like John Podesta, Lois Lerner and Sidney Blumenthal.
- Your ex partners have “Nazi” issues, tax evasion issues, a taking-over-California-beaches issue, a felony issue... and well, let’s just say, you really hang around with a lot of Dicks!
- You pretty much destroyed Silicon Valley and turned it into a misogynistic, frat-boy club, abusing, black-hating nightmare of a place.
- You caused events, which caused the demise of Gary D. Conley, and other people
- You traded “CleanTech” cash for campaign finance conduit schemes and database/search engine rigging in order to manipulate elections using your company holding: Google.
- You financed and promoted Steven Chu and Eric Holder into office in order to effect your “CleanTech Kick-Back Scheme”.

We see even more about you that is pretty bad, and pretty well documented in the media. You sound like a pretty twisted guy with some deeply disturbed power-trip motivations.

So here’s the deal. Mobster-like people should, by law, be shut down and run out of town. With the new transparent, open, world that we live in, that now seems pretty do-able.

Let’s see if everybody on the internet, without breaking a single law, can track down every one of your assets, false fronts, off-shore tax havens, illicit deals, political bribes, PAC’s, homes, lovers, videos, victims, lies, public policy manipulations, Russian “pals”, backdoor deals, and every portfolio asset you have on Earth. Let’s see how the shoe fits on the other foot.

Let’s see if the world can tweet all that at hashtag #Johnsxbadguy

Let’s see if they can torrent all of it with keyword: johnsxbadguy-tor
Let’s see if anybody comes up to you, at your next speaking engagement, or restaurant visit, and arrests you in a citizen’s arrest.

Let’s see if the class-action that led to the anti-poaching lawsuit, against your gang, can be re-invoked as a RICO Racketeering lawsuit against your whole company.

The international law enforcement crowd is pretty busy with all of the ruckus in the Middle East. The citizens of the world will now help those beleaguered law enforcement people, by gathering some files on you. After all, your Guistra-esque mining manipulations in Afghanistan, Bolivia and other regions caused some of the very instability, and corruption, that law enforcement now has to deal with.

Well John, this has been fun.

See you in Hell.

Love,
The World Outside of Your Douchebag Glass Bubble

## Can Kleiner Perkins and Goldman Sachs Rig Stock Market Prices Via Direct Electronic Back Door Links to Stock Market Servers? YES! ##

- So why isn't anybody doing anything about it?
- Are the White House and the Fed protecting the campaign backing Musk/Doerr companies in the stock market, no matter how bad they are actually doing?
- The Book, and coming film: "FLASH BOYS" details this trick
- Small traders already being indicted for Flash Boy tricks but big boys ignored because they are campaign backers
- Direct evidence of rigging of Tesla Motors stock market ticker data exposed
- Doesn't allowing this to continue destroy the value and credibility of the NYSE?
- Should campaign backers get to break the system just because they want to?

One inventor/CEO crossed John Doerr, and Kleiner Perkins, and got a character assassination hatchet job article put out on him. The victims name was Mike Chieky.

"Killing Mike Chieky: Silicon Valley"

Kleiner VC’s want total control of “Green Energy”, but only their version, so they “kill” off the outsiders!

The Verge published an article, by a writer who, his targets say, is “a hired character assassin”. The article covers a prolific inventor who is painted as a “criminal” because he has invented “too many things”. The writer clearly set out to do a malicious hatchet job on the guy, including fake post-story blog comments by the same attackers. Let’s look at both sides of the case.
The price of being a creator is high, but the price of conflicting with the Silicon Valley VC’s is PR death. or, in the case of Gary Connely, Real death

(An investigation charges Silicon Valley VC’s with causing, or ordering, his death. The story is almost the same as Chieky except Connely ended up with a bullet in his head)

Chieky built and sold a number of things for a few decades; like Edison, Tesla, Marconi, and tens of thousands of other inventors. As with all people gifted with the use of more brain than the rest of us, he was abrupt and had poor social skills, like Facebook’s Mark Zuckerberg, featured in films as a hopeless socio-pariah. The writer had no ability to comprehend the way that Chieky communicates and paints him from an acrimonious perspective without giving Chieky any response outlet or counter-point within the story. The writer clearly didn’t want to hear feedback from Chieky, or his partners, he wanted to “kill” him in the media.

Giving the writer the benefit of the doubt: So what if Chieky was a dick in his personality style. Steve Jobs is famous for being a dick. Half of the Google execs are screwing their staff and cheating on their wives in glorious ValleyWag technicolor dick-ness. Larry Ellison is glorified for Dick-hood. Being A DICK IN SILICON VALLEY seems to be the main ingredient to success. So why destroy Chieky for using short sentences and speaking efficiently without platitude embellishment, as autistics do? There are a vast number of pictures of Steve Jobs with an equally bad haircut and eye-glass selection as Chieky. Did Jobs deserve to die for that? Does Chieky?

Chieky is autistic, according to his ex employees. Should Ben Popper be destroying the lives of war veterans that have no legs? Should Ben Popper be destroying the life of Mark Zuckerberg because he has Aspergers Syndrome? Do only those in the Frat boy club get a pass on not treating their disability as a sin? What publisher let’s his staff write and deliver stories that tell disabled American’s that they will never be looked at as actually enabled in special ways?

Chieky spent his life creating and building things to improve the lives of Americans, and people around the world, and this is how we pay him back? All of his inventions are for the greater good. One article like this ruins one’s life forever. Did Chieky actually deserve it? Where is the counterpoint. If Chieky actually is “evil” as the article says, then let’s string him up. But if the author is evil, then resize the noose. Where is the counterpoint from Chieky and his representatives to the charges in the article?

Chieky seems to have made the mistake of crossing the path of these GREEN ENERGY VC guys and accidentally competing with John Doerr’s grand schemes for controlling “clean energy resources”. Do Draper, Khosla, Perkins, Doerr and the rest, get to destroy those that are not in “the frat boy club” with impunity?

Chieky is terrifying to the Silicon Valley VC’s because he has 1.) a proven history of inventing things that obsolete their older investments, 2.) a proven history of getting funded and 3.) a proven history of launching products. The three skills that no Stanford frat boy VC can stand because they can’t do it. The VC’s are little money automatons and not “creators”. The VC’s can only grub up cash from pension funds and banking groups as they follow each other like sheep.
Alas, The Verge published the article in all countries and thus gives Chieky the right to file defamation lawsuits overseas, where there are no SLAPP laws protecting tabloids. Cyber bully laws can now be enforced in a large number of states and countries. Writer Popper may have also violated ADA laws.

Kleiner Perkin’s runs black-lists, funding blockades of competing start-ups, attacks on competitors, sex trafficking hooker contracts, and total control of Silicon Valley start-up potential.
The Silicon Valley Cartel Has Bought Every California Senator

When U. S. Senators Harry Reid and Dianne Feinstein realized they could each make billions of dollars, personally, via their family stock holdings and campaign funds, they didn't waste a minute stabbing their own constituents in the back to get at that cash.

California and Nevada Green Car Companies, Solar Companies, Energy Technology Companies, Green Builders and Transit Companies were hacked off at the knees because they competed with the stock assets that Reid and Feinstein had acquired in their kick-back deals.

These other applicants were all "GREEN COMPANIES": supposedly the Democrat's favorite things. It doesn't matter, though, how "green" your company was, if it was in the path for the "green cash" from Tesla or Solyndra. Two of the favored companies who paid the kick-backs to federal officials.

Is the argument from Feinstein's office that: "there just wasn't enough money left at the Department of Energy" true? No, it is a 100% out-right lie. The Federal GAO, the people that watch for corruption, state that there has always been, and still is, billions and billions of dollars, set aside EXCLUSIVELY for these companies, that was never used. In Fact, there was always more than enough money to fund every single applicant.

Staff from ZAP Motors, Redwood Solar, Aptera and dozens of other companies have specifically stated that Harry Reid, Dianne Feinstein and their Cheif's of Staff, personally wrote to them, spoke with them and lied to them.

Under Eric Holder, (who is Feinstein's, Reid's and Steven Chu's buddy) refused to take any action. Now it is Lynch's turn.

Harry Reid sabotaged the applicants for Department of Energy Funds if they competed with Kleiner Perkins companies who were funding the campaigns of Reid and Feinstein through PAC's and family trusts.

Further, Recent disclosures, such as the following, shed disturbing light on the depths of this criminal; behavior:

"Did the LA TIMES Article on Elon Musk Kick-Backs actually disclose an organized crime operation involving some very famous people?

The LA TIMES just ran an article which exposes Elon Musk's funding system, based on Government hand-outs. Musk rushed to hold a press conference to claim the amount of taxpayer cash, he has received, was "just a pittance". Few, though, find over FIVE BILLION DOLLARS of tax waivers, credits, employment freebies, buildings, exclusive contracts, and other taxpayer provided goodies, to be "a pittance" in these tough times.
While it is true that Musk has now received more taxpayer hand-outs, than any individual in American history, one could argue that he is just a lucky business man.

But, just for the purpose of theoretical discussion, what if some of the darker theories about Musk's coincidental windfalls have some merit?

Let's jump right to the most sinister interpretation of things.

One of the whispered rumours, on the web holds that in 2007, right when Musk's silent partners, Eric Schmidt and John Doerr started, practically, living at the White House in a lobbying frenzy, the Democrats finally acknowledged that they really were the party of the poor, because they were flat broke. So some of them came up with the idea of creating a Department of Energy fund called the “ATVM Fund”, loading it up with $25 Billion kick-back dollars of taxpayer cash, awarding it only to Schmidt/Doerr/Musk related companies, and shutting out all of their competitors.

Indeed, history shows that the fund actually was created. It really got $25 Billion, in a unique legal manner, which made the $25 Billion irrevocable. Schmidt/Doerr/Musk's buddy: Steven Chu, was put in charge of it. He hired their friends to staff his office.

See where this is going?

So, per this theory, Musk is actually a kick-back conduit, protected by the White House, to spiff the DNC campaign funds...according to this theory. Which is just a theory. It couldn't really happen, right? The White House wouldn't prop up a guy just to keep him quiet and keep kick-back cash flowing.. right?

So this theory is kind of ironic because, in an amazing coincidence, everything that Musk get's involved in, is exactly stuff that the White House is promoting.

In an even more bizarre coincidence, to pull a scam like this off, the Schmidt/Doerr/Musk Cartel would need the help of U.S. Senators Reid and Feinstein, the most beloved American politicians in history.

This whole theory must have come out of the fact that Reid and Feinstein made billions of dollars off of Schmidt/Doerr/Musk Cartel stock investments, "Flash Boy" stock manipulations, and campaign contributions, but, that was, of course just another funny coincidence. They even ran, and built, some of the properties that these Department of Energy “winners” companies sat upon. But, again, coincidence!

The main reason one might cast doubt on this theory is that there are so many hard facts to prove it, that it is just too good to be true, right?

All of the profitable chemicals that Tesla and Solyndra were going to buy and sell in volume were controlled by the investors in Kliener Perkins and commodity-managed by their partners: Goldman Sachs.

Musk's companies have poor business volume, but show a profit whenever there is bad news thanks to
Kleiner rushing out to “Flash Boy” purchase Tesla stock, with an automated stock buying system, in order to pump the stock. Federal, and private investigator, records show that this actually happened. It has also been proven that only Google, Reddit and the other Kleiner-controlled web-news-media mask all negative Musk/Tesla news in perfect synchronization with the stock pumps, when no other publications on the planet do. Again, these are just coincidences. If people were consciously doing those kinds of things it would be mobster-ism on a Godfather-class scale.

Originators of the scam, from the White House side, were Emanuel, Plouffe, Axelrod, Rattner and Gibbs. Emanuel, Plouffe, Axelrod, Rattner and Gibbs suddenly quit the White House, at the peak of their career, for reasons that don't make sense. The fact that one of them was indicted for stock market fraud only goes to strengthen concerns.

So, even if this really had happened, the brave and fearless protector of ethics and morality: Mr. Eric Holder, would have cracked right down on his friends here who he knew personally, and had dinner with, and had the same lawyers with ”oh,, well..” but anyway. Eric would have jumped on them, right? The White House wouldn’t order the Justice Department and the FBI to ignore Elon Musk, John Doerr and the Silicon Valley Cartel as a kick-back protection order, now would they?

Mobsters kill people. Rajeev Motwani, David Bird, Gary D. Conley, 3 Tesla engineers and a large bunch of others did, certainly, die under mysterious circumstances, and they were all people that this cartel would want dead, but to say that these billionaires are off murdering their adversaries using mysterious CIA stealth murder tricks is overly intriguing.

Of course, as we all now know, every single one of those companies in the ATVM Fund scam grabbed the cash, paid their CEO and Goldman Sachs insiders off-the-top, and immediately went bankrupt in the largest government-funded sequential business-failure suite in history. While some argue that a secret GOP Task Force discovered the plot and forced all of the Kleiner Cartel DOE “Winners” to collapse, in punishment, this is probably another coincidence.

Are these coincidences or intentionally ignored facts? Is The White House ordering law enforcement to ignore this issue because they know that a number of their top former senior staff are required to be arrested?

Here is the main argument against this theory, Mobsters are big greasy looking guys with bulbous broken noses and slicked back pompadours. These Musk and Kleiner people wear khaki’s and black turtlenecks. KHAKI'S for god-damn sakes!!

**Is Dianne Feinstein a Crook? Did she, and her family, engage in criminal corruption and the "rectal hydration" of American taxpayers?**

You never heard so much about "rectal hydration", "anal pumping" and "deep injection butt tubes" until Dianne Feinstein showed up, but did she apply the political equivalent of these techniques to the American taxpayer? Did she dive deep into the dark crevasses of political corruption and gouge out huge globs of special interest, kickback and insider trading cash in a very unethical and illegal manner?

Let's take a look. What are the facts and what do they show?
Given any other American citizens, if you ask the question, "What are the odds that any person would have this many conflicts of interest?"

The answer would come back: "It is nearly impossible for these conflicts of interest to have existed unless their was an organized criminal operation underway designed to deceive the taxpayers!"

Herb Newman is her relative in Sausalito California at Newman Search. He ran hiring for Solyndra, Tesla and other Feinstein schemes. He runs Chinese insider deals with Feinstein's husband. He has been working with China (you know, the one's hacking every computer in America) since 1972 with the sole purpose of hanging out with the People’s Republic of China. This was shortly after completing his degree in Political Science and International Relations at UC Davis and completing two years towards an MBA at Golden Gate University. In 1973 Mr. Newman arrived in Canton at the invitation of the Chinese Council for the Promotion of International Trade. (CCPIT). He and his company MVTC was one of fifty businesses to be invited to the Canton Trade Fair held in Kwangchow China. He and his associate Darryl Schoon, are on travel watch-lists. His participation in Tesla, Solyndra and Feinstein related public policy scams is a complete conflict-of-interest.

Her family owned the land, construction company, leases, contracts, insiders stocks and liaisons for these operations.

California State Controller Bill Lockyer, and a large number of State Tax Officials, gave The Silicon Valley Cartel HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS of exclusive kick-backs and payola routed through Side-by-Side neighbors and hard-wired insiders: Tesla and Solyndra. All of their competitors were denied the same benefits and blockaded from accessing the same resources, in order to financially, and criminally, protect the Cartel and their stock market holdings, which many State officials also held stock in. Dianne Feinstein's family holdings also included the same stock gifts from the Cartel, as payola. Additionally, stock exchanges, stock market valuation bumps, free real estate, cheap real estate, aircraft resources, tax credits, write-offs, and other favors were provided by State officers exclusively to Tesla a Solyndra while being denied, or blockaded from their competitors.

It's easy to dismiss conspiracy theorists who claim that the 9/11 attacks were staged by the U.S. government or that Princess Diana was actually murdered. But just because some accusations are far-fetched, that doesn't mean that conspiracies don't ever happen.

To the contrary, history is filled with examples of real-life conspiracies. Recently, forensic scientists used a computerized tomography (CT) scan to examine the mummy of the Egyptian pharaoh Ramesses III, who died in 1155 B.C., and spotted a wide, deep wound in his throat, probably caused by a sharp blade. That confirmed what Egyptologists already had discovered by perusing ancient papyrus scrolls that Ramesses had been the victim of a conspiracy by members of his harem, who murdered him in an attempt to seize power [source: ScienceDaily].

But it's also revealing that the conspirators against Ramesses didn't get away with their deed, apparently because they were overheard discussing their plot. Before long, they were arrested and eventually executed [source: Records of the Harem Conspiracy].
As former Nixon White House aide G. Gordon Liddy (a key figure in the notorious Watergate scandal and cover-up) noted, the big problem with conspiracies is that people can't keep their mouths shut [source: Shermer]. That tendency to blab may stem from a desire to take credit for an ingenious plot, but it also may have something to do with the stress of duplicity. A 2012 study published in the Journal of Experimental Psychology found that secret-keeping exacted a physical toll on subjects, increasing the effort needed to perform tasks, and even making hills that they had to climb feel steeper.

So it's no wonder that the bad stuff gets out, eventually
The Silicon Valley Cartel Uses The CIA For Dirty Tricks Schemes

AFI reporters feel that they have sourced evidence that details a cartel activity among the National Venture Capital Association leaders. Their members are also the same people who founded and run In-Q-Tel. These same people are also the people who lobby federal executive policy, mostly to benefit their personal stock portfolios. It is implied that the NVCA/IQT Cartel decide who gets government contracts in exchange for certain campaign resources. The top woman at the NSA was fired for running a privateering operation, per Glenn Greenwald. The Washington Post reported on the corruption in spy land when Silencers for Navy kills squads were pawned off for manufacture to a friends California car shop. The number of spies using government resources for personal profit is high but nobody has achieved greater notoriety than a Elon Musk's, Eric Schmidt's and John Doerr's silent partners at a little rogue spy operation called: IN-Q-TEL

You see, billionaires like to play at being “spies”. They feel a sexual rush from knowing that they controlled an election or monopoly. It is the pure ecstasy of narcissistic power and hubris combined with the absolute arrogance of white elitist privilege.

BULLET POINTS REGARDING IN-Q-TEL:

- Charged by Congress and Consumer Groups with HUNDREDS of violations of Constitutional, tax, securities and commercial laws
- TechCrunch, Arrington, Buzzfeed & Alex Jones expose In-Q-Tel staff and VC's as leaders of “Angel-Gate Scandal” & illegal “National Venture Capital Association Blacklisting & Market Control Collusion Cartel”. Congress & S.E.C. asked to investigate.
- Why was In-Q-Tel so involved with Google and Tesla in a covert manner?
- 6+ tons of cocaine confiscated by federal police, from IQT airplanes
- Owners of the Rendition Airplanes. Featured in Feature films: “RENDITION”, “WAG THE DOG” and “KILL THE MESSENGER
- ACLU claims IQT owns the controlling rights in most of the domestic spying companies (over 300 of them) plus controls Google and Facebook activities
- IQT/NAF/AFGHAN WAR PROFITEERS/VC INVESTORS/FRATERNITY GROUP are All The SAME group of people
- Epstein Investigations close in on corrupt billionaire club

– Spins PR as “helping technology grow” but investigators say it has become a private political spy service for Silicon Valley billionaires

– Cult-like frat-house atmosphere at their companies devoted to control of outcomes at any cost. Almost no blacks or women in their groups.

– IQT member, or associate, connected to almost every banking group arrested or charged by DOJ

– Per Congressional investigators IQT resources missed the boat on ISIS, Benghazi and over 1300+ other recent intelligence failures

– “If you went to Stanford or Harvard and were in the “approved” Fraternities, you could be in the In-Q-Tel Spy Club where dot com billionaires get to lord it over White House policy in order to enrich their personal stock portfolios.” Web News

– Now proven that IQT “spying by computer” approach is a failed concept. Computers are always wrong on how the human mind will process social events.

– Uses taxpayer dollars to sell hit jobs to corporations and bankers = illegal

– IQT Afghan War lithium/indium/Solyndra venture with Silicon Valley VC’s, has now cost American taxpayers over $6 TRILLION dollars in losses per GAO, Time Magazine, Treasury

According to one senior Congressional staffer: “In-Q-Tel was supposed to be a small office that was to help young entrepreneurs sell their wares to government agencies. Congress has been unable to examine them, or do oversight on them, because they hide behind a non-profit cover. This lack of regulation caused the Silicon Valley VC’s to believe they could get away with anything and use In-Q-Tel as a private profiteering weapon for their own stock portfolios and their private political schemes. Now we have an out-of-control operation, undertaken by a rogue group, that may, very well, be breaking quite a number of laws…”

One contracted investigator said: “If you run all of the names on the suspect lists into xKeyScore, LucidWorks, Palantir, TX-7 or similar sets of crime search engines; matrix their credit cards and then cross reference them with the database file of every major escort service, your response screen lights up like a Christmas tree. These people hire lots of ladies. Then, if you run them with a ‘peeling program’ which strips away their corporate fronts and matrix their holdings via the Cayman’s, The Ukraine and Switzerland, you find that one chunk of them likes to off-shore their tax avoidance into the same little nooks. Mostly, it is the ones from Silicon Valley, but there is much to behold when you scan this group. Ryals has reported them to the SEC for years but the new Congress will not like the DNC leverage that In-Q-Tel has been using to acquire Democratic initiatives. The Democrat IQT never saw the GOP Congress coming…”
Chris Byron- New York Post:

“There are many sensible ways the CIA could have gone about developing the technologies it needs, but funneling money into Wall Street via an outfit like In-Q-Tel was never one of them. So it will be a good thing for Wall Street – and for America, too – if the CIA’s next spymaster simply shuts the operation down.” (Contact Chris Byron via Twitter)

Alex Jones: “I will tell you something about them that will shock you…” (Available for background interviews)

The Corbett Report: “…they should be shut down..” (Available for background interviews)

“IN-Q-Tel was born in the Harvard and Stanford Frat Houses of the rich and “elite”. As the world has now seen, these frat houses teach young white men that date-rape and the use of women as disposable play things is the norm, outsiders and people of color are to be shunned, “greed is not only good” – it is the apex of your life, and the only thing that matters is beating your frat brothers in business coups to show who has the biggest penis.

You saw the Jewish holocaust and said: “How could those people do such a thing?”. You saw genocide in Africa and said: “How could those people do such a thing?” You saw Enron and Madoff and a host of epic crimes against the public and you said, in each case: “How could those people do such a thing?”

It is called Dissociation. The IN-Q-TEL leaders lost track, they lost morality and they let blind greed and boys club rules overcome decency and the law.” – LATIMES RESEARCHER

From Another Investigative News Report: “IN-Q-Tel (IQT) is NOT the CIA. In fact, over half of the actual CIA feel that IQT are “Yuppie spy wanna-be A-holes” and a “stain on the intelligence community.” Actual intelligence agents say that IN-Q-Tel began as a small business liaison office that avoided circumspection because they got to whisper “CIA” whenever they felt pressure from law enforcement or Congress. IQT is the modern day equivalent of the Watergate Burglars; political hit-men and a dirty-deeds-for-hire cabal.

This small office quickly grew to an out of control, rogue spy operation with no oversight, no controls, layers upon layers of false corporate fronts, and an agenda written by a special interest group of billionaires and investment banks. They went from protecting taxpayers to abusing the taxpayers on behalf of tycoons. They use U.S. taxpayer dollars and Silicon Valley tycoon cash to sell their spy services to The U.S. Chamber of Commerce, and other lobby groups. Conflict-of-interest? You might say so!

When the FBI and DEA confiscated IQT’s airplanes and found six tons of Cocaine, when IQT was shown to own the “Rendition” airplanes, when the largest privacy abuse in American history all tracks back to IQT’s bank accounts, when the trillion dollar loss in Afghanistan is tracked back to a trillion dollar stock scam connected to IQT’s tycoons….. you have to just stop and go…hmmmm?
Some Congressional and journalism investigators feel that IQT has fallen as close to the definition of “organized crime” as you can get. Investigations say that IQT engages in “activities that stretch the bounds of morality and the law” and run the most sinister of political hit-jobs, muckraking, lobbying and spying on American’s that are so in the news these days. Edward Snowden exposed a database called xKEYSCORE as well as many other systems which find “bad guys”. When you run the In-Q-Tel characters through these bad-guy finders, you discover that a group of White House staff, pretend spies, lobbyists, tabloid owners, Russian mobsters and Silicon Valley tycoons all share the same deep financial, stock and political connections.

The attack plan is a classic set of take-down playbook moves. A client orders the termination of every possible source of revenue of the target (usually an individual or small company who got in the way), in order to terminate the target’s ability to respond or litigate and as retribution for the attackers perceived slight.

The attackers employ character assassination, they plant moles in the target’s business and “Honey Traps” in personal venues, they hack, They use DNS redirection to send the target to fake Linkedin and Facebook sites, They shut down the targets business with DDOS attacks, they use unfair business competition tactics by stealing technology and flooding the market with clone technology, and they manipulate public policy against the target via lobbying and bribery in Washington DC, among their dirty tricks.

In-Q-Tel has spent over a decade, and billions of your tax dollars, trying to automate spying on people and mood manipulation, by taking over Silicon Valley; yet none of their technology saw Benghazi, ISIS, or thousands of other missed intelligence indicators. The plain fact is, computers always fail with social projection, they always get it wrong, when trying to calculate what the human mind will do. The IQT approach put the nation at greater risk by hyping and selling a failed solution. Human minds will never work like computers unless IQT’s Google builds it’s human robots to replace us all.

It is illegal for the CIA to spy domestically. The NSA does not do that much domestic spying, they mostly analyze spy data provided by “contractors” like Axciom and the IQT “pool”. IQT sells their services to anybody willing to pay. It appears that no deed is too dirty for IQT. IQT financed, runs and coordinates the largest array of domestic spying gear, data harvesting and mood manipulation companies in America. If you pull up a list of all of the companies that IQT financed and owns stock in, you will hold a list of every domestic spying operation in the USA. If you pull up an FBI (The FBI and CIA pretty much hate each other) cross reference of IQT, New America Foundation, Silicon Valley VC’s and campaign backers; you find that it is a list of all of the same names and financial connections.

Who watches the watchers at IQT? NOBODY!“…

**CHARACTER ASSASSINATION, AND CAREER HIT-JOBS, BY HIGHLY PLACED POLITICAL ENTITIES USING TAXPAYER MONEY**

Government sponsored character assassination delivered by Google, Gawker Media, IN-Q-Tel and New America Foundation; all of whom are financially, politically and operationally connected. Eric
Schmidt ran and funded New America Foundation; Ran Google; Partnered and funded In-Q-Tel; hired, along with his associate: Elon Musk, In-Q-Tel executives; Worked with Gawker Marketers along with In-Q-Tel; was, himself and Google, funded by In-Q-Tel; funded the top 4 political campaigns, that all of those parties aggressively supported; and managed a business plan, that ran between all of those efforts, to ruin competitors and those who did not think like him, in any way possible.

The Silicon Valley Cartel and The Obama White House took over Gawker and Gizmodo media for their most notorious spy-like attacks.

In 2002, a failed British journalist named Nick Denton started Gawker, a bitchy gossip blog run out of his Manhattan apartment. Over 10 years later, Gawker and its sister sites have become the biggest names in clickbait “journalism,” pulling down millions of visitors a month and making its owner a millionaire several times over. The secret to Denton’s success? He took the aggressive, lynch mob mentality of British tabloids, which specialize in ruining people’s lives, and injected it into America’s comparatively placid, Oprahfied media market.

In particular, Gawker, Jezebel, Valleywag, and their sister sites specialize in witch hunts: digital vigilantism against those who fail to keep up with leftist orthodoxy. Geoffrey Miller, Pax Dickinson, Justine Tunney, Violentacrez: the list of people whom Gawker has garroted for “racism” or “misogyny” could fill a phone book. With an army of Twitter twits behind it, Gawker Media truly is the moral majority of the left, instigating mob action against those who sin against the religion of tolerance. Gawker’s provocations are even encouraging real-world violence now, as Valleywag’s overfed man-baby of an editor Sam Biddle eggs on attacks against San Francisco tech workers from the safety of the East Coast.

“Which makes the revelation that Denton has been allowing trolls to terrorize his female employees all the more delicious.”

For the past few months, 4chan has been engaged in a trolling operation against Jezebel, posting pictures of rape and gore porn in the comments section. Despite the fact that these shocking and disgusting images are stressing out staffers to the point where they’re developing PTSD, Denton has steadfastly refused to do anything about the problem. Jezebel’s staff recently snapped and posted an open letter on the site demanding that Gawker Media do something, calling 4chan’s trolling “a very real and immediate threat to the mental health of Jezebel’s staff and readers.”

Fat chance of this happening, however. As others have pointed out, Gawker Media’s business model depends on getting clicks; indeed, their writers are paid according to how many page views their articles get. Since comments help drive traffic to websites, fighting 4chan’s rape porn trolling will reduce Gawker’s profitability. Not only that, Google itself ranks web pages according to how many comments they have, as comments are extremely difficult to fake. Fewer comments means a lower page rank, which translates into less search traffic and less money for Denton to blow on exotic vacations with his boy-toy hubby. If Gawker Media was willing to testify in federal court as to why...
they should be allowed to rip off their interns, you can bet your bottom peso that they aren’t going to do jack about this.

Gawker Media Attack Specialist Adrian Covert of San Francisco. Now under 24/7 electronic surveillance for “suspicious activities”

And there’s the punch line. Gawker Media, the company that gets people fired from their jobs for making “sexist” jokes, has been creating a hostile work environment for its women staffers for months. They’re the leftist equivalent of a priest who rails against homosexuality only to be caught molesting altar boys in the confessional booths. In staying silent on this for so long, Dodai Stewart, Lindy West, and Jezebel’s other star employees have shown themselves to be frauds. They don’t care about feminism, “fat shaming,” or whatever cause they’re screeching about today; all they care about is money and power. And now we have the proof.

Please share this article by using the link below. When you cut and paste an article, Taki’s Magazine misses out on traffic, and our writers don’t get paid for their work. Email editors@takimag.com to buy additional rights. http://takimag.com/article/gawker_media_hypocrites_vs_douchecanoes/print#ixzz3CCvoAIP4

Denton Sweats Over Decline in Web Ad Revenues – CBS News

**Gawker Media’s** Nick Denton has made a horrible prediction regarding internet adspend for the next year: … **Gawker’s** Denton Sweats Over Decline in Web Ad Revenues. Shares Tweets Stumble … But the web ad economy as a whole will be the beneficiary of falling budgets.

cbsnews.com/news/gawkers-denton-sweats-over-decline-i…

Nick Denton charged with ordering phone-hacking, helping Anonymous hackers, stealing Mitt Romney’s tax records, hiding money in Russia and Caymans and MORE…

IS GAWKER MEDIA THE WHITE HOUSE PRESS SECRETARY’S “PLAUSIBLE DENI-ABILITY” HIT SQUAD? STILL MORE…

‘Straight To Hell;’ Reflections On Annie, Gawker …

I feel like I’m probably going straight to hell for all of this. But, given all the other shitty … Emily Ratajkowski entertainment expats facebook Gawker Gawker Media gender hipsters Hollywood Hugh Hefner inter-racial dating internet Jezebel Julia Allison korea liberalism …

migukin.wordpress.com/2014/08/06/straight-to-hell-reflections-o…


**Terror**– John Cook of Gawker; now of Greenwald – NOT CIA’S FRIEND!

**Gawker Tries to Reveal Identity of CIA Agent Behind Bin Laden Kill**
By Jana Winter

The Gawker website is under fire for trying to expose the CIA agent who may have helped lead the effort to kill Usama Bin Laden — despite efforts by the U.S. government and media to keep his identity secret for security reasons.

Using the largest character assassination engine ever created. The Large Hadron Collider of defamation, Schmidt and his little Cartel went to work on enemies of his ideology. These were hit-jobs, using taxpayer dollars, against American born taxpayers, inside America, on U.S. soil because some politician or, campaign backer billionaire, wanted to hide their crimes.

It is not just the trade-craft of the CIA that the Sand Hill Road oligarchs borrow from. They steal the tools of EVERY spy agency!

Journalist Glenn Greenwald, through whom document-leaker Edward Snowden previously rattled the entire National Security Agency, is now confirming Western intelligence networks strategize to place “trolls” on the Internet to undermine, discredit and destroy critics.

In an article posted on website Greenwald help create called the Intercept, Greenwald reports secret documents from the GCHQ – the United Kingdom’s version of the NSA – reveal “how western intelligence agencies are attempting to manipulate and control online discourse with extreme tactics of deception and reputation-destruction.”

He cites documents from the “previously secret” Joint Threat Research Intelligence Group in the U.K., which describe injecting “all sorts of false material onto the Internet in order to destroy the reputation of its targets” and to use “social sciences and other techniques to manipulate online discourse and activism to generate outcomes it considers desirable.”

“To see how extremist these programs are, just consider the tactics they boast of using to achieve those ends: ‘false flag operations’ (posting material to the Internet and falsely attributing it to someone else), fake victim blog posts (pretending to be a victim of the individual whose reputation they want to destroy), and posting ‘negative information’ on various forums.”


Get “Disinformation: Former Spy Chief Reveals Secret Strategies for Undermining Freedom, Attacking Religion, and Promoting Terrorism” at WND’s Superstore

Regarding how to “discredit a target,” the instructions include, “Set up a honey-trap, change their photos on social networking sites, write a blog purporting to be one of their victims, email/text their colleagues, neighbors, friends etc.”

Sam Rolley at the Personal Liberty blog wrote that his organization for years has been “warning readers that the well-connected and ruling elite, displeased by this newfound proletariat freedom, have
been prolific in attempts to undermine and marginalize information provided by any media outlet unwilling to obey the same unspoken rules that govern the content choices of major media outlets.”

“Now, thanks to the efforts of National Security Agency whistleblower Edward Snowden and journalist Glenn Greenwald, readers no longer have to take the word of ‘paranoid’ bloggers who relate tales of paid government trolls lurking in comment sections and other concentrated top-down efforts to muddy the information provided by alternative media,” Rolley said.

He warned that the “most disturbing confirmation provided in the newly publicized intelligence documents is that spy agencies in Western nations with free speech guarantees have been given carte blanche authority from political leadership to target private individuals and organizations deemed uncooperative with the will of the state with ruthless online reputation-destruction efforts.”

Greenwald said the discussion of many of the techniques “occurs in the context of using them in lieu of ‘traditional law enforcement’ against people suspected (but not charged or convicted) of ordinary crimes or, more broadly still, ‘hacktivism,’ meaning those who use online protest activity for political ends.”

Rolley said it takes “no stretch of the imagination – even for those who have resisted considering the possibility of malevolent intent on government’s part – to assume that the mission of organizations like the NSA and GCHQ is ultimately more about quelling citizen dissent than stopping citizen bloodshed at the hands of terrorists.”

“The mere existence of documents like those published this week,” Rolley said, “provides that the nefarious and long-suspected Internet activities of Western propagandists are not just plausible – they have very likely occurred in the comment sections and social media posts of articles like the one you are reading now.”

WND columnist Eric Rush highlighted the outrageous idea of government agents trying to destroy the reputations of critics.

“It is worth noting that in 2008, Cass Sunstein, an Obama adviser and the White House’s former head of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs … wrote a paper proposing that the U.S. government engage teams of covert agents and independent, ideologically kindred surrogates to employ precisely the aforementioned methods to achieve their objectives,” Rush said.

Greenwald said the “point is that, far beyond hacktivists, these surveillance agencies have vested themselves with the power to deliberately ruin people’s reputations and disrupt their online political activity even though they’ve been charged with no crimes, and even though their actions have no conceivable connection to terrorism or even national security threats.”

Greenwald said the British organization, GCHQ, in response to his questions, said only that it does not comment on intelligence matters.
“Furthermore,” the agency said, “all of GCHQ’s work is carried out in accordance with a strict legal and policy framework which ensures that our activities are authorized, necessary and proportionate, and that there is rigorous oversight, including from the Secretary of State, the Interception and Intelligence Services Commissioners, and the Parliamentary Intelligence and Security Committee.”

“Whatever else is true,” Greenwald wrote, “no government should be able to engage in these tactics: what justification is there for having government agencies target people – who have been charged with no crime – for reputation-destruction, infiltrate online political communities, and develop techniques for manipulating online discourse?”

WND previously has reported on leftist trolls operating online, including those with thousands of postings that would be unlikely to come from someone submitting comments during free time.

The report at the time said the trolls were performing a “disinformation” function typical of counter-intelligence efforts by intelligence agencies to confuse political enemies and refute or deflect opposing political views that are less susceptible to refutation by more traditional methods of debate and argumentation.

Typically, trolls operating on WND forums were defending Obama by posting specious and diversionary arguments with the goal of changing the subject and obscuring topics that could damage Obama, such as his birth records, life narrative, political history and policy preferences, including his current positions as president.

One was found to have posted nearly 4,800 comments over a two-year period. Another operated under different user names used various email addresses and nine different IP addresses to post 15,200 comments over 787 days on WND.com and FoxNews.com, as well as several smaller news websites, some of which had a local focus or interest.

The report confirmed many of the trolls banned from participating in WND forums appeared to have been operating on a professional level.

The Venture Capital Scam Of The NVCA

America was always viewed as the “Great Innovator of the World”. That is no longer the case, thanks to a group called the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA).

They conspire, collude, and coordinate who gets funded and who gets shut-down. If you compete with their boys, they blacklist you. If your new product might threaten their holdings, they use their Gust database and VC-Link and other data mining services to make sure you never get any money for your idea, no matter how great it is.

In a well known scandal called: “AngelGate”, a group of VC’s were documented having one of the weekly collusion meetings they all attend, to decide which insiders get to play the game and who gets rolled over. The founders of the NVCA are the same people involved in the sinister In-Q-Tel organization and the various “public information foundations” that are now under intense scrutiny for public policy manipulation.

Even though they helped fund the internet, the VC’s are not the smartest tools in the shed. They are not the “idea guys”. They move like sheep in a herd. They are the ones that steal the ideas from the actual “idea guys”. They did not have the vision to see that the internet was about to become their biggest enemy.

When a VC see’s an idea guy/gal’s idea pitch they are thinking three things: 1.) “Can I get some free market research from this guy? 2.) Will this product compete with my portfolio and should I kill it? 3.) Is it really a good idea that I can steal and pass over to another NVCA VC so they can copy it and the idea guy will never be able to trace the theft back to me?”

There is a reason they call them “vulture capitalists”. The biggest myth about Silicon Valley venture capital money is that any outsider, who isn’t in the Stanford frat club, will ever see any of that money.

For the very insider, good-ole-boy, money to stay in the tight little insider circle, a facade of “the American Dream for ideas” had to be perpetrated. Now that all of the entrepreneurs can compare notes, valuations, IP thefts, patent protection sabotage and VC lies on the open internet and see that the entrepreneurs are just getting harvested and plucked like chickens.

The VC’s and campaign billionaires want everybody spied on, in their country, so they can get a heads-up on when the game is up, and try to forestall that day with disinformation. Always slow to the table though, the NVCA manipulations have yet to realize that the day has already passed them by.

Investigators say that, Democratic party operatives David Plouffe, Rahm Emanuel, Steven Rattner, Bill Daly, David Axelrod and Robert Gibbs arranged with Silicon Valley investors to take over the lithium battery industry in order to monopolize the trillions of dollars of lithium, and related mining deals, in Afghanistan.
They say that they traded federal funding for campaign support assisted by Harry Reid and Dianne Feinstein, who received numerous stock and cash kickbacks in the scheme.

They say they used the money to fund political campaigns. They used the Silicon Valley investors internet companies to manipulate voter perceptions and web searches in favor of their agenda. The Silicon Valley investors received: favorable federal laws, tax gifts, free federal loans, stock bumps and other perks.

The Silicon Valley investors mining resources exploitation companies: Abound, Solyndra, Fisker, Ener1, Tesla, and many, many more, that received the Department of Energy kickback funds, managed by Steven Chu, have all either failed, been raided, been charged with fraud or otherwise turned out to be disasters because they were based on a financial fraud skimming scheme instead of a good business plan.

One Mr. Zhang had only five years of experience before jumping to the top intellectual property job at Baidu. Baidu is one of the largest technology companies with $23B in revenue and 21,000 employees. This would place the company around 130th on the Fortune 500 list; along with U.S. Bank, Time Warner, and Goodyear. It appears that the Facebook IPO feeding frenzy was orchestrated in both the U.S. and China. This supports the hypothesis that the NASDAQ “glitch” was a smokescreen.

Breaking News! Jan. 29, 2014—S.E.C. Chair Mary L. Schapiro held stock in both Facebook & Baidu (China) before the Facebook IPO via her investment in T. Rowe Price Growth Stock Fund (PRGFX), which was up to $600,000, according to Schapiro’s financial disclosure.

Mary L. Schapiro, Chair, S.E.C.; held stock in Facebook and Baidu before the Facebook IPO, along with Leader v. Facebook judges and Patent Office; ignored whistleblower warnings. Photo: NYTimes.

In addition to warnings about fabricated mobile revenues, her S.E.C. agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of improper “dark pools” activity, including failure to disclose to investors that Facebook had been judged guilty on 11 of 11 federal counts of infringing Columbus innovator Leader Technologies’ U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 for social networking—the core technology engine running Facebook. Evidently, Schapiro knew about Facebook Chairman James W. Breyer’s intention to exploit Leader’s technology in China also, where his father, John P. Breyer, operates IDG-Accel-China.

Fig. 1—Sands Capital Management, LLC injected Chinese influence into Obamacare. Sands Capital, the 7th largest fund investor in the May 2012 Facebook IPO, secretly acquired over $200 million in
Athenahealth holdings just as President Obama moved Todd Y. Park, Athenahealth’s founder, from HHS to the White House, on Mar. 9, 2012.

Gordon K. Davidson, Fenwick & West LLP; current Facebook securities and patent counsel; Leader Technologies former corp. counsel (c.a., 2001-2004). Photo: Fenwick & West.

At the same time, Sands Capital secretly slipped in its holding in Baidu, Inc., sometimes called the Chinese Facebook. Baidu is notoriously controlled by the Chinese Communist Party. Baidu’s CEO, Robin Yangong Li, started his job in Jan. 2004—the same month Mark Zuckerberg started Facebook after stealing Leader Technologies’ source code via attorney firm Fenwick & West LLP, we believe.

Facebook also went public during this same time (with Fenwick & West LLP as their lead securities and intellectual property counsel). Again, Sand Capital did not provide proper notice of its Facebook stock acquisition.

The juxtaposition of these three notice failures, combined with HealthCare.gov’s claim that its software platform running on Facebook and other “open source” software, signals obvious collusion to deprive Leader Technologies of its private property rights—government confiscation of property.

It also proves Chinese meddling in America’s data infrastructure and the Obama White House cabinet.

This picture shows the logo of Baidu on its headquarters. Photo: AFP/Getty Images/Forbes/via @daylife).

(Jan. 28, 2014)—Washington DC-based Sands Capital Management, LLC, the seventh largest fund investor in Facebook, failed to file three critical Facebook, Athenahealth, and Baidu-China (“the Chinese Facebook”) disclosures with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (S.E.C.) during the period of May-August 2012.

These disclosures would have signaled serious conflicts of interests within the Obama administration, especially the complicity of Wall Street and the White House’s Silicon Valley donors with likely undue Chinese influence over Obamacare.

Pres. Obama and Todd Y. Park blatantly violated conflict of interest laws
These Sands Capital filing failures occurred just as President Obama moved Todd Y. Park as chief technology officer (CTO) of Health and Human Services to the White House on Mar. 9, 2012. By this time, Park had already embedded his Athenahealth and Castlight Health technology deeply into HealthCare.gov.

Ann H. Lamont, Director of Todd Y. Park’s Castlight Health; former director of Todd Y. Park’s Athenahealth; former director of NVCA with James W. Breyer, Accel Partners, among other Facebook cartel principals; Mng. Prtnr. Oak Investment Partners; husband Edward is grandson of JPMorgan Chase & Co. founder Thomas W. Lamont. Lamont is a heavy investor in Goldman Sachs, Morgan Stanely and JPMorgan—Facebook’s underwriters. Photo: Stanford.

However, Todd Y. Park was the founder of both Athenahealth and Castlight Health. His brother, Edward Y. Park, is the chief operating officer of Athenahealth. JPMorgan insider and Obama campaign financier, Ann H. Lamont, was an Athenahealth director with Park and his brother.

NVCA: Cesspool of White Collar Corruption


Ann H. Lamont is also an investing partner with Facebook’s James W. Breyer. She is also a fellow former director with Breyer at the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA).

Reporting new stock acquisitions to the S.E.C. is routine. “Form SC 13G” reports are an essential tool used by investors to know when funds add new stocks to their portfolios. Without those notices, new acquisitions can easily be missed. Independent stock analysts like Morningstar monitor them and create daily alerts of new acquisitions to the market as well as to watchdogs.
Frank M. Sands, Sr., Sands Capital Management, LLC. Failed to file timely notices. Virginia.edu

Frank M. Sands, Jr., Sands Capital Management, LLC. Failed to file timely notices. Virginia.edu

Had Sands Capital filed timely, accountability questions could have been triggered. As it happened, they slipped the holding quietly onto their quarterly reports, thus avoiding transparency.

The activities of these companies impact American healthcare and data security priorities. America was not given the opportunity to scrutinize this activity until now, after the damage has been done.

#1: ATHENAHEALTH, INC.

Fig. 2—Sands Capital Management, LLC ATHENAHEALTH, INC. holdings—Holdings Reports, SEC EDGAR. Yellow highlighted rows show reporting periods in which no notices of acquisitions were filed by compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock. These notices are important filings for fraud watchdogs.

Fig. 3—Sands Capital Management, LLC ATHENAHEALTH, INC. holdings—Value Reports, SEC EDGAR. The yellow highlighted box shows the periods where no acquisition notices and no-fraud certifications were filed. In short, Sands Capital acquired over $200 million in Athenahealth stock without regulatory oversight. S.E.C. Chairman Mary L. Schapiro had financial holdings in funds invested in Athenahealth, e.g., Vanguard Extended Market (VEXMX).

On May 14, 2010, 506,000 shares of Athenahealth appeared out of thin air on the Sands Capital Management, LLC quarterly report. More and more stock just started appearing each quarter, all without acquisition notices.

Then on May 14, 2012, hundreds of millions more shares appeared out of thin air—214 million more. Just a few weeks earlier, President Obama had appointed Todd Y. Park as U.S. chief technology officer. Park had already deeply embedded Athenahealth’s software code into the bowels of HealthCare.gov. In fact, no notices of acquisition were filed for Athenahealth until Feb. 2013.

Why is this S.E.C. irregularity significant?
The public has an interest in insuring that government vendors and officials are trustworthy. HealthCare.gov is making false “open source” intellectual property claims, but since HealthCare.gov is not a transparent development, no public scrutiny is possible.

The federal confiscation of private properties continues unabated. The agenda is very evidently being railroaded.

In addition, the involvement of the Chinese government in U.S. infrastructure raises critical national security questions.

Todd Y. Park’s ethics disclosures are missing

Tellingly, Parks’ ethics disclosure is missing from the U.S. Office of Government Ethics website. By contrast, even Hillary R. Clinton’s is there. Parks’ close relationships with associates of Athenahealth, Castlight Health, Baidu-China and Sands Capital Management, LLC show that any decision he has made involving these players benefits him personally.


Hindsight being 20-20, it should be noted that Robert Kocher, MD, President Obama’s chief healthcare policy adviser on Obamacare, had matriculated by 2011 to: (1) Castlight Health as director along with Ann H. Lamont, Todd Y. Parks’ other company, (2) Park’s venture capitalist, Venrock, and (3) McKinsey & Co. and the Brookings Institution, who are both Facebook’s COO, Sheryl K. Sandberg’s former clients.

Lawrence "Larry" Summers. Director, Square; Adviser, Andreessen-Horowitz; mentor to Facebook’s Sheryl K. Sandberg, Russian oligarchs Yuri Milner and Alisher Usmanov; former director, Barack Obama’s National Economic Council (2008 bailout); believed to be one of the prime movers behind the Facebook cartel. Photo: Life.

Kocher’s other boss at the White House, National Economic Council chairman Lawrence “Larry” Summers, also works for the Brookings Institution. In short, Kocher’s post-administration job hunt appears to have been political revolving door payback.
Events are being coordinated

In addition, the list of funds pouring cash into Athenahealth and Castlight Health is a clone of Facebook’s and Baidu’s lists. Blackrock, Morgan Stanley, T. Rowe Price, Fidelity, Vanguard, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan, etc. The evidence is clear. These funds are coordinating these events while the U.S. Congress and American people are sidelined.

It appears time for Congress to take control, pass legislation to return confiscated properties, impeach and replace many corrupted judges, change the legal discipline procedures by putting lay people in charge, put wrongdoers in jail, establish a Special Prosecutor, and call a Constitutional Convention to change the elements of our system that let this happen.

Our system of government appears to have been badly damaged by unscrupulous people, mostly lawyers, who no longer respect our laws, and clearly do not intend to follow them.

Silicon Valley Watcher – at the intersection of technology and media: A Top Story..

…the plaintiffs had strengthened and that it was less than a $20m settlement paid by Lucasfilm, Intuit, and Pixar who were also part of the collusion. The National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) and…

siliconvalleywatcher.com/mt/archives/top_stories/

National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) collusion

AFI researchers have already proven NVCA connections with James W. Breyer, Accel Partners, LLP, Facebook’s first chairman and largest shareholder, among six of the ten top mutual fund investors in the Facebook IPO, namely (1) Goldman Sachs, (3) Fidelity, (4) T.Rowe Price, (5) Morgan Stanley, (6) Blackrock and (9) Vanguard.

Sands Capital’s association with Todd Y. Park ties Sands Capital to the NVCA as well through Castlight and Athenahealth director, Ann H. Lamont. This now proves that at least seven out of the ten top institutional investors in Facebook were colluding with James W. Breyer to steal Leader Technologies’ social networking invention. The Baidu association shows that the collusion also incorporates Breyer’s designs for China.

#2: Baidu, Inc.

Fig. 4—Sands Capital Management, LLC Baidu, Inc. holdings, SEC EDGAR. Yellow highlighted rows show reporting periods in which no notices of acquisition were filed by compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock. These notices are important filings for fraud watchdogs.

Fig. 5—Sands Capital Management, LLC Baidu, Inc. holdings, SEC EDGAR. The yellow highlighted box shows that no acquisition notices and no-fraud certifications were filed. In short, Sands
Capital acquired over $2 billion in Baidu stock without regulatory oversight. These holdings commenced concurrent to the appointment of Todd Y. Park to U.S. CTO on Mar. 9, 2012, after Park had led the development of HealthCare.gov at Health and Human Services, including the embedding of his Athenahealth and Castlight Health software in the HHS infrastructure.

Jim Breyer – Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

James W. “Jim” Breyer (born 1961) is an American venture capitalist, founder and CEO of Breyer Capital, an investment and venture philanthropy firm, and a partner at Accel Partners, a venture capital firm.

More results

Americans For Innovation: WHITE HOUSE SCANDAL SPREADS TO LEADER V. FACEBOOK … Collusion (Allegations) Trade Secrets Theft; Market Manipulation … James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP; Facebook director; client of Fenwick & West LLP since the 1990’s; …
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James Breyer profiles | LinkedIn

View the profiles of professionals named James Breyer on LinkedIn. There are 13 professionals named James Breyer, who use LinkedIn to exchange information, ideas, and opportunities.

linkedin.com/pub-dir/James/Breyer
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The First: Jim Breyer is speaking at Collision 2015 | COLLISION

The First: Jim Breyer is speaking at Collision 2015 Posted by: Hugh Gallagher – Posted at: 4:45 pm on December 4, 2014 Category: News

collisionconf.com/news/jim-breyer-collision

The next Sands Capital holding to appear out of nowhere is Baidu, Inc. Closely aligned with China’s Communist government, Baidu is sometimes called “the Chinese Facebook.” Facebook is rumored to have partnered with Baidu. Baidu notoriously violates human and intellectual property rights. This alliance was concurrent with James W. Breyer’s movement of tens of billions of venture capital funds out of the United States and into the control of his reclusive father, John P. Breyer, chairman, IDG-Accel-China.
Not only did Sands Capital fail to file a notice of acquisition, but their quarterly report on Aug. 14, 2013 reveals a whopping 12,539% jump in holdings. That is an unregulated $867 million change in value. To our knowledge, neither the market nor regulators even noticed. This destroys the basic principle of transparency.

These risks certainly deserve serious investigation before permitting these people to get access to America’s healthcare and data infrastructure. As Eric Snowden proved, it doesn’t take much to copy millions of files into the hands of one’s adversaries.

Most notable about the sudden appearance of the Baidu Inc. holding is that it occurs just as Athenahealth’s founder, Todd Y. Park, is moving from his position as the chief architect of HealthCare.gov at HHS to Chief Technology Officer for the United States by President Obama, on Mar. 9, 2012. And, it occurred at the same time as Sands Capital’s 214 million unregulated share acquisition.

On Mar. 29, 2012, just 20 days after Pres. Obama’s appointment of Park, Baidu filed a Form 20-F, which is a financial disclosure equivalent to an S-1 public stock prospectus. The timing is six weeks before the Facebook IPO.

On p. 98, Baidu discloses that its three principal shareholders are:

Baillie Gifford and T. Rowe Price were #2 and #3 behind Goldman Sachs in the Facebook IPO just six weeks later.

Fig. 6—Robin “Handsome Reward” Yangong Li was installed as CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004, the very same month that Mark Zuckerberg claims to have built Facebook “in one to two weeks” Leader Technologies said it took them $10M and 145,000 man-hours to invent social networking. They finished debugging a critical module on Oct. 28, 2003, the same night Zuckerberg hacked the House sites at Harvard. Photo: L’Express.

Evidently, Baidu’s Robin Yanhong Li was self-conscious about his newfound wealth, hence the Freudian name he gave for his stock holding—Handsome Reward. Who was doing the rewarding? The evidence is overwhelming. It is James W. Breyer and the Facebook cartel who made Robin Li their front boy in China, just like they made Mark Zuckerberg their front boy in the U.S.

Big Lies

The world cannot hope to advance when its core infrastructures are founded on these Big Lies. Any engineer worth his salt knows that a good building cannot be built upon a corrupt foundation. This is both a law of physics, and a Law of God.

Baidu and Facebook CEOs started the same month—Jan. 2004
Robin Y. Li, CEO, Baidu, Inc.; appointed Jan. 2004, the same month James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP, picked Mark Zuckerberg to start Facebook with stolen code from Columbus innovator Leader Technologies. *Photo: RudeButGood.*

Robin Y. Li became CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004. Coincidentally, that is the *very same month* Mark Zuckerberg claims he started Facebook (“*in one to two weeks*”) and launched it on Feb. 4, 2004. The name of his British Virgin Islands hide away for his Baidu holdings probably says it all—Handsome Reward.

The common denominator between the Chinese and American Facebooks is James W. Breyer. At that time was chairman of the National Venture Capital Association, managing partner of Accel Partners LLP, soon to be if not already largest Facebook shareholder, and fellow client of Fenwick & West LLP with Columbus innovator Leader Technologies, Inc.—the proven inventor of social networking. Robin Li’s handsome reward is his willingness to be Breyer’s Chinese front face.

**Worried about signaling Chinese involvement**

Sands Capital appears to have been worried about the appearance of impropriety? Had they disclosed Baidu in a timely way, eyebrows would have been raised about possible Chinese involvement in the Obama cabinet, as well as in American healthcare and data infrastructure. Something is clearly amiss, otherwise, why would the Baidu nondisclosure be such an outlier in Sands Capital Management, LLC’s SEC reporting?

American securities watchdogs were busy chewing on bones Breyer threw their way

Fig. 7—Administration and Judicial Watchdogs were busy chewing on their Facebook cartel bones. The United States top law enforcement officers and regulators, namely Eric H. Holder, Mary L. Schapiro, Rebecca M. Blank and David J. Kappos were *silent* during Sands Capital’s misconduct. They were busy chewing on the bones that the Facebook cartel had already thrown them. The current Commerce Secretary, Penny S. Pritzker, continues the deafening silence. *Graphic: Clker.com.*

But lest we wonder where our U.S. securities regulators were during this shell game, the Facebook cartel had that covered too. They had already ensured for S.E.C. Chair Mary L. Schapiro, Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank, Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker, Patent Office Director David J. Kappos, Attorney General Eric H. Holder and Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. were well cared for.

Among the five Obama administration senior officials alone, they hold at least 177 Facebook “dark pools” funds. In fact, no one in the Obama administration or judiciary had more Facebook cartel dark
pool funds than Chairman Schapiro and Secretary Blank. See two previous posts. These dogs won’t hunt. They’re too well fed.

#3: Facebook, INC.

**The next Sands Capital holding to appear out of thin air is Facebook, Inc.** Again, they did not file a Form SC 13G acquisition notice in their [May 14, 2012 reporting](https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1597476/000103370512183869/fc3q12.pdf), which is just four days before the May 18, 2012 Facebook IPO. We’re taking bets that Sands Capital will blame it on the NASDAQ “glitch.” The purpose of the glitch appears to us to be a smoke screen for these sorts of shady activities.

Then, without filing the stock acquisition notice Form SC 13G subsequently, like they did on all their other stock purchases (except Athenahealth and Baidu), on [Aug. 13, 2012](https://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1597476/000103370512183869/fc3q12.pdf) they simply include their Facebook holding of 11.6 million shares valued at $362 million on their quarterly report.

Why such blatant disregard for SEC disclosure rules? Rules that Sands Capital appears to follow otherwise?

AFI researchers have lived with this cartel conduct for years now, and they believe Sands Capital was determined to get in on the HealthCare.gov “Datapalooza” that Todd Y. Park would bring them via Athenahealth. [Datapalooza](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Datapalooza) is the actual name Mr. Park gave to his dubious “open government” giveaways of healthcare data while CTO at HHS.

AFI researchers believe it is likely that Sands Capital kept the Baidu transactions below the radar screen in order to avoid awkward questions about Park’s role in Baidu, Athenahealth and Facebook financings and business activity, especially surrounding Obamacare and HealthCare.gov.

Notices of stock acquisitions are part of America’s securities fraud watchdog infrastructure.

Readers should know that independent stock monitoring analysts like Morningstar use automated tools that send alerts/notices when companies file notice of new acquisitions. No such alerts occurred for Athenahealth, Facebook or Baidu because the notices were never filed.

Fig. 8—S.E.C. Certifications are legal evidence. When a fund compliance officer signs an S.E.C. filing, he or she is signing an affidavit that is enforceable as evidence in court. If that person lies or in some other way willfully misrepresents the facts, it is the same as lying under oath in a courtroom. The problem for Sands Capital’s Robert C. Hancock is that intentional withholding of certifications, with the intent to deceive the public, is illegal since the omission misleads the public who must then rely on inaccurate information.

Sands Capital’s compliance officer Robert C. Hancock avoided liability by not signing.

Sands Capital’s chief compliance officer, Robert C. Hancock, may have been trying to avoid personal liability by not signing what would otherwise be fraudulent representations of truthfulness. Corporate officers like Hancock can be personally liable if they sign knowingly false certifications under oath. It's the same thing as knowingly making a false statement in court.
Here’s the SC 13G acquisition certification Hancock avoided signing for initial Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook stock disclosures:

“Item 10. Certification: By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.

SIGNATURE: After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.”

Here’s an example of a later Athenahealth SC 13g acquisition certification that Hancock did sign on Feb. 13, 2013, so he knows what to do, he just didn’t do it when Athenahealth stock was first acquired.

Hancock was probably choking on the clause in red above: “… were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect.”

Hancock may have refused to sign the Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook certifications because he knew that:

1. Athenahealth was an inside job among selected funds, companies and individuals to control certain markets and global events;

2. Baidu, like Facebook, was a fabrication of James W. Breyer, Accel Partners LLP, and Facebook’s largest shareholder; therefore, everything about these stock maneuvers was designed to manipulate the cartel’s global agenda, which included the creation of a Chinese repository, potentially for exported U.S. healthcare and other data; and

3. Facebook & Baidu were both running on software property stolen from Columbus innovator, Leader Technologies; therefore, these offerings were used to generate funds for the express purpose of misappropriation of patents, copyrights and trade secrets that would cause and effect the manipulation of the U.S. healthcare sector, among others.

Presumably, Hancock would want to stay out of jail by refusing to put his signature on a form where he clearly knew there was an intent to influence business and political events. Tellingly, he signed all of his other certifications during this period.

What U.S. judge or regulator is complaining?

Who would complain about Sands Capital’s failure to file the S.E.C. Form SC 13G notices of acquisition of Facebook, Baidu and Athenahealth stock?

Click Official’s Name to view his or her financial disclosure.
Not S.E.C Chairman Mary L. Schapiro—she held a boatload of “dark pool” Fidelity, Vanguard, AllianceBern, TIAA-CREF and T. Rowe Price funds.

Not Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank—she held TIAA-CREF, Vanguard and Fidelity funds.

Not Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker—she holds up to $23.4 million Morgan Stanley, JPMorgan and Goldman Sachs Facebook dark pools.

Not Attorney General Eric H. Holder—he held T. Rowe Price and Fidelity funds. In fact, Holder held Fidelity Contrafund, the largest single Facebook mutual fund stock holder, valued at $413 million.


Who in the judiciary would complain?

Click Judge’s Name to view his or her financial disclosure.

Not Leader v. Facebook Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.—he held Microsoft, T. Rowe Price, Fidelity, Janus, Vanguard and Blackrock funds, including Fidelity Contrafund.
Not Leader v. Facebook  Federal Circuit Judges Alan D. Lourie, Kimberly A. Moore and Evan J. Wallach—they held Fidelity, Vanguard and T. Rowe Price funds, including Fidelity Contrafund.

Not Leader v. Facebook  District Court Judge Leonard P. Stark—he held Vanguard and Fidelity funds.

Not Leader v. Facebook  Patent Office Director David J. Kappos—he held over a million dollars of Vanguard funds.


The victims are complaining

On Nov. 19, 2008, Leader Technologies filed a patent infringement lawsuit against Facebook. Leader proved that Facebook stole the engine that runs Facebook, yet were ruled against anyway by the biased judges mentioned above, based on fabricated evidence.

In May 2012, Facebook IPO investors began filing class action lawsuits, claiming they had been defrauded and damaged by the NASDAQ “glitch.”

Complaints have been filed to inspectors general seeking justice.

Others have filed complaints too, like Paul Ceglia and Rembrandt Social Media.

America’s regulatory mechanisms are supposed to help prevent waste, fraud and abuse, not aid and abet it. The latter is called state-sponsored terrorism and totalitarianism.

In the case of the HealthCare.gov debacle, and the theft of Leader Technologies’ social networking invention, the failure of the S.E.C. to police Sands Capital Management LLC enabled them to press their hidden agenda using fraudulent funds.

That agenda has led to a disastrous HealthCare.gov architecture, corrupted by Athenahealth conflicts of interest, using Leader Technologies’ software which has become a mess of hacked pieces and parts. The agenda also threatens America’s healthcare data security since Sands Capital took its Facebook IPO winnings and bought $2.2 billion in the Baidu Inc. sometime between Feb-Aug 2013.
Fig. 9—Robert C. Hancock, Chief Compliance Officer, Sands Capital Management, LLC. Misled the American public by failing to file stock acquisition reports in a timely manner for Facebook, Baidu and Athenahealth. These failings concealed substantial Chinese influences regarding Obamacare and American data infrastructure. Photo: Sands Capital.

Fig. 10—Jonathan Goodman, Chief Counsel, Sands Capital Management, LLC; former partner, Gibson Dunn LLP (Facebook’s Leader v. Facebook law firm, and also counsel to the Federal Circuit and Federal Circuit Bar Association). Photo: Sands Capital.

A solid democratic house cannot be built upon a foundation of regulatory corruption

Questions for Sands Capital’s compliance officer Robert C. Hancock would be why he did not submit the notices of new stock acquisition forms with his signed certifications for Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook. If he had done this, perhaps over six million Americans would not be struggling to replace their cancelled healthcare plans because the program would never have begun.

Thomas G. Hungar, Gibson Dunn LLP. Failed to disclose conflicts of interest in Leader v. Facebook; counsel to the Federal Circuit and Microsoft (one of Facebook’s largest stockholders); Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. is a personal mentor. Gibson Dunn LLP also represents the U.S. in U.S. v. Paul Ceglia (Ceglia v. Zuckerberg) where U.S. attorney Preet Bharara was formerly employed by Gibson Dunn—an obvious conflict. Photo: Gibson Dunn LLP.

Hancock’s ethics counsel is none other than another former Gibson Dunn LLP attorney, Jonathan Goodman. Goodman was at Gibson Dunn LLP with Thomas G. Hungar during the Leader v. Facebook case. Goodman’s other former firm, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP, just received David J. Kappos, former director of the U.S. Patent Office, as a new partner. Kappos only arrived after he had ordered an unprecedented 3rd reexamination of Leader Technologies’ patent. Kappos had purchased more than a million dollars of Vanguard “dark pool” funds, all on Oct. 27, 2009, within weeks of his appointment by President Obama.
Robert C. Hancock’s ethical lapses have damaged millions of Americans

Apparently, Hancock was advised by Goodman/Gibson Dunn LLP that it was ethically acceptable not to file the Athenahealth, Baidu and Facebook stock acquisition notices.

Mr. Goodman’s former firm, Gibson Dunn LLP, swirls at the center of everything that has gone horribly wrong with this Obama administration, including the Leader v. Facebook judicial corruption scandal.

Had Hancock filed in a timely manner, questions about Todd Y. Park’s Athenahealth duplicity could have been raised. Athenahealth’s close associations with Chinese interests could have been scrutinized. Sands Capital’s role in the Facebook pump and dump IPO scheme would have become visible. Hancock’s failure to file and certify did not allow regulatory mechanisms to work.

Fig. 10—Pres. Barack H. Obama. Photo: jeannotramambazafy.overblog.com

Questions for President Obama

Given the suspicious timing of your appointment of Todd Y. Park to oversee America’s healthcare and digital infrastructure:

1. How much do you know about SANDS CAPITAL’S collusion with the Chinese?
2. What are you going to do about it?
3. What assurances can you give us that the tech people you have hand picked are worthy of America’s trust?
4. Will the new systems really protect Americans’ privacy, property and security?
5. Did you know that your Securities Chair held stock in Facebook and Baidu before the Facebook IPO?

6. Photo: Politico.

Why didn’t your personal White House counsels from Perkins Coie LLP, namely Robert F. Bauer and Anita B. Dunn, husband and wife respectively, submit ethics pledges and financial disclosures? Did you know that Facebook was one of their clients?
7. Where are Todd Y. Park’s financial disclosures and written ethics pledges?

8. Did you know that a Florida judge was ordered to recuse himself from a case where he was Facebook Friends with one of the litigating attorneys? What do your 50 million “likes” say about your appointment of two of the four judges in the Leader v. Facebook case, not even counting all their financial holdings in Facebook, or the Patent Office’s “likes”?

Summary of ethical standards to which the persons above swore solemn public oaths to uphold

Judges—Code of Conduct for U.S. Judges, Canon 2:

“A judge should avoid improprietary and the appearance of impropriety in all activities.”

Judges—U.S. Courts.gov, Guide to Judiciary Policy, Ethics and Judicial Conduct, p. 20-2:

“Canon 3C(3)(c) provides that a financial interest ‘means ownership of a legal or equitable interest, however small,’ with certain exceptions not applicable to this situation. Ownership of even one share of stock by the judge’s spouse would require disqualification.” Many types of mutual fund holdings are not exempt from this policy (p. 106-1 thru 4).

“a judge who chooses to invest in such mutual funds should evaluate whether his or her ‘interest’ in the fund might be affected substantially by the outcome of a particular case, which would require recusal under Canon 3C(1)(c)” (p. 106-3). [If the largest tech IPO in American history—Facebook—does not apply, then this policy is meaningless sophistry.]

Executive Branch Employees—Standards of ethical conduct for employees of the executive branch 5 C.F.R. §2635.501:

“avoid an appearance of loss of impartiality in the performance of his official duties”

Attorneys—Model Rules of Professional Conduct, Preamble [6]:

“a lawyer should further the public’s understanding of and confidence in the rule of law and the justice system because legal institutions in a constitutional democracy depend on popular participation and support to maintain their authority.”

Directors—Business Judgment Rule, Parnes v. Bally Entertainment Corp., at 1246:

“The business judgment rule ‘is a presumption that in making a business decision the directors of a corporation acted on an informed basis, in good faith and in the honest belief that the action taken was in the best interests of the company [and was not based on self-dealing].’”

The 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused by an out of control industry and at the wheel was chief economic advisor Larry Summers, Summers who played a MAJOR role in the Deregulation of Derivatives, And became PRESIDENT of HARV ARD in 2001 And New that FACEBOOK was stolen, and new of the THEFT OF Leader Technologies’ when the Winklevoss made a complaint to lawrence larry summers PRESIDENT of HARV ARD they where tolled to piss of summers wonted
THE FACEBOOK CLUB for him self but summers needed that suck up little shit mark zuckerberg to do it, But the IDEA FACEBOOK was not the Winklevoss nor was it Mark Zuckerberg IDEA,

WAYNE CHANG KNOWS HOW FACEBOOK WAS STOLEN
Wayne Chang filed a lawsuit against the Winklevoss brothers knowing that facebook was stolen, Chang said that the Winklevoss brothers merged their company, called ConnectU, with Chang’s web development company to make a new company: The Winklevoss Chang Group (WCG). Chang complained that the Winklevosses “expressly agreed that the litigation between ConnectU and Facebook was an asset of ConnectU and an asset of WCG,” according to BusinessInsider. Chang never got any money when the Winklevosses received $65 million as part of the settlement but the $65 million was just a fuck of from mark zuckerberg Larry Summers and James W. Breyer. Even the “like” button was stolen from the family of the late Dutch inventor, Johannes Van Der Meer

More of Larry Summers friends
chief economic advisor Larry Summers Henry Paulson of Goldman Sachs and Geithner to pay Goldman Sachs 100 cents on the dollar Paulson and Bernanke ask congress for $700 billion to bail out the banks. BUT NO BAILOUT FOR LEHMAN BROTHERS GONE AND THE ORDERS CAME FROM GOLDMAN SACHS TO LARRY SUMMERS NOT TO BAIL THEM OUT?? just so Goldman Sachs can be number ONE? IN 1999, at the urging of Summers and Rubin congress passed the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and cleared the way for future mergers, in 1998 someone tried to regulate them it was Brooksley Born but Larry Summers kill this, Summers had 13 bankers in his office and directing her to stop Greenspan Rubin and SEC chairman Arthur Levitt issued a joint statement condemning Born the securities and exchange commission agency conducted no major investigation in to the bank during the bubble and 146 people were gutted from the securities enforcement division? When David contacted securities and exchange commission about LEHMAN BROTHERS GOLDMAN SACHS AND LARRY SUMMERS AND FACEBOOK there were only four people WORKING THERE and then down to just ONE?? and his job was to turn the lights out

OBAMA picked Mary Schapiro the former CEO of FINRA to run the securities and exchange commission who held stock in both Facebook and Baidu (China) before the Facebook IPO via her investment in T. Rowe Price the securities and exchange commission agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of improper “dark pools” activity, (PAY OF)

Larry Summers + President Barack Obama picked Mary Schapiro the former CEO of FINRA to run the securities and exchange commission who held stock in both Facebook and Baidu (China) before the Facebook IPO via her investment in T. Rowe Price the securities and exchange commission agency also ignored numerous whistleblower warnings of improper “dark pools” activity, (PAY OF)

Larry Summers + President Barack Obama (appointed Leonard P. Stark to the judge’s seat in Delaware Federal District Court eight days after Stark’s court allowed Facebook to get away with jury and court manipulation of an on-sale bar verdict which was attained without a single piece of hard evidence; Barack and Michelle Obama were evidently protecting their 47 million “likes” on Facebook)
Larry Summers + President Barack Obama new found friends, Facebook cartel had it all covered, They had it all already S.E.C. Chair Mary L. Schapiro, Commerce Secretary #1 Rebecca M. Blank, Commerce Secretary #2 Penny S. Pritzker, Patent Office Director David J. Kappos, Attorney General Eric H. Holder and Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr. were well cared for.

Larry Summers + President Barack Obama + Baidu(China) All had back door keys to the NSA then add your healthcare (Obamacare), financial (Wall Street), telephone and online data (NSA) to your Dark Profile, and you have the ultimate Big Brother file on every person on the planet and CHINA HAD THE BACK DOOR KEYS TO THE NSA???? with facebook and their repeated breaches of security and their now ubiquitous intrusions on people’s privacy?

Larry Summers + Facebook The 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused by an out of control industry and at the wheel was chief economic advisor Larry Summers, Summers who played a MAJOR role in the Deregulation of Derivatives, And became PRESIDENT of HARVARD in 2001 And New that FACEBOOK was stolen, and new of the THEFT OF Leader Technologies’ when the Winklevoss made a complaint to lawrence larry summers PRESIDENT of HARVARD they where tolled to piss of summers wonted THE FACEBOOK CLUB for him self but summers needed that suck up little shit mark zuckerberg to do it, The 2008 finance crisis was not an accident it was caused by LARRY SUMMERS?

Larry Summers + election manipulation on FB Since that data was not equally available to the opposition, use of this data about you amounts to election manipulation. The fact that so many foreigners are associated with Facebook amounts to foreign influence on U.S. elections, which is illegal. This undue influence hurts every American. Ditto for the sovereign elections in Germany, France or any other country, like fucking Germany ASS-HOLE The Facebook Club run by Larry Summers used the promise of wild Facebook IPO returns as the currency for their plans to install Barack Obama as President and press their global data gathering agenda.

Larry Summers + FB + Robin Y. Li Robin Y. Li became CEO of Baidu in Jan. 2004. Coincidentally, that is the very same month Mark Zuckerberg claims he started Facebook (“in one to two weeks”) and launched it on Feb. 4, 2004. The name of his British Virgin Islands hide away for his Baidu holdings probably says it all—Handsome Reward. Sands Capital appears to have been worried about the appearance of impropriety? Had they disclosed Baidu in a timely way, eyebrows would have been raised about possible Chinese involvement in the Obama cabinet, as well as in American healthcare and data infrastructure. Something is clearly amiss, Robin Y. Li NEW THAT FB WAS STOLEN and that it was Larry Summers who was running FACEBOOK ow shit?

Larry Summers + friends chief economic advisor Larry Summers Henry Paulson of Goldman Sachs and Geithner to pay Goldman Sachs 100 cents on the dollar Paulson and Bernanke ask congress for $700 billion to bail out the banks. BUT NO BAILOUT FOR LEHMAN BROTHERS GONE AND THE ORDERS CAME FROM GOLDMAN SACHS TO LARRY SUMMERS NOT TO BAIL THEM OUT?? just so Goldman Sachs can be number ONE? Paulson was a dick who did not know how to work out shit if someone stuck a spade up his ass.
President Obama claimed last night that there was “not even a smidgen of corruption” in the IRS scandal. Really now Mr. President? How can any self-respecting person make such a claim about a sprawling government agency with 106,000 employees? Such a claim telegraphs the massive corruption that we have proved exists within this administration. An administration where Yes is No and wrong is right. Given that, a No from Obama means a Yes.

Here’s an excerpt from the New York Times article:

Mr. O’Reilly responded that there were “unanswered questions” and asked again if there was corruption in the I.R.S.

“There were some boneheaded decisions,” the president said.

“But no mass corruption?” Mr. O’Reilly asked.

“Not even mass corruption — not even a smidgen of corruption,” Mr. Obama said.


A couple of additional items to inform your congressperson about along with the overwhelming conflicts of interest already presented here David Kappos encouraged his employee’s, of whom include the judges that work for the USPTO, to use Facebook. He states on the USPTO website. “I’m confident our Facebook presence will complement the USPTO Web site as a means of communicating and connecting with the public and our stakeholders in the intellectual property community.

On the other hand, Chief Justice Roberts at the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeals Annual Conference in 2011 said that he recommends to the law clerks not to use social media, Facebook and Twitter, because a person could gain insight by stray comments and that would not be good. Justice Breyer, who has a Twitter and Facebook account, stated at a House Appropriations Subcommittee, “Judges wear black robes so that they will resist the temptation to publicize themselves,” Breyer said. “Because we speak for the law, and that is to be anonymous. So I wouldn’t want to have followers on the tweeter or the Facebook page but for my children, and I can get in touch with them anyway.”

So the question is, If Chief Justice Roberts discourages law clerks from using Facebook and Justice Breyer is against using it publicly, then why in the world would Kappos open a Facebook account for the USPTO, and encourage the patent office employees, which includes 50-100 patent judges, to use it and then open a Directors reexam at the same time against Leader? His conduct appears suspect and corrupt!

Rain Onyourparade

Check out this Russian (OK, Ukrainian) risk to HealthCare.org.
Belarus link to HealthCare.gov raises concerns over possible cyber attack,
http://fxn.ws/1gJ1auQ

The Ukrainian software official, Valery Tsepkalo told a local radio station in Minsk that U.S. Health & Human Services is “one of our clients” and that “we are helping Obama complete his insurance reform.”

HHS was run by Todd Park, the guy with the Chinese connections also. What’s wrong with American programmers for American healthcare. This breach of U.S. sovereignty by this President is just criminal.

There is no information missing here. Form 13g is only used when a fund acquires more than 5% of a publicly traded company. That’s why you don’t see these disclosures here. No conspiracy afoot; this is just basic SEC procedure.

And I am pretty shocked that you have explicitly accused Fenwick and West of providing source code to Mr. Zuckerberg? Fenwick is a very well regarded firm and I cannot even begin to imagine something like that ever happened. But in any event I think you are setting yourself up for a very nasty libel lawsuit.

K. Craine

Mark. The 5% rule is SC 13G/A, 13d-1(a). However, your conclusion is misleading, hopefully not knowingly so. The rule for investment companies is much more strict. The rule (a) you cite mainly applies to corporations and their holders, which can include an investment company’s holdings. But, an investment company has stricter reporting rules for its portfolio investments. It must report everything, not just more than 5% holdings. Rule 13 has four main sections, you cited only (a). It also has Rule 13d-1(b), Rule 13d-1(c) and Rule 13d-1(c). http://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/17/240.13d-1

Easier to follow regarding investment companies is 15 U.S. CODE § 80A–8 – REGISTRATION OF INVESTMENT COMPANIES
http://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/15/80a-8

We’ll use Sands Capital’s other filings to disprove your statement. Sands Capital has filed many reports for investments of less than 5%.

Here are some examples:
CREE, INC. on Feb. 14, 2012 – 249,600 shares representing ***0.22% ownership***. http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/895419/000102006612000004/cree0212.txt

FMC TECHNOLOGIES, INC. on Feb. 14, 2012 – 11,144,206 shares representing ***4.68% ownership***. http://www.sec.gov/Archives/edgar/data/1020066/000102006612000007/fti0212.txt
We could go on. Bottom line. Investment companies must report “in the public interest or for the protection of investors.” 15 U.S. CODE § 80A–8(b).

Almost forgot to mention. Feb. 4 (yesterday) was the 10th anniversary of Mark Zuckerberg’s, James W. Breyer’s, Accel Partners LLP’s, Fenwick & West LLP’s theft of Leader Technologies’ U.S. Patent No. 7,139,761 invention.

“Lithium ion batteries, when they burn, cause brain cancer, liver cancer and other, potentially lethal, toxic poisoning. Certain regulators are told to “ignore these issues” because certain lithium ion investors donated cash to certain campaigns. The chemicals for lithium ion batteries come from countries which needed to be invaded in order to monopolize the mining of those chemicals. Certain politicians are told to “ignore these issues” because certain lithium ion investors engaged in war profiteering in order to control those minerals.

The FAA has issued numerous warnings and videos showing that lithium ion batteries do spontaneously self-ignite and crash airplanes. Numerous people have been killed in lithium ion plane crashes. Certain regulators are told to “ignore these issues” because certain lithium ion investors donated cash to certain campaigns. Flight MH370 was carrying tons of lithium ion batteries in it’s cargo hold.

Lithium ion batteries have self-ignited and set numerous children and senior citizens on fire. They have set homes on fire. They have set offices on fire. They have set Apple Stores on fire. You constantly hear about passenger airlines being forced to land because passengers “smell smoke in the cabin”. This is almost always a lithium ion battery going off in the cabin and exposing all of the passengers to it’s carcinogenic ignition vapors. There have been multiple recalls of Tesla for fires including the battery charger cord setting homes on fire and the need for an entire titanium add-on plate to cover the whole underside of the Tesla. Tesla’s have now been involved in many deaths.

Silicon Valley investors took over the lithium ion battery market, along with Goldman Sachs (recently called before Congress for this very same “commodity manipulation”), because they knew they were getting large government hand-outs from the Department of Energy in exchange for campaign contributions.

Lithium ion batteries lose their power and memory over a relatively short time. Lithium ion batteries blow up when they get wet or bumped. Fisker Motors went out of business when millions of dollars of Fisker cars, using lithium ion batteries, got wet and all blew up. Tesla battery packs have blown up, on multiple occasions, from simply hitting bumps in the road. Manufacturing these kinds of batteries is so
toxic that even China, a country known for the most minimal regulations, has closed a huge number of battery factories because of the massive numbers of deaths they caused to workers and nearby residents.

Journalists have published a glut of articles exposing cover-ups about the dangers and corruption involved with lithium ion batteries. The U.S. Government and numerous groups have filed charges against Panasonic, and similar battery companies for bribery, corruption, dumping, price fixing and other unethical tactics.

Every key investor in lithium ion was also a campaign donor who also received huge federal cash from the Department of Energy in the same funding cycle in which they paid campaign contributions. By driving a Tesla, in addition to the common public perception that it is a “douche-bag car”, there is something far worse about driving it. You are making a public statement to the world that you support organized crime by driving this car. Here is why: Investigators say that, political operatives David Plouffe, Rahm Emanuel, Steven Rattner, Bill Daly, David Axelrod and Robert Gibbs arranged with Silicon Valley investors to take over the lithium battery industry in order to monopolize the trillions of dollars of lithium, and related mining deals, in Afghanistan. The Afghan war has now cost American’s over 6 trillion dollars, with almost nothing to show for it.

They say that they traded federal funding for campaign support assisted by Harry Reid and Dianne Feinstein, who received numerous stock and cash kickbacks in the scheme. They say they used the money to fund political campaigns. They used the Silicon Valley investors internet companies, (mostly Google), to manipulate voter perceptions and web searches in favor of their agenda. The Silicon Valley investors received favorable federal laws, tax gifts, free federal loans, stock bumps and other perks. The Silicon Valley investors companies that used the Afghan minerals: Abound, Solyndra, Fisker, Ener1, Tesla, and many, many more, that received the Department of Energy kickback funds, managed by Steven Chu, have all either failed, been raided, been charged with fraud or otherwise turned out to be disasters because they were based on a financial fraud skimming scheme instead of a good business plan. Anyone who spoke out about details of the scam, particularly journalists, had a character assassination hit-job ordered on them by Axelrod, Gibbs and Carney; using tabloid fronts that they controlled.

All of these facts are known, in great detail, by many investigators. Nearly a million pages of evidence exist. A Special Prosecutor is required to perform proper prosecutions. No matter what political party you belong to: This is organized crime against the public and you are supporting it if you drive a Tesla. All of this information can now be validated, and confirmed, on any law enforcement or investigative

Nearly $27 billion dollars was invested by venture capitalists in 2012. That $27 billion was invested across 3,723 deals, making the average deal hover at a little over $7 million.

While that number may seem staggering to many people, it’s about average for VC investments made since the dot com bubble burst between 2000 and 2001, according to the National Venture Capital
Association (NVCA). Yes, the economic impact of the dot com bubble was horrendous, but it did spawn a renewed sense of innovation in startup development.

Companies are running leaner than ever, and because of that VCs are more willing to invest in companies who don't pride themselves on their burn rate. Still, raising venture capital funding can be a risky business if you aren't realistic about what to expect.

Here are some things to think about if you are considering raising your first round.

1. Statistically, you will fail

The venture capital investment process is a complicated one and potential companies are vetted thoroughly before they are committed to. With that being said, just because your company is backed by a major VC you aren't guaranteed success.

Think about those 3,723 deals that happened in 2012. In that same year there were only 49 IPOs and 449 mergers and acquisitions (M&A) deals. Granted, those exits came from companies that were invested in probably a decade ago, it is still an interesting ratio to consider.

Micah Rosenbloom, a venture partner at Founders Collective, said that historically, only one out of every 10 companies that a firm invests in with a given fund will be successful. That's not to say that all of the remaining companies will fail, though. According to Tomasz Tunguz, a partner at Redpoint Ventures, "Typical portfolio company failure rates across the industry defined as either shutdowns or returning capital are roughly 40%-50%.'

This isn't to discourage hopeful founders that are seeking capital, but to ground your expectations in reality. Besides, entrepreneurship is about having the courage to fail, right? The fact that you are more likely to fail is a fact of life for venture-backed companies, it is not an expectation for the VCs making the investment.

"You never invest in a company thinking that it will fail," Tunguz said.

A VC investment in your company does not guarantee success, but it does mean you have someone in your corner who believes you have what it takes to make this thing work. Once you have a VC in your corner, you have to make sure you are in sync on what it will take to make this a worthy investment. More importantly, you have to know how long it will take for this investment to pay off.

2. There is a timeframe for ROI

"Typical venture funds are structured as 10 year commitments for the limited partners who invest in the fund," Tunguz said.

Venture capital firms are ten-year vehicles for investors, but that doesn't mean that all companies will be ten years old when they return on the investment. Rosenbloom mentioned that initial investments are made in the first three years. After the portfolio has been establish, a firm will typically make follow-on investments over the remainder of the fund's lifecycle.
Ten years may sound like a long time, but you have to consider how long companies like Coca-Cola have been around (since 1892) and some companies that were started in the 2000s have a comparable valuation to Coke. Founders Collective is usually the first institutional round in a company, and Rosenbloom said that they aren’t looking for the next cool invention.

"As a venture capital firm, we are not in the business of funding inventors or inventions, we are in the business of funding fast-growing companies," Rosenbloom said.

Considering the first three years as initial investments, a company could only have seven years to "make it." Some VCs, like Rosenbloom, consider seven years the average age for ROI, and the data from NVCA supports that claim.

The NVCA reported in their 2013 Yearbook that, of the 49 IPOs that happened in 2012, the median age for IPO was 7 years old and the mean age for a company to IPO was 8 years old. While some have argued that it is taking longer for startups to mature, Tunguz argues, "The gestation period will likely fall some because of the tremendous exit activity in M&A and IPOs in the last 24 months."

To help you make it through the whirlwind of growth that can happen after an investment, you have to know how much capital you need and when you need it.

3. You can take too much funding

"All too often, entrepreneurs will think of raising a Series A round from a reputable VC as the end goal and don't think they can be successful unless they do so. So they reprioritize raising capital over building a valuable product or service and usually end up asking for too much money too soon which ends up in a failed fundraising attempt or a raise on bad terms for the entrepreneur," said Hrach Simonian, a principal at Canaan Partners.

As I mentioned in a previous article, knowing how much money you need can make all the difference in your venture capital experience. It starts by understanding how much money you need and only raising that much money. Raising too much money can force entrepreneurs to make decisions they aren't ready to make.

"If you raise too much money, you have to swing for the fences," Rosenbloom said.

You want the amount of money you raise to coincide with the benchmark you are trying to hit. If you don't have a specific benchmark in mind (which you really should), a good rule of thumb is to consider the amount of capital it takes to sustain your operations for 18 months, then add 25-50 percent for added flexibility and seek to raise that amount of money.

Tunguz said that raising too much capital is far from the gravest sin to be committed by an entrepreneur, "But having a huge sum of money in the bank can entice founders to dramatically increasing burn rate or diffuse the company's energy among many projects. It can be challenging to maintain the same execution discipline created by the scarcity of capital when the bank account is overflowing."
Another risk of raising too much capital is setting the bar too high for your exit. By doing so you will run the risk of not being able to grow into the expectation that was set by raising a large amount of money.

Remember to raise enough to get yourself to the next stage where you can assess whether or not you need to raise more money. Keep in mind that once you choose a firm and raise those funds, that VC will probably get a permanent seat on your board of advisors. Choose carefully, because you are usually stuck with that investor for good.

4. You can't fire your VC

Too many founders abdicate their due diligence when it comes to the firms they end up pitching. Each venture capital firm has its own general focus on specific sectors or verticals. Taking that to a more granular scale, each partner within each specific firm maintains investments in a focused area of expertise.

Founders typically don't appreciate the incentive structure on the side of the fund, which is based on the size and the dynamics of that fund. Understand how the fund makes money to determine if it is a good fit for you. The size of the fund will be a good determinant for whether or not your company will present a quality investment opportunity for the partners.

You have to think of your VC firm as another partner in your business. This leads to one of the single most important aspects of your startup/VC relationship: Make sure your goals for your company line up with your VC's goals for his or her investment. By aligning your goals with those of your VC, you can help potentially avoid a disaster scenario.

"The disaster scenario is that the founding team wants to do something different than the board," Tunguz said.

The risk/reward curves are different for entrepreneurs than they are for VCs, and board members (including your VC) have a legal responsibility to take into account the goals of the investors. So, if your company is losing steam and an acquisition opportunity comes along that is in the best interest of your investors, they might push you to take it, even if it means you don't get paid.

But, of course, you can avoid all that potential heartache by not taking funding to begin with.

5. Failure isn't death

Micah Rosenbloom describes venture capital as jet fuel. If you want to drive somewhere 100 miles away, you'll probably drive there. If you want to get from New York to Los Angeles, you're going to have to fly, and you will need fuel to power that jet.

Venture capital gives you potential—the potential for major success and the potential to fail spectacularly. The good news here—the gospel of venture capital if you will—is that failure is not the end of the story if you play your cards right. Despite stereotypes, most VCs are actually looking to build relationships with entrepreneurs, not just make money off of them.
"The Valley is small, and life is long," Tunguz said.

According to Tunguz, when it comes to his work at Redpoint Venture, great relationships are the motivation, because even if you fail it's not the end of the world. What is much more important is how you fail and how transparent you are throughout the process. If you keep people informed when you hit a snag and ask for help with a problem, you can build trust with your investors.

Venture capital investors want to know that you will be a good steward of the funds they placed under your control. If you can prove yourself a highly competent entrepreneur and someone who will push as hard as they can to make an idea work, failure will not mean the end of your career as an entrepreneur. At that point, even if you fail, past investors and people involved with your company will be far more likely to fund your next project if they trust the way you work.

As an entrepreneur, burning bridges is unwise. Treat people with respect to build social capital, but don't see them as just a resource either. Other than that, always remember that if you're going to fail, fail big and go down swinging.

About Conner Forrest - Conner Forrest is a Staff Writer for TechRepublic. He covers Google and startups and is passionate about the convergence of technology and culture.
Silicon Valley’s Secret Mainstream Media Control Directives

This is the document that the Silicon Valley Cartel never want you to see. You have heard of the term: “The Mainstream Media”, right? The Silicon Valley oligarchs now own and control 95% of the “Mainstream Media”. This is the playbook they use to control the media and public perceptions:

Never print a copy of this document or show it to anyone outside of a Google secured conference room.

We are a business and every voter is a consumer and a tool for the effort. Their data is our fuel. “Politics” is entirely about business and controlling our revenue interests.

These are the directives to all media editors and media owners:

\ / In the handling of those in the media audience rather than refer to the opponent as the “Party” or “the Administration” refer to the Candidate by name only.

\ / Use only our internal news wire service and private Google Docs. Our system will send out a political clip-sheet every week, to thousands of “thought leaders” and “influencers”. We will send out memes, cartoons, editorials, and articles to 200,000 news contacts. Rural newspapers are so desperate for copy that many will print whatever our Service sends them, including documents that are basically press releases disguised as editorials endorsing whatever political position we advance.

\ / Pretend that you are the Voice of the People. Use radio ads, sponsored by the PAC false-front groups with the word “Citizens” or “taxpayers” in the name.

\ / Attack, attack, attack. You can’t wage a defensive campaign and win! Use millions of our troll-farms, click-processors and meat-puppet algo’s to push the message. Nobody has the influence server array volume that we have.

\ / Keep it simple. Rhyming is good. The low intellect voter’s in the South love rhymes. Never explain anything. The more you have to explain the more difficult it is to win support.

\ / Say the same thing over and over again. We have to get a voter’s attention seven times per slogan to control their intentions. Anybody that hears the same thing seven times is programmed to it for life. Remember, most citizens have the IQ of a child.
Subtlety is your enemy. Words that lean on the mind are no good. They must dent it. Simplify, simplify, simplify. A wall goes up when you try to make Mr. and Mrs. Average American Citizen work or think.

Fan flames. We need more partisanship in this country. Never shy from controversy; instead, win the controversy. The average American doesn’t want to be educated; he doesn’t want to improve his mind; he doesn’t even want to work, consciously, at being a good citizen, But there are two ways you can interest him in a campaign, and only two that we have ever found successful. You can put on a fight or you can put on a Show. So if you can’t fight, PUT ON A SHOW! And if you put on a good show, Mr. and Mrs. America will turn out to see it. Talk about sex changes, immigration, dead polar bears, whales and all of the things that get the public emotionally riled up.

Winner takes all. If you launch a campaign for a new car, your client doesn’t expect you to lead the field necessarily in the first year, or even the tenth year, But in politics, they don’t pay off for PLACE OR SHOW! You have to win, if you want to stay in business. We must win each election at any cost. Data manipulation is not “cheating”, it is “being clever”! Deal with the blow-back AFTER you we win the election because then WE CONTROL the federal budget.

This must be a campaign that makes people hear the beat of drums and the thunder of bombs... This must be A CALL TO ARMS IN DEFENSE OF AMERICA AND DIVERSITY!

Where the men go, the women will follow. Focus on male emotional trigger slogans first. Women are sheep when it comes to the campaign.

Always, and only, use our pre-screened 15 minute talking script. Try not to speak for more than fifteen minutes. The dumber people get bored. Never speak for more than half an hour.

Reject any health care issues that affect our supporters ability to make money. Say often: “You can’t beat something with nothing”

Lobby your local newspaper editors. Have your staff make in-person drop-by’s to every newspaper office to persuade editors to change their positions. Buy them lunch with unlimited menus. Many of these newspapers do a vast amount of advertising business with us and received hundreds of words of free copy, each week, from our news services. They will not risk losing our advertising dollars when the internet is killing their business. We can cut out all of the newspapers supporting any opposition goal in 70 days if we stay on our goal. We have the budget to spend 10 dollars against every individual dollar the opposition campaign spends to push it.

Mention “Politically-Controlled social limits.” often. Make the public think that the opposition wants to control their bedroom
antics and limit their sex. Citizens flip out if they think the government will limit how kinky they can get.

In private conversations with Senators, use this talking point:

“"We need you to vote against all Compulsory privacy bills pending before the Legislature. We have enough data regimentation in this country now. Certainly we don’t want to be forced to go to A media source, or to pay extra for free media. That system was born in ‘Nazi Germany’ and is part and parcel of what our boys are fighting overseas to stop. Let’s not adopt media limits on Google and Facebook here.”

This must be a campaign to arouse and “alert the American people in every walk of life, until it generates a great public crusade and a fundamental fight for freedom, any other plan of action, in view of the drift toward right wing despotism all over the world, would invite disaster.”

Our counter-measures campaign has now been distributed, by the hundreds of thousands, to reporters and editors, among others, and to every member of Congress.

A Top immediate objective is the defeat of the compulsory privacy protection programs as Silicon Valley will lose most income if we can’t surveil the public. The long-term objective is to put a permanent stop to the agitation for socialized web in this country by (a) awakening the people to the danger of a politically-controlled, government-regulated privacy system; (b) convincing the people, through a Nation wide campaign of education, of the superior advantages of private web, as practiced in America, over the State-dominated media systems of other countries.

We must educate every politician in the United States about the dangers of privacy rights to their political funding.

Hitler and Stalin all have used the opiate of free internet to deaden the pain of lost liberty and lull the people into non-resistance. Old World contagion of compulsory privacy rules, if allowed to spread to our New World, will mark the beginning of the end of modern technology institutions in America. It will only be a question of time until the railroads, the steel mills, the power industry, the banks and the farming industry are nationalized. We must stop these Conservatives on the front line before they take this to our porches.

We must use unions and tree-huggers, Grassroots Campaigns, Inc., Moveon, BLM, etc. to put people on the streets of every major city with clipboards to collect money and distribute propaganda. Encourage
your staff to engage them in long-winded conversations to cut down their through-put

\ Our campaign is carrying its case to the people of America in a grass roots crusade which we hope, with your help, and the help of tens of thousands of others, will reach every corner of this country. We have billions of dollars booked on this grass-roots effort. We WILL turn the oppositions sensible, popular, and urgently needed legislative reform into a bogeyman so scary that, no American will want it.

\ The great need is to go on the offensive and to attack.

\ Politics is just like show business. . . . You begin with a hell of an opening, you coast for a while, and you end with a hell of a closing. We have a great closing!

\ Voters are basically lazy tools, basically uninterested in making an effort to understand what we’re talking about, Reason requires a higher degree of discipline, of concentration; impression is easier, Reason pushes the viewer back, it assaults him, it demands that he agree or disagree; impression can envelop him, invite him in, without making an intellectual demand. . When we argue with citizens we demand that he make the effort of replying. We seek to engage his intellect, and for most people this is the most difficult work of all. The emotions are more easily roused, closer to the surface, more malleable. Voters are, simply, idiots. Treat them as such and keep them amused by the shiny object.

\ We are leading men’s minds, this is the reason Washington must be in the hands of the most ethical, principled people: US! We are people with real concern for the world. We are smarter than the average person and more technologically advanced. We know what is truly right.
The Names Of The Cartel Membership

These are the people known as "The Deep State", "The Silicon Valley Cartel", "The Tech Mafia", et al:

“To date, every single one of the targets that attacked us: 1.) have been sued; 2.) investigated by the government and our private investigators; 3.) had case files opened on them by the IRS, DOJ, GAO, FBI, FEC, SEC, FTC and others; 4.) placed under surveillance; 5.) had whistle-blowers and ex-staff expose them; 6.) been tracked across every social media post that has ever been made about them; 7.) had all of their illicit campaign funding and covert PAC cash exposed; 8.) had all of their family trust and shell corporation hiding places tracked and exposed by AI forensic software that works around-the-clock and 9.) placed on law enforcement watch-lists. Even the “untouchables” like Musk, Tesla, Google, Facebook, et al, now have nut-crunching law-suits filed against them, with more coming. There is no place to hide! You tried to kill us and we killed you back you criminal scum-bags!”

The following Parties participated in the scam, thought they were “untouchable” and found out that the arm of the law is very long, the hatred of the public for corruption is profound and that hundreds of public service groups have identified, tracked and assisted in their termination. This is the current list of the primary political mobster-scammers in this corruption who are all connected by financial, email and forensic FBI, SEC, FTC and CIA-class evidence. It is well known that every significant hacking and surveillance tool from the CIA, NSA, Fire-Eye and the FSB has been leaked on to the internet. Every teenage hacker is now exposing the last 20 years of these crooks crimes. These are not all of them. These are just some of the most audacious ones.

They are all under deep investigation, surveillance and targeted for 100% legal termination using all the info found freely on the web and whistle-blower tips:

The Mob Bosses That Colluded, Organized and Planned These Stock Market Schemes Together:

- **Google/Alphabet/YouTube** – Hiding under the guise of "Alphabet"; Charged with manipulation by multiple nations and national organizations; charged with crimes; charged with privacy harvesting frauds against the public; raided for tax fraud; loss of public trust; charged with campaign rigging by TV shows, presidential candidates, federal investigators and news media; under investigation for stock market pumping;

- **Steven Chu** – Secretary of Energy (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign. Sued for corruption, publicly shamed by news media and Congress. Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **The NVCA, AKA National Venture Capital Association**, is the lobbyist and Cartel organizer behind much of the misdeeds of this group, they bribe politicians, organize anti-patent campaigns and promote a RICO-violating anti-trust law violating set of tactics to protect their members and to harm competitors, most of the women and blacks that have worked at the NVCA have said they experienced abuse. The NVCA has set-up a tactical program to put a
global funding blockade on any group, CEO or inventor who competes with their existing companies.

• **SpaceX** and their Star Link Web satellites are a domestic spying operation. They send all of the data that goes over their links to privacy abusers, data harvesters, and political spying operations. Friends don't let friends use Star Link. Any 14 year old hacker can hack into Star Links web streams. Star Link is a danger to global privacy on an epic scale.

• **Lachlan Seward** – Manager to Steven Chu (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign. Sued for corruption. publicly shamed by news media and Congress. Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))

• **Rahm Emanuel** – White House strong-arm (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign and his Chicago finance head was indicted for Corruption)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

• **Robert Gibbs** – White House press secretary (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **David Axelrod** – White House strategist (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Barack Obama** – White House leader (Under investigation. Universally disdained in the press. shunned by his own party)

• **Larry Summers** – White House finance head (Fired)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Bill Daley** – White House strong-arm (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))

• **Steve Rattner** – White House Car Deals Director working in the West Wing and then with In-Q-Tel (Fired- Indicted in NY State for SEC Fraud/Corruption)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))

• **Eric Holder** – Attorney General- DOJ. Eric Holder was nominated and appointed by the very individuals who ran the scam, created the marching orders, got the insider cash and ordered the hit jobs on their competitors. He ran the cover-up and halted any investigations into the crimes in order to protect his corrupt backers and business partners. He has been financially connected to every person, group and business who is charged with organizing and operating the scam. (Forced to resign) (Charged with staff & VC Protections and blockade of FBI and Special Prosecutor deployments in order to run the cover-up)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)
• **Larry Page** – Owner- Google (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Eric Schmidt** – Owner- Google (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more, a national coalition is actively exposing his sexual perversions, sex penthouses, etc…)

• **John Doerr** – Owner – Kleiner Perkins. “Godfather” – Silicon Valley Cartel (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)( All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• **Steve Westly** – Campaign Bundler (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)( All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• **Richard Blum** – Senator Feinsteins Husband (He is now under investigation. Has had contracts interdicted by Congressional action)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Ray Lane** – VC (Charged with Federal Tax Fraud)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Elon Musk** – CEO – Tesla (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance) & in multiple lawsuits for fraud)( Now uncovered as largest individual recipient of government payola in history)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…) ( All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction) He cuts up and tortures animals for his Nueralink, spies on citizens with his SpaceX and runs an EV monopoly and causes genocide with his cobalt and lithium mines with his corrupt Tesla cars. His life is devoted to weird sex, drugs, political bribes and stock market manipulations.

• **Andy Bechtolsheim** – VC- Insider campaign backer (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• **Vinod Khosla** – VC Campaign backer (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)( in multiple lawsuits)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…Exposed in 60 Minutes and CNN news coverage)

• **Martin LaGod** – VC Campaign backer from Firelake (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(assets, investments and stock portfolio tracked and targeted)( All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• **Latham and Watkins** - Extremist law firm known for rigging public policy decisions, running attacks on candidates and manipulating the law to the advantage of Silicon Valley oligarchs
• Ira Ehrenpreis – VC Campaign backer (He is now under investigation)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…) (All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• Eric Strickland – Head of Auto Safety agency (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(he is now under investigation. Charged with cover-up of Tesla and GM auto dangers he had known about)

• Tom Perkins – VC Campaign backer (He is now under investigation, slammed by public and media)(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• In-Q-Tel, Inc. – CIA off-shoot associated with Eric Schmidt, Google, Elon Musk and the Cartel leaders. Ran “hit-jobs” on Silicon Valley VC adversaries and reporters (Sued, under investigation, exposed in multiple documentaries, under investigation for Cocaine trafficking) They claim to be a "charity" but they are a dirty tricks spy operation.

• Tim Draper – VC Campaign backer (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)(All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• Lloyd Craig Blankfein – Head of Goldman Sachs and liaison in almost every single CleanTech company scam (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance))(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…) (All of his personal assets, investments and portfolio holdings are under investigation and targeted for extinction)

• Raj Gupta – McKinsey Fixer (Indicted, Jailed)(he is now under investigation)

• Jacque Littlefield - Ran a private army out of Woodside, California (Dead)

• Roger Boas – Ran San Francisco Child sex ring brothels, for SF City Hall officials, with crooked San Francisco Cops and embezzled money from San Francisco City Hall

• Brian Goncher – Deloitte VC intermediary (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)) (accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…)

• John Molinari – Old school San Francisco North Beach Mobster. Ran for Mayor. Lied about district. Daughter removed from him due to abuse.

• Goldman Sachs – Financial packager (Suspected of staging most of the TARP/DOE deals for personal gain & insider payouts)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance). Elon Musk's Go-To Book Cookers and stock manipulators

• Kleiner Perkins – Campaign funding VC who (Received massive windfalls from Russian mining & tech start-up rigging. Sued. Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(Offices broken into by federal investigators) All assets being tracked and terminated.)

• Draper Fisher – VC firm (Campaign funder who received massive windfalls from Russian mining & tech start-up rigging)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)
- **Mckinsey Consulting** – Government services contractor (Supplied DOE manipulation staff, manipulated white-papers to Congress and lobbying manipulation for the scam)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **John Denniston** – Kleiner Perkins stock market manipulation boss

- **Dianne Feinstein** – Senator (He is now under investigation) Wife of Silicon Valley Cartel Member Richard Blum(accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more…) (Undergoing investigation and surveillance)

- **Harry Reid** – Senator- Solar factory guru, Congress lead (Accused of political bribery and kickbacks; tax evasion, and more, ran scams with Elon Musk…Forced out of Congress in shame) (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **Senator Calderone** – Senator (Indicted & charged with corruption)

- **Leland Yee** – Senator (Indicted & charged with corruption)

- **Lois Lerner** – IRS head charged with running political hit-jobs (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(She is now under investigation. Shamed in news media)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **James Brown Jr** – Obama HHS Programming lead in California (Arrested for corruption)

- **Daniel Cohen** – DOE Legal counsel (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **Perkins Coi** – Law firm who sold lobby manipulation services (Under federal investigation)

- **Jonathan Silver** – DOE VC (Sent packing/fired/forced to resign)(Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)( Shamed in media for epic failures)

- **Bill Lockyer** – Calif State finance head (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance) and charged with corruption by media. Assets and ownerships under investigation

- **Steve Jurvetson** – VC who manipulated Senate staff for Tesla cash (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance) All assets being tracked and terminated.

- **Matt Rogers** – Mckinsey corruption operator reporting to Steven Chu (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)(All assets being tracked and terminated.)

- **Steve Spinner and Alison Spinner**- Mckinsey corruption operator reporting to Steven Chu with secret connection inside Solyndra (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance) All assets being tracked and terminated.)
Their Assassin Operatives That Run The Hit Jobs And Attacks For Them:

- **Gawker Media (Jezebel, Gizmodo, Jalopnik, Gawker)** – Sued; Bankrupt; under investigation for tax fraud and campaign finance disclosure evasion

- **Jay Carney** – White House press lead (Forced to resign) (Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **David Plouffe** – White House money packager. Arranged deals between VC campaign Donors (Forced to Resign. Under ongoing investigation and surveillance)

- **John Cook** – Nicholas Guido Denton’s character assassination expert

- **Nicholas Guido Denton** – Defamation-for hire boss of tabloid empire also charged with abuse of young boys

- **John Herrman** - Nicholas Guido Denton’s character assassin

- **Adrian Covert** - Nicholas Guido Denton’s character assassin

- **Patrick George** - Nicholas Guido Denton’s character assassin

- **Gabrielle Darbyshire** - Nicholas Guido Denton’s character assassin

- Investigations have also revealed that the White House and California Senators hired the character assassination and defamation attack services: **Cardinal & Pine; Pacronym, Acronym; The Americano; Investing in US; Shadow Inc; Courier Newsroom; IN-Q-Tel; Gawker Media; Jalopnik; Gizmodo Media; K2 Intelligence; WikiStrat; Podesta Group; Fusion GPS; Google; YouTube; Alphabet; Facebook; Twitter; Think Progress; Media Matters); Black Cube; Correct The Record; Orbis Business Intelligence, Undercover Global Ltd; Stratfor; Jigsaw; ShareBlue/Acronym; Versa LLC; American Ledger; Supermajority News; New Venture Fund; Sixteen Thirty Fund; Cambridge Analytica; Sid Blumenthal; States Newsroom; Hopewell Fund; Open Society.; David Brock; AmpliFire News; American Bridge; Plouffe Consulting; Pantsuit Nation; MotiveAI; American Bridge 21st Century Foundation; Priorities USA; PR Firm Sunshine Sachs; The American Independent Foundation; Covington and Burling; Buzzfeed; The American Independent; Perkins Coie; Secondary Infektion; Wilson Sonsini** and thousands more to run hit-jobs, character assassinations, dirty tricks and economic reprisal attacks on any applicants who reported the crimes. Each of those companies are now under federal and civil investigation. Most of these businesses offer the service of manipulating elections and news coverage in order to steer stock market profits into the pockets of billionaire clients at the expense of the taxpayer and Democracy. They hide their transactions via money-laundering. All of these services, when
focused on individual citizens, are lethal.

Conclusion

Law enforcement refuses to arrest these cartel tech mobsters. These people can buy their way out of any mess.

They break the law and the moral codes of society yet they operate with impunity.

They harmed others who competed with them.

They harmed all of society for their own personal sick profiteering and manipulations.

In all of recorded history, no society which has allowed that circumstance to exist, has survived.

When a society fails, EVERYBODY loses.

Either arrest and prosecute these people or lose everything.

It is as simple as that!
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This Former Google Executive Was Accused Of Running A "Sex ...
SAN FRANCISCO — Andy Rubin, a former Google senior vice president who invented the Android operating system, departed the company after having an "inappropriate relationship" with a subordinate and kept payments by his previous employer secret from his wife for several years, according to documents made public by a California superior court.

Google exec Andy Rubin faces detailed sexual misconduct ...
Andy Rubin, the creator of Android, reportedly had bondage sex videos on his work computer, paid women for 'ownership relationships,' and allegedly pressured an employee into oral sex Nick Bastone …

Google employees walkout over handling of sexual …

Nov 1, 2018 The Times reported that Rubin was accused of coercing a female employee, with whom he'd been having affair, into performing oral sex in a hotel room in 2013. A Google investigation found her claim…

Android creator Andy Rubin accused of having a 'sex ring …

Jul 2, 2019 According to a sensational lawsuit by soon to be ex-wife Rie Hirabaru Rubin, her ex had several mistresses, one of which was "complicit with Rubin in running what appeared to be a sex ring," …

Android Co-Founder Caught In Sex Ring Saga - channelnews

Android co-founder, Andy Rubin, who Google reportedly paid $90 million to leave the company after a sexual misconduct investigation, has been accused of running a sex ring.

Google gave top executive $90m payoff but kept sexual …

Oct 25, 2018 Google gave a $90m severance package to Andy Rubin, the creator of the Android mobile software, but concealed details of a sexual misconduct allegation that triggered his departure, the New York …
**Andy Rubin - Wikipedia**


Andrew E. **Rubin** is an American computer programmer, engineer, entrepreneur, and venture capitalist. He is the founder and former CEO of venture capital firm Playground Global, as well as the co-founder and former CEO of both Danger Inc. and Android Inc.. He was nicknamed "Android" by his co-workers at Apple in 1989 due to a love of robots, with the nickname eventually becoming the official …

**How Google Protected Andy Rubin, the 'Father of Android ...**


Oct 25, 2018**The woman, with whom Mr. **Rubin** had been having an extramarital relationship, said he coerced her into performing oral sex in a hotel room in 2013, according to two company executives with knowledge…**

**Google reportedly paid Andy Rubin $90 million after he ...**


Google reportedly paid **Andy Rubin** $90 million after he allegedly coerced sex from employee New, 206 comments By Chris Welch @chriswelch Oct 25, 2018, 2:02pm EDT

**The rise and fall of Andy Rubin, the former Google ...**


**Andy Rubin** is the creator of Android and a former Google executive. **Rubin's** career seemed to be on track - software engineering in Silicon Valley in the 1990s, founding Android, being a top …

**Google CEO Pichai says 48 employees fired for sexual but a cover-up is expected...**

The New York Times report claimed that **Andy Rubin**, ... A spokesperson for **Rubin** has denied the allegations, the New York Times said. ... South Korea court orders Japan to compensate former **sex slaves**.

**Android creator Andy Rubin accused of running a 'sex ring'**


Android founder, **Andy Rubin**, may have left Google long back in 2014, but his departure is beginning to attract more unsavory attention. According to documents made public by a California superior ...  

**George Soros' Right Hand Man Arrested For Rape And Human ...**


Howard **Rubin**, widely known as George Soros' right hand man, was accused of leading a "human trafficking enterprise" in which he allegedly raped, brutally assaulted and enslaved women in a $8 million Manhattan **sex** dungeon, according to court documents.

**Disgraced Google Exec Andy Rubin Quietly Left His Venture ...**

[https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/ryanmac/andy-rubin-playground-global-google-quiet-departure](https://www.buzzfeednews.com/article/ryanmac/andy-rubin-playground-global-google-quiet-departure)

Disgraced Google Exec **Andy Rubin** Quietly Left His Venture Firm Earlier This Year. Android creator **Andy Rubin** left Google with a $90 million exit package after investigations into sexual misconduct. Now he's out at Playground Global, the venture firm he founded, allegedly with another multimillion-dollar payout.

**Former Google exec 'ran a sex ring ... - Daily Mail Online**


The co-founder of Android who reportedly received a $90 million severance from Google in the wake of misconduct allegations is now being accused of running a **sex** ring. **Andy Rubin** is being sued by ...
Android creator Andy Rubin is accused of running a 'sex ...


Android creator Andy Rubin was allegedly involved in running a "sex ring" with at least one woman, and is accused of cheating his ex-wife out of millions of dollars in their prenuptial agreement …

Andy Rubin's Essential Gem Isn't Just a New Phone | WIRED

https://www.wired.com/story/andy-rubins-essential-gem-google-android/

Andy Rubin's New Phone Thing Isn't Just a New Phone Thing. ... These ranged from pressuring a woman into having oral sex, to berating subordinates, to viewing bondage sex videos on a work …

Google 'gave Andy Rubin $90M exit package despite ...


Google reportedly gave Android's co-founder, Andy Rubin, a $90M exit package despite a credible claim that he had an inappropriate relationship with a woman while working at the company.

Wife of Android Co-Founder Andy Rubin Accuses Him of Using ...


The wife of Android co-founder Andy Rubin has accused him of cheating her out of wealth he obtained from Google and diverting funds to make payments to several woman after he left the company …

'The Lost Women of NXIVM': ID Orders Special on Sex ...


Mack had been accused of recruiting young women into the group and manipulated them into branding their bodies and becoming sex slaves for Raniere. Mack's sentencing will be decided on Sept. 11 …
Andy Rubin biography, age, net worth, wife, career ...


Andy Rubin was conceived on June 22, 1946 in New Bedford, United States. He is the designer of the Android OS. Since youth, Rubin has been accustomed to seeing bunches of new devices. This is on the grounds that his dad, a therapist who swerved into the immediate advertising business, which will store electronic items sold in the room Rubin.

Google asked Andy Rubin to quit for being a sex pest

https://fudzilla.com/news/47474-google-asked-andy-rubin-to-quit-for-being-a-sex-pest

But still gave him a hero's farewell and a pile of cash The creator of Android, Andy Rubin, was asked to leave Google for being a sex pest, but the search engine did its best to pretend …

Wayfair shoots down conspiracy theory about child sex ...


Wayfair shoots down conspiracy theory about child sex trafficking and expensive cabinets hpeterson@businessinsider.com (Hayley Peterson) 7/10/2020 White violence, Black protests during 1918 flu …

Who is Rie Hirabaru Rubin? Andy Rubin Ex-Wife; Bio, Wiki ...

https://globintel.com/usa/rie-hirabaru-rubin-biowiki-age/

Rie Rubin Bio, Wiki. Rie Rubin is the ex-wife of Andy Rubin, Android co-creator and former Google Senior Vice-President. She is accusing him of having secretly conspired with her attorney to manipulate the couple's prenuptial agreement by stripping her of all community property rights and also diverting their marital funds so he could pay women involved in his private "sex ring."
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The Age of Surveillance Capitalism By Shoshana Zuboff


Permanent Record By Edward Snowden, https://www.amazon.com/Permanent-Record-Edward-Snowden/dp/1250237238

Brotopia By Emily Chang, http://brotopiabook.com/

Throw Them All Out By Peter Schweizer, http://peterschweizer.com/books/throw-them-all-out/

The Circle By David Eggers, https://archive.org/details/circle00dave

Companies Targeted For Bankruptcy For Their Crimes Against The Public By The Internet Research Group


Chaos Monkeys By Antonio García Martínez, https://www.antoniogarciamartinez.com/chaos-monkeys/


Peak Energy: Think “peak oil” is a discredited idea …* Electric Vehicles and Peak Lithium *
Hydrogen and Peak Platinum * Storing Energy In Graphite … (10) cradle to cradle (10) goldman sachs (10) gtl (10) hybrid car … afghanistan (8) big oil (8)
…peakenergy.blogspot.com/2014/01/think-peak-oil-is-discredited-i…

cobalt goldman sachs - Did you know that Afghanistan is one of the world’s largest suppliers of lithium? All your electronic devices that use lithium batteries are dependent on it & only a few countries have … cobalt gold ring, cobalt golden age club, cobalt goldman, cobalt goldman sachs
Admin. Search for: Recent Posts.- breadmakernew.com/tag/cobalt-goldman-sachs/

Afghanistan sits on $1 trillion worth of mineral deposits. Is … - When you look deeply into it, you find that Goldman Sachs is owned by Rothschild, … Afghanistan’s mineral deposits to be worth upwards of $1 trillion and in fact, a classified Pentagon memo called Afghanistan the “Saudi Arabia of lithium. … beforeitsnews.com/power-elite/2013/12/afghanistan-sits-on…

Why the US wants to stay in Afghanistan - Goldman Sachs Director to Join Board of Bitcoin Startup Circle Capturing images of bystanders by zooming in on pictures of corneas (this is huge!) … Well it does not come from Afghanistan. Although lithium is widely distributed on Earth, …- lunaticoutpost.com/Topic-Why-the-US-wants-to-stay-in-Afgha…

Did Goldman push us into Afghanistan for the Lithium? | DOE … From Contributor: JackieNuls: Did Goldman push us into Afghanistan for the Lithium? Goldman stood to make billions of dollars along with the Russian mining companies, battery companies and electric car companies they represent. Goldman was involved in almost every DOE funding deal and … atmndoe.wordpress.com/2012/10/29/did-goldman-push-us-into-afg…
Carp Libertatum: Massive lithium deposit found in Afghanistan … Massive lithium deposit found in Afghanistan … Goldman Sachs fraud, how they cheated investors wi… The Globalist Treat Earth like It’s Their Mafia Em… IRS Fraud: There Is No Law That Requires You To Fi… Federal Income Tax – Why you should not pay; americaisindanger.blogspot.com/2011/04/massive-lithium-deposit-found-i…

Talison lithium – Wikinvest - Lithium’s extremely low co-efficient of thermal expansion makes these products resistant to thermal shock and imparts mechanical strength. … China, and Afghanistan however Bolivia has a backward, … Goldman Sachs Group Inc. and Fortis Investments.- wikinvest.com/wiki/Talison_lithium

Afghanistan may be the Saudi Arabia of lithium | Business blog - The New York Times story on the discovery of vast mineral reserves in Afghanistan is astonishing, given the unpredictable political consequences. One is that the Afghanistan could rival Bolivia as the Saudi Arabia of lithium The US US taskforce that is trying to map the reserves of …- blogs.ft.com/businessblog/2010/06/afghanistan-may-be…

Kathleen Parker: Mining Afghanistan’s future - Afghanistan, it turns out, is rich in minerals – trillions rich. It’s going to become the Saudi Arabia of lithium, thanks to vast stores of that resource, plus iron, copper, cobalt and gold. … Masooma Habibi, a graduate of Goldman Sachs’ “10,000 Women” program at the AUAF, … - readingeagle.com/article.aspx?id=229781


Vast Deposits Of Fodder For Conspiracy Theorists Discovered …. Jim Risen has a blockbuster story about a gift and a curse for Afghanistan’s blighted and … gold and critical industrial metals like lithium — are so big and include so many minerals that are … The Board of advisors has Stephen Friedman, AIG crook, Goldman Sachs crook, Federal Reserve …attackerman.firedoglake.com/2010/06/13/vast-deposits-of-fodder-for-…
NYT: Vast Minerals FOUND (Lithium, Gold) in Afghanistan - The previously unknown deposits — including huge veins of iron, copper, cobalt, gold and critical industrial metals like lithium — are so big and include so many minerals that are essential to modern industry that Afghanistan could eventually be transformed into one of the most … dailykos.com/story/2010/06/13/875695/-NYT-Vast-Miner…

Afghanistan Troop Draw-down, Afghanistan, President Barack … - Afghanistan troop withdrawal, President Barack Obama, Prime Time speech, … We’ll need enough troops in afganistan to secure their lithium, … Guess Ghadafi should have cooperated with Goldman Sachs. Recently Aired. Listen to today’s podcast: blogs.wsj.com/wsjam/2011/06/23/president-barack-obama…

» The Afghanistan coincidence Alex Jones’ Infowars: There’s … - … gold and critical industrial metals like lithium — are so big and include so many minerals that are essential to modern industry that Afghanistan could eventually be transformed … Afghanistan’s gross domestic product is only about $12 billion dollars,” lunch money for Goldman Sachs. - infowars.com/the-afghanistan-coincidence/

Mining Afghanistan’s future – hutchnews.com - Mining Afghanistan’s future By Kathleen Parker WASHINGTON – Amid all the dark news from Afghanistan, every now and then a sliver of light slips through the cracks. - hutchnews.com/Wirecolumns/Parker-column6-16–1

Horrors of Afghanistan transformed West Seneca Marine …- Historically, the name “Afghan” designated the Pashtun people, the largest ethnic group of Afghanistan. [33] … The country’s natural resources include: coal, copper, iron ore, lithium, uranium, rare earth elements, chromite, gold, … article.wn.com/view/2014/01/13/Horrors_of_Afghanistan_…

**Lithium:** The Commodity of the 21st Century [Global X Funds] - Lithium is used as a thickener in grease, ... There are also huge lithium deposits in Bolivia, China, and Afghanistan, ... Goldman Sachs Group Inc. and Fortis Investments. These three majors bought in when Talison was still a private company. seekingalpha.com/article/252920-lithium-the-commodity-of...

**oligarch** » Agent4Stars - The Russian oligarch has spent the past decade buying up nine flats across two buildings in the exclusive Lowndes Square. ... John Doerr; John Frederiksen; John Paul DeJoria; John Paulson; Jon and Karen Huntsman; Jose Mourinho; Joseph Lau; Jr. Julian H. Robertson; Ken Fisher; agent4stars.com/tag/oligarch/

name “Bourkhan”. Owner – oligarch Alisher Usmanov ... - Billionaire Alisher Usmanov acquired the biggest private jet in Russia, ... oligarch, percent, president vladimir putin, private flight, private jet, richest man, roman, roman abramovich, Russia’s richest man, sale, seat, spacious ... John Doerr; John Frederiksen; John Paul DeJoria; John Paulson ... agent4stars.com/tag/name-bourkhan-owner-oligarch-alishe...

Rebooted patriot games in ‘Jack Ryan’ – SiliconValley.com - John Doerr. Larry Ellison. Reed Hastings. Reid Hoffman. Mark Hurd. Vinod Khosla. ... He’s covertly embedded at a Wall Street bank where he uncovers a Russian plot to buy up U.S. Treasury bonds, ... Ryan’s investigation leads him to the Russian oligarch Viktor Cherevin, played by Kenneth Branagh, ...siliconvalley.com/topics/ci_24918976/rebooted-patriot-gam...

Facebook’s friend in Russia – Fortune Tech - Left to right: John Doerr, Charlie Rose, Michael Arrington, Yuri Milner, and Ron Conway at last spring’s TechCrunch conference. Milner has become an informal Russian ambassador to Silicon Valley. - tech.fortune.cnn.com/2010/10/04/facebooks-friend-in-russia/

Yuri Milner: Profile – Business Insider - DST says it hasn’t sold any Facebook shares and doesn’t want to, but some people think DST’s main backer, Russian oligarch Alisher Usmanov, wants to sell and might get his way. ... John Doerr And The Rise Of Secondary Private Markets ...- businessinsider.com/yuri-milner-forbes-profile-2011-3

The Wall Street billionaire philanthropists – Financial News - • Ann and John Doerr, venture capitalist. ... Russian oligarch to donate fortune to charity 02 Feb 2010; Story Tags. Blackstone
Good Kindle and Newspaper articles for Friday Morning … Russian Oligarchs buying French and British Newspapers. Business Week reports on some rather interesting news – Russian oligarchs and their sons are saving French and British newspapers. … Amazon related News – John Doerr leaves Amazon Board.- ireaderreview.com/2010/03/19/good-kindle-and-newspaper-ar…

Nancy Ann Hunter – This house will exist- … and Oleg Deripaska, the youngest of the Russian oligarchs, 41, “king” of aluminum. … Other Dolby Labs president of technology titans like John Doerr and the founder of Facebook, Mark Zuckerberg, live in nearby Silicon Valley. … hishousewillexist.org/nancyannhunter.php

Climateer Investing: Kleiner Perkins Distressed By Lousy Results - Kleiner partner Al Gore is probably not as concerned by the recent results as John Doerr. … Russia Should Create Its Own Tax Havens … “No, … Welfare for the Russian Oligarchs… Cyprus: …- climateerinvest.blogspot.com/2013/03/kleiner-perkins-distressed-by-l…

Market Leader : News :: Bill Gates and Warren Buffett …- … and his wife have already donated 800 million dollars. They were joined by venture investor John Doerr and John … It should be noted that V. Potanin was among the first Russian oligarchs to go into arts patronage by setting up V. Potanin’s Charity Foundation in 1999 mainly focused … profi-forex.us/news/entry3000000006.html

Netizen: Vinod Khosla: For Profit Poverty Alleviation- “He was the most visionary of all the Russian oligarchs” … … John Doerr; Creating, Propagating; Google Apps: Open The Flood Gates; No More Beer, No More Soda; Dell Duo: Tablet + Netbook; Web 2.0 Summit 2010: Robin Li; John Battelle’s Search Hangover; technbiz.blogspot.com/2010/11/vinod-khosla-for-profit-poverty.html

Forbes Audiobooks –… we’ll tell you about, “Russian Billionaire Mikhail Prokhorov: From Oligarch to President?” Mikhail Prokhorov is a tycoon in Russia, … we’ll tell you about, “John Doerr’s Plan to Reclaim the Venture Capital Throne” … audible.com/search?advsearchKeywords=&searchTitle=&…

Steve Westly – The Huffington Post - … Google, Steve Westly, Green Jobs, Tesla Motors, Edeniq, John Doerr, Amyris Biotechnologies, Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers, Facebook, Recyclebank,
Barack Obama, Apple, Energy … With billionaire Meg Whitman spending like a Russian oligarch, it’s inevitable that the race for California … huffingtonpost.com/tag/steve-westly

Apple, Steve Jobs, and US Foreign Policy (CFR) using Nazi …. - the Stud House, the private home of the Lebedev family – one of the Russian oligarchs … been a long time partner in Silicon Valley venture capital powerhouse Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers whose partner John Doerr is a member of the Google board. Another Google director, … - forum.prisonplanet.com/index.php?topic=207205.0

rmr | The personal blog of R. Martin Roscheisen - Neither VC John Doerr nor entrepreneur Martin Roscheisen has a background in enviro. … (Then again, just a few decades later, we’ve got Russian oligarchs needing seemingly just that kind of expertise for equipping their yachts.- tripleyield.com


Scary side to the story – IronMountainDailyNews.com | news …

He raked in a gazillion bucks, give or take a few billion, as the founder of Kleiner Perkins Caufield and Byers, a venture capital firm. His letter, published in the Wall Street Journal, … essentially from Europe west of Russia through North America, …ironmountaindailynews.com/page/content.detail/id/544658/Scary-sid…

Kleiner Perkins Co-Founder’s Nazi Comment Draws Criticism …. - Kleiner Perkins’s website lists Perkins as one of 14 partner emeriti, a group that includes recent partner Ray Lane and co-founder Eugene Kleiner, who died in 2003. Perkins has been a director at companies including Hewlett-Packard Co. and Applied Materials Inc. -bloomberg.com/news/2014-01-26/kleiner-perkins-shocked…

Frank Caufield — Kleiner Perkins Caufield Byers - He is a Co-Founder of Kleiner Perkins Caufield & Byers. Mr. Caufield has served on the boards of Quantum Corporation, Caremark Inc., … He also serves as a director of The U.S. Russia Investment Fund, Refugees International, …kpcb.com/partner/frank-caufield
DST Out and Kleiner Perkins In in Twitter Mega-Funding – Kara …- According to sources close to the situation, the aggressive Russian investment outfit DST Global is out of the running to fund Twitter. Instead, the prize is almost certainly going to Kleiner Perkins, the legendary Silicon Valley venture firm of Web 1.0 that has been making a big push … allthingsd.com/20101206/russias-dst-out-of-twitter-fun…

‘Flash Boys’ and the Speed of Lies – Bloomberg View - In the last few months, I have had a strange and interesting experience. In early April, I found myself the main character in Michael Lewis’s book “Flash Boys.”- bloombergview.com/articles/2014-08-03/flash-boys-and-the-spe...

‘Flash Boys’ and the Speed of Lies: Katsuyama – Businessweek - Already a Bloomberg.com user? Sign in with the same account. Don’t have an account? Sign up. Help! I can’t access my account.- businessweek.com/videos/2014-08-04/flash-boys-and-the-spee...


“Flash Boys” and the Speed of Lies – Proactiveinvestors UKFlash Boys” and the Speed of Lies . Here is the opening of this informative column by Brad Katsuyama for Bloomberg: In the last few months, I have had a strange and interesting experience.-proactiveinvestors.co.uk/columns/fuller-treacy-money/16720/flash-b...

Brad Katsuyama: ‘Flash Boys’ and the speed of lies about my … - In the last few months, I have had a strange and interesting experience. In early April, I found myself the main character in Michael Lewis’s book Flash Boys. It told the story of a quest I’ve been on, with my colleagues, to expose and to prevent a lot of outrageous behaviour in the US stock market - nzherald.co.nz/business/news/article.cfm?c_id=3&objectid...

‘Flash Boys’ and the Speed of Lies @ Forex Factory - In the last few months, I have had a strange and interesting experience. In early April, I found myself the main character in Michael Lewis’s book “Flash Boys.” It told the story of a quest I’ve been on, with my colleagues, to expose and to prevent a lot of outrageous behavior in the U.S. stock market.

‘Flash Boys’ and the Speed of Lies: Katsuyama: Video ... - Aug. 4 (Bloomberg) — On “Morning Must Read,” Bloomberg’s Tom Keene recaps the op-ed pieces and analyst notes that provide insight into today’s headlines.

‘Flash Boys’ and the Speed of Lies: Economics - reddit: the front page of the internet … use the following search parameters to narrow your results: subreddit:subreddit - reddit.com/r/Economics/comments/2cmmxk/flash_boys_an...

Obama turns to Silicon Valley for fund-raising for Democrats ... - San Jose, California: President Barack Obama warned tech leaders on Thursday to dig deep in their wallets to fund Democratic candidates and feel a sense of urgency about congressional elections in November or risk further gridlock in Washington and a failure to move on their priorities. Obama was - firstpost.com/world/obama-turns-to-silicon-valley-for-f...

How Silicon Valley Could Destabilize The Democratic Party ... - In the 1970s and 1980s the politics of Silicon Valley’s leaders tended more to … They also tend to have progressive views on gay marriage and climate change that align with the gospel of the Obama Democratic Party. In the … The Democrats are the party of the rich and have … - forbes.com/sites/joelkotkin/2014/01/09/how-silicon-v...

Can Silicon Valley disrupt from forbes.compt the Democratic Party? – CNN.com - … an upstart candidate backed by some of tech’s biggest names, is looking to take Silicon Valley’s transformational vision to Washington. SET … The financial muscle of Silicon Valley Democrats, … An advocacy group backed by hedge fund tycoon Tom Steyer is set to unleash a …- cnn.com/2014/03/12/politics/silicon-valley-democr...

Silicon Valley funds group aiming to register five million .... Silicon Valley funds group aiming to register five million new conservative Christians for 2012 election. … Democratic organizers also attest to the potential, which has prompted religious advocates on the left to expand their organizing efforts. - sanfranciscosentinel.com/?p=157992
How does the Democrat party have a chance in raising ... – Answer 1 of 1: The democratic party does accept funds from corporations. Suggest you look here: ... Silicon Valley: What does Silicon Valley get from support for the Democratic party? How do political parties raise funds for campaigning and to pay staff? - quora.com/Political-Fundraising/How-does-the-Democr...

A guide to Silicon Valley’s top political donors - Silicon Valley may be 3,000 miles away from Washington, ... If there is a Democratic bent in Silicon Valley, ... which has disbursed funds to candidates in both parties. Zuckerberg was friendly with Obama on the campaign trail, ... ailydot.com/politics/guide-silicon-valley-political-d...
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